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## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
Allied World Specialty Insurance Company f/k/a Darwin National Assurance Company, Plaintiff, $\quad$ JUDGMENT
vS.
Blue Cross Blue Shield Of Arizona, Inc., Defendants.

Plaintiff, Allied World Specialty Insurance Company f/k/a Darwin National Assurance Company ("Allied World"), brings this action seeking declaratory relief against the Defendant, Blue Cross \& Blue Shield of Arizona, Inc. ("BCBS-AZ"), and alleges the following:

## Parties, Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This is a diversity action for declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 to declare the rights and other legal relations of the parties regarding an insurance policy issued by Allied World.
2. Allied World is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
3. BCBS-AZ is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona with its principal place of business in the State of Arizona.
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9. On December 12, 2012, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation consolidated both the Provider and Subscriber lawsuits and transferred them to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, thus creating the MDL litigation referred to as In Re: Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation, Master File No 2:13-cv-20000-RDP (the "MDL Action"). A copy of the December 12, 2012 MDL Transfer Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
10. In consolidating the Providers' and the Subscribers' actions, the MDL Panel found: "Here, the actions involve substantial common questions of fact relating to the state BCBS entities' relationship with the national association, BCBSA, and the licensing agreements that limit the Blue Plans' activity to exclusive service areas, among other restrictions." MDL Transfer Order at 2.
11. Pursuant to an Order issued by the MDL Court, two consolidated complaints were filed in the MDL Action on July 1, 2013, one for the "provider track" (the "Provider Complaint") and one for the "subscriber track" (the "Subscriber Complaint"), both of which have been amended several times. A copy of the Consolidated Fourth Amended Provider Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit 2; a copy of the Subscriber Track Fourth Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
12. BCBS-AZ was first named as a defendant in each the Provider Complaint and in the Subscriber Complaint when the consolidated complaints were filed on July 1, 2013.
13. The Provider Complaint alleges that the Blues have been engaged for many years in an agreement not to compete against one another, but instead to cooperate and
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operational uniformity on themselves decades ago by carving out exclusive service areas and establishing BCBSA's uniform rules and regulations, including BCBSA's Membership Standards and Guidelines. The Blues allegedly formalized their cooperation agreement in their trademark licenses.
17. The Subscriber Complaint seeks injunctive relief prohibiting the Blues, including BCBS-AZ, from entering into, honoring, or enforcing any agreements that restrict territories or geographic areas, and it also seeks to eliminate restrictions on the Blues' activities. The Complaint further seeks money damages in the form of treble damages of the amount by which the plaintiffs allege premiums were artificially inflated above their competitive levels.
18. On November 30, 2020, the Court in the MDL Action preliminarily approved a settlement of the Subscribers' claims, pursuant to which the Blues agreed to pay $\$ 2.67$ billion. A copy of the Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement, Plan of Distribution, and Notice Plan, and Directing Notice to the Class is attached as Exhibit 4.
19. The Provider Complaint and the Subscriber Complaint are based upon the same or related conduct of the Blues relating to the Blues' "relationship with the national association, BCBSA, and the licensing agreements that limit the Blue Plans’ activity . . . ." MDL Transfer Order at 2. The Complaints differ only with respect to the alleged harm to the Providers and to the Subscribers. Both Complaints seek damages in excess of this Court's jurisdictional limit and invoke federal question jurisdiction.

## Prior Related Litigation - Love

20. BCBS-AZ was also a defendant in a prior class action litigation styled Love v.
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