

1 Pamela B. Petersen
2 Arizona Bar No. 011512
3 **Axon Enterprise, Inc.**
4 17800 N. 85th Street
5 Scottsdale, AZ 85255-9603
6 Telephone: (623) 326-6016
7 Facsimile: (480) 905-2027
8 ppetersen@axon.com
9 Secondary: legal@axon.com

10 Garret G. Rasmussen
11 Antony P. Kim
12 Jonathan A. Drenfeld
13 Thomas Fu
14 **Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP**
15 1152 Fifteenth Street N.W.
16 Washington D.C. 20005
17 Telephone: (202) 339-8400
18 Facsimile: (202) 339-8500
19 grasmussen@orrick.com
20 akim@orrick.com
21 jdrenfeld@orrick.com
22 tfu@orrick.com

23 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Axon Enterprise, Inc.*

24 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
25 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

26 Axon Enterprise, Inc.,
27
28 Plaintiff,
29
30 v.
31
32 Federal Trade Commission, et al.,
33
34 Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00014-PHX-DWL

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
AND SUPPORTING
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS
AND AUTHORITIES**

(Oral Argument Requested)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INTRODUCTION 1

ARGUMENT 3

I. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO HEAR AXON’S CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS..... 3

II. THIS COURT SHOULD ISSUE A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION..... 6

 A. Axon Is Likely to Prevail on Count I—Its Fifth Amendment Due Process and Equal Protection Claims. 6

 1. The FTC’s Internal Administrative Process Violates the Due Process Clause. 6

 2. The FTC’s Structure and Procedural Rules Violate the Equal Protection Clause. 9

 B. Axon Is Likely to Prevail on Count II—Its Article II Claim. 12

 C. Axon Has Suffered and Will Continue to Suffer Irreparable Harm..... 15

 1. Deprivation of Constitutional Rights..... 15

 2. Impossibility of Monetary Recovery. 16

 D. The Balance of Equities Favor Axon..... 16

 E. The Public Interest Favors Issuing a Preliminary Injunction..... 17

RELIEF REQUESTED 17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

1		
2		
3	Cases	
4	<i>American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Comm. v. Reno</i> , 70 F.3d 1045 (9th Cir. 1995)	19
5	<i>Amos Treat & Co. v. SEC</i> , 306 F.2d 260 (D.C. Cir. 1962).....	18
6	<i>Baldwin v. Hale</i> , 1 Wall. 223 (1864)	8
7		
8	<i>Bell v. Hood</i> , 327 U.S. 678 (1946)	3
9	<i>Citicorp Servs., Inc. v. Gillespie</i> , 712 F. Supp. 749 (N.D. Cal. 1989).....	18
10	<i>City of Cleburne, Tex. v. Cleburne Living Center</i> , 473 U.S. 432 (1985).....	11
11		
12	<i>Cochran v. SEC</i> , No. 19-10396 (5th Cir. Sept. 24, 2019).....	18
13	<i>Correctional Servs. Corp. v. Malesko</i> , 534 U.S. 61 (2001)	3
14	<i>El Rescate Legal Servs., Inc. v. Exec. Office of Immigration Review</i> , 959 F.2d 742 (9th Cir. 1991).....	7
15		
16	<i>Ezell v. City of Chicago</i> , 651 F.3d 684 (7th Cir. 2011).....	2, 18
17	<i>Feinerman v. Bernardi</i> , 558 F. Supp. 2d 36 (D.D.C. 2008).....	19
18	<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.</i> , 561 U.S. 477 (2010).....	passim
19		
20	<i>FTC v. Cinderella Career & Finishing Schs.</i> , 404 F.2d 1308 (D.C. Cir. 1968).....	9
21	<i>Goldie's Bookstore, Inc. v. Superior Court</i> , 739 F.2d 466 (9th Cir. 1984).....	2, 18
22	<i>Grolier Inc. v. FTC</i> , 615 F.2d 1215 (9th Cir. 1980)	10
23		
24	<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i> , 542 U.S. 507 (2004)	8
25	<i>Hosp. Corp. of America v. FTC</i> , 807 F.2d 1381 (7th Cir. 1986).....	14
26	<i>Howard v. FAA</i> , 17 F.3d 1213 (9th Cir. 1994)	4
27	<i>Humphrey's Executor v. United States</i> , 295 U.S. 602 (1935).....	15, 16
28	<i>Jones Bros., Inc. v. Sec'y of Labor</i> , 898 F.3d 669 (6th Cir. 2018).....	4

1 *Kennecott Copper Corp. v. FTC*, 467 F.2d 67 (10th Cir. 1972)..... 9

2 *McNary v. Haitian Refugee Center, Inc.*, 498 U.S. 479 (1991) 6

3

4 *Morrison v. Olson*, 487 U.S. 654 (1988)..... 16

5 *Myers v. United States*, 272 U.S. 52 (1926).....2, 15

6 *New Orleans Pub. Serv., Inc. v. New Orleans*, 491 U.S. 350 (1989)..... 7

7

8 *PPH Corp. v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau*, 881 F.3d 75 (D.C. Cir. 2018)..... 17

9 *Printz v. United States*, 521 U.S. 898 (1997) 15

10 *Rodriguez v. Robbins*, 715 F.3d 1127 (9th Cir. 2013) 3

11 *Sioux City Bridge Co. v. Dakota Cty., Neb.*, 260 U.S. 441 (1923) 11

12

13 *Szonyi v. Barr*, 942 F.3d 874 (9th Cir. 2019)..... 7

14 *Telecommunication’s Research & Action Center v. FCC*, 750 F.2d 70 (D.C. Cir. 1984)..... 5, 7

15 *Time Warner Entertainment Co., L.P. v. FCC*, 93 F.3d 957 (D.C. Cir. 1996)..... 5

16

17 *United Church of the Med. Ctr. v. Med. Ctr. Comm’n*, 689 F.2d 693 (7th Cir. 1982) 19

18 *United States v. Baker Hughes, Inc.*, 908 F.2d 981 (D.C. Cir. 1990)..... 12, 14

19 *United States v. New York*, 708 F.2d 92 (2d Cir. 1983) 20

20

21 *Valley v. Rapides Parish Sch. Bd.*, 118 F.3d 1047 (5th Cir. 1997)..... 19

22 *Williams v. Pennsylvania*, 136 S. Ct. 1899 (2016) 8

23 *Willowbrook v. Olech*, 528 U.S. 562 (2000)..... 11

24

25 *Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7 (2008) 7

26 *Withrow v. Larkin*, 421 U.S. 35 (1975)..... 8, 9

27 *Zobel v. Williams*, 457 U.S. 55 (1982) 11

28

1	Statutes	
2	15 U.S.C. § 18.....	10
3		
4	15 U.S.C. § 25.....	10, 11
5	15 U.S.C. § 41.....	13
6	15 U.S.C. § 45(b).....	10, 11
7		
8	15 U.S.C. § 45(c).....	4, 11
9	15 U.S.C. § 45(n).....	10
10	15 U.S.C. §§ 41-42.....	2
11	15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58.....	3
12		
13	28 U.S.C. § 1291.....	11
14	28 U.S.C. § 1331.....	3
15	28 U.S.C. § 2680.....	16
16		
17	5 U.S.C. § 1202(d).....	14
18	5 U.S.C. § 702.....	16
19	5 U.S.C. § 7521(a), (b)(1).....	14
20		
21	Rules	
22	Fed. R. App. P. 3(a)(1).....	11
23		
24	Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a).....	12
25	Regulations	
26	16 C.F.R. § 3.1.....	11
27		
28	16 C.F.R. § 3.54.....	11
	16 C.F.R. § 3.54(b).....	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.