# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA MIDDLE DIVISION

STEVEN POWELL,	)	
	)	
PLAINTIFF,	)	
	)	Case No.:
V.	)	
	)	
PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION,	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	)	
<b>DEFENDANT(S).</b>	)	
	)	

## **COMPLAINT**

## **JURISDICTION**

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action for injunctive relief and damages under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(4), 2201, 2202, 29 U.S.C. § 2617(a)(2). The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to secure protection for and to redress the deprivation of rights caused by Defendant.
- 2. This suit is authorized and instituted under Title VII of the Act of Congress known as the "Civil Rights Act of 1964," as amended, the "Civil Rights Act of 1991;" 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. (Title VII) as well as under 42 U.S.C. § 1981 ("Section 1981").
- 3. The Plaintiff timely filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) within 180 days of the last



discriminatory act (Exhibit A). Plaintiff further sued within ninety (90) days after receiving the right-to-sue letter issued by the EEOC (Exhibit B).

### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, Steven Powell, ("Plaintiff" or "Powell") is a resident of Guntersville, Marshall County, Alabama, and performed work for Defendant in the counties composing the Northern District of Alabama during the events of this case. Thus, under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), venue for this action lies in the Middle Division.
- 5. Defendant Pilgrim's Pride Corporation ("Defendant") is a company registered and doing business in the State of Alabama and has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Alabama. It is subject to service of process in Alabama.
  - 6. Defendant has more than five hundred employees.
  - 7. Defendant's 2020 revenue was more than 12 billion dollars.

### **FACTS**

- 8. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each preceding paragraph as if set out herein.
- 9. Plaintiff is a person of African ancestry, colloquially referred to as Black.
- 10. Plaintiff began his employment with Defendant on or about August 12,2019, as a Live Hanger.
  - 11. Plaintiff's performance appraisals met Defendant's expectations.



- 12. On May 7, 2020, Plaintiff was driving a forklift. Rodney Jackson ("Jackson"), a Black male employee, asked Plaintiff to take a broken cage to the maintenance shack.
- 13. Another employee repaired the cage, and Jackson began to yell and insult Plaintiff.
- 14. Jackson continued yelling and insulting Plaintiff as Plaintiff parked the forklift, preparing for his lunch break.
- 15. Plaintiff clocked out in the break room. As he did so, Jackson approached him and continued to yell.
  - 16. Jackson pushed Plaintiff and struck him in the face.
  - 17. Plaintiff did not strike Jackson in return.
- 18. The Head Supervisor, Jim Ed LNU, walked Plaintiff to the Human Resources Office.
- 19. Plaintiff reported the incident with Jackson to Ms. Bishop ("Bishop"). Bishop sent Plaintiff home for the rest of the day.
- 20. The next day, Bishop called Plaintiff and informed him that a knife had been discovered on Jackson. However, Bishop stated there was no proof of the altercation from the previous day because the cameras in the break room did not work.



- 21. Upon information and belief, personal weapons are forbidden at Defendant's workplace.
  - 22. Plaintiff spoke to Lonnie Brooks ("Brooks"), Bishop's supervisor.
- 23. Brooks told Plaintiff that witnesses had stated that Plaintiff was the aggressor.
  - 24. Plaintiff was not the aggressor.
- 25. Brooks transferred Plaintiff to the first shift because Plaintiff no longer felt safe on the second shift.
  - 26. On May 11, 2020, Plaintiff reported to the first shift.
- 27. When Plaintiff arrived at work, Defendant asked Plaintiff to sign a weapons sheet.
- 28. Plaintiff did not agree to sign a weapons sheet because he had signed one the day Defendant hired him.
  - 29. Plaintiff had no weapons on May 10, the day before.
  - 30. Karen LNU, the first shift supervisor, sent Plaintiff home.
- 31. The next day, May 12, 2020, Brent Lane ("Lane") attempted to terminate Plaintiff because he did not sign the weapons sheet.
- 32. Plaintiff spoke with Lane's boss and explained that he had already signed a weapons sheet.



- 33. Lane's boss told Plaintiff that they would not fire him but would give him a few days off while Defendant investigated the situation.
  - 34. On May 14, 2020, Plaintiff returned to work.
  - 35. A week later, Jackson started working the first shift as well.
- 36. From May 2020 to December 2020, Jackson worked the first shift on and off.
- 37. During that time, Jackson continued to harass Plaintiff. Plaintiff continued to report the harassment to his supervisors.
- 38. Jackson repeatedly informed other employees when Plaintiff was in earshot that he had a knife in his pocket.
- 39. Plaintiff's supervisors said they would remedy Jackson's behavior, but it did not stop.
- 40. On December 3, 2020, Jackson and his second shift supervisor were conversing in front of the timeclock blocking Plaintiff from clocking out.
- 41. Plaintiff politely asked Jackson and the supervisor to move, and Plaintiff clocked out.
  - 42. No altercation took place.
- 43. There is no policy prohibiting employees from asking others to refrain from blocking the timeclock upon information and belief.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

