

1 **BEGAM MARKS & TRAULSEN, P.A.**

2 11201 North Tatum Blvd., Suite 110

3 Phoenix, Arizona 85028-6037

4 (602) 254-6071

5 Richard P. Traulsen – State Bar #016050

6 [rtraulsen@BMT-law.com](mailto:rtraulsen@BMT-law.com)

7 *Local Counsel for Plaintiff*

8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

10 Andrea Wilkerson, individually and on  
11 behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 Walgreens Specialty Pharmacy, LLC d/b/a  
15 AllianceRX Walgreens Prime and  
16 Healthcare Support Staffing, Inc.

17 Defendants.  
18

Case No.:

**COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION  
COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL  
DEMAND**

19 Plaintiff, ANDREA WILKERSON (“Wilkerson”) by and through her undersigned  
20 attorneys, hereby brings this Collective and Class Action Complaint against Defendants,  
21 WALGREENS SPECIALTY PHARMACY, LLC (“AllianceRx”) and HEALTHCARE  
22 SUPPORT STAFFING, INC. (“Healthcare Staffing”) (collectively “Defendants”) and  
23 states as follows:  
24

25 **INTRODUCTION**

26  
27 1. This is a class and collective action brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself  
28 and all similarly situated current and/or former Call Center Representative employees of

1 Defendants to recover for Defendants’ willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act  
2 (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., the Arizona Wage Act, A.R.S. §§ 23-350, et seq., and  
3 A.R.S. §§ 23-364 (the “Arizona Wage Act”), and alleged contractual obligations (or unjust  
4 enrichment if no contract is found), and other appropriate rules, regulations, statutes, and  
5 ordinances.  
6

7  
8 2. The U.S. Department of Labor (“DOL”) recognizes that call center jobs, like  
9 those held by Plaintiff in Defendants’ call center locations, are homogenous and issued  
10 guidance to alert and condemn an employer’s non-payment of an employee’s necessary  
11 preliminary and postliminary activities. See DOL Fact Sheet #64, attached hereto as  
12 Exhibit A at 2 (“An example of the first principal activity of the day for  
13 agents/specialists/representatives working in call centers includes starting the computer to  
14 download work instructions, computer applications and work-related emails.”)  
15 Additionally, the FLSA requires that “[a] daily or weekly record of all hours worked,  
16 including time spent in pre-shift and post-shift job-related activities must be kept.” Id.  
17

18  
19 3. Defendants subjected Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, to Defendants’  
20 policy and practice of failing to compensate its call center employees for their necessary  
21 pre-shift time, which resulted in the failure to properly compensate them as required under  
22 applicable federal and state laws.  
23

24  
25 4. Plaintiff seeks a declaration that her rights, the rights of the FLSA Collective  
26 Class, and the rights of the Rule 23 Classes were violated and seeks to recover an award of  
27 unpaid wages and overtime premiums, liquidated damages, penalties, injunctive and  
28

1 declaratory relief, attorneys’ fees and costs, pre- and post-judgment interest, and any other  
2 remedies to which they may be entitled.

3  
4 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5 5. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s FLSA claims  
6 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiff’s claims arise under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C.  
7 §§ 201, et seq.  
8

9 6. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s FLSA claim  
10 pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), which provides that suits under the FLSA “may be  
11 maintained against any employer . . . in any Federal or State court of competent  
12 jurisdiction.”  
13

14 7. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s state law claims  
15 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because these claims arise from a common set of operative  
16 facts and are so related to the claims within this Court’s original jurisdiction that they form  
17 a part of the same case or controversy.  
18

19 8. Upon information and belief, Defendants’ annual sales exceed \$500,000 and  
20 they have more than two employees, so the FLSA applies in this case on an enterprise  
21 basis. See 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A).  
22

23 9. Defendants’ employees, including Plaintiff, engage in interstate  
24 commerce—including, but not limited to utilizing telephone lines and Internet—and  
25 therefore, they are also covered by the FLSA on an individual basis.  
26

27 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant AllianceRx because it  
28

1 maintains offices in the State of Arizona.

2 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Healthcare Staffing  
3 because the company does business within the State of Arizona, is registered with the State  
4 of Arizona, and avails itself of business with companies located within the State of Arizona.  
5

6 12. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because  
7 Defendants conduct substantial business within this District, and because a substantial  
8 portion of the events that give rise to the claims pled in this Complaint occurred in this  
9 District.  
10

11 **PARTIES**

12  
13 13. Plaintiff Wilkerson is an individual who resides in the County of Maricopa,  
14 City of Phoenix, Arizona. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a Call Center Representative  
15 from September 2020 to May 2021. Plaintiff executed her Consent to Sue form, attached  
16 hereto as Exhibit B.  
17

18 14. Defendant AllianceRx is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Deerfield,  
19 Illinois. AllianceRx operates customer service call center locations in Tempe, Arizona;  
20 Dallas, Texas; Canton, Michigan; and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. *See* “Locations”  
21 <https://www.alliancerxwp.com/about-us> (last visited July 8, 2021).  
22

23 15. AllianceRx is a joint venture between one of the largest retail drugstores,  
24 Walgreens, and pharmacy benefit manager Prime Therapeutics that provides pharmacy  
25 services to consumers. *See generally*, <https://www.alliancerxwp.com/> (last visited July 8,  
26 2021).  
27  
28

1           16. AllianceRx may accept service via its registered agent Illinois Corporation  
2 Service at 801 Adlai Stevenson Drive, Springfield, IL 62703. AllianceRx does not maintain  
3 a foreign corporation registration with the Arizona Corporation Commission.  
4

5           17. Defendant Healthcare Staffing is a Florida corporation headquartered in  
6 Maitland, Florida that provides labor staffing for its clients, including AllianceRx.  
7

8           18. Healthcare Staffing specializes in labor staffing for the health care industry,  
9 including customer service representatives for pharmacies and pharmacy benefit managers.  
10 See “Customer Service Reps,” [https://www.healthcaresupport.com/customer-service-reps-](https://www.healthcaresupport.com/customer-service-reps-2/)  
11 [2/](https://www.healthcaresupport.com/customer-service-reps-2/) (last visited July 9, 2021).  
12

13           19. Healthcare Staffing may accept service via its registered agent Cogency  
14 Global, Inc. at 300 W Clarendon Avenue, Suite 240, Phoenix, Arizona 85013.  
15

16           20. At all relevant times, Defendants were members of, and engaged in, a joint  
17 venture, partnership, and common enterprise, and were acting within the course and scope  
18 of, and in pursuant of said joint venture, partnership, or common enterprise.  
19

20           21. Upon information and belief, Healthcare Staffing screened employees for  
21 AllianceRx. After Healthcare Staffing offered these joint employees employment at  
22 AllianceRx, the joint employees began working for Defendants at AllianceRx’s call center  
23 located in Tempe, Arizona.  
24

25           22. Upon information and belief, Healthcare Staffing was compensated at the  
26 time joint employees began work for AllianceRx, and Healthcare Staffing continued to be  
27 compensated on an ongoing basis while joint employees remained working at AllianceRx’s  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.