

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CRITTENDEN COUNTY, ARKANSAS**

STATE OF ARKANSAS, *ex rel.* SCOTT EL-  
LINGTON, Second Judicial Circuit Prosecuting  
Attorney, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PURDUE PHARMA, L.P., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 18CV-18-268

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO  
DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                               | Page |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| INTRODUCTION.....                                                             | 1    |
| LEGAL STANDARD .....                                                          | 3    |
| ARGUMENT.....                                                                 | 3    |
| I.    PLAINTIFFS’ CLAIMS ARE BARRED BY THE<br>REMOTENESS DOCTRINE .....       | 3    |
| II.   THE CAUSAL CHAIN IS TOO TENUOUS TO STATE A<br>CLAIM.....                | 6    |
| III.  PLAINTIFFS’ INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS FAIL FOR CLAIM-<br>SPECIFIC REASONS ..... | 10   |
| A.  The Negligence and Gross Negligence Claims Fail.....                      | 10   |
| B.  The Public Nuisance Claim Fails.....                                      | 14   |
| C.  The Uniform Narcotic Drug Act Claims Fail.....                            | 18   |
| D.  The Controlled Substances Act Claims Fail.....                            | 21   |
| E.  The Drug Dealer Liability Act Claim Fails .....                           | 22   |
| CONCLUSION .....                                                              | 24   |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**Page(s)**

### **CASES**

|                                                                                                                                             |         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| <i>Ark. Dep’t of Env’tl. Quality v. Brighton Corp.</i> ,<br>352 Ark. 396, 102 S.W.3d 458 (2003).....                                        | 3       |
| <i>Arkansas Carpenters’ Health &amp; Welfare Fund v. Philip Morris, Inc.</i> ,<br>75 F. Supp. 2d 936 (E.D. Ark. 1999).....                  | 1, 5    |
| <i>Ashley Cnty. v. Pfizer, Inc.</i> ,<br>552 F.3d 659 (8th Cir. 2009) .....                                                                 | 2, 6, 7 |
| <i>Astra USA, Inc. v. Santa Clara Cty.</i> ,<br>563 U.S. 110 (2011).....                                                                    | 13      |
| <i>Ballard Grp., Inc. v. BP Lubricants USA, Inc.</i> ,<br>2014 Ark. 276, 436 S.W.3d 445 (2014).....                                         | 20      |
| <i>Biedenharn v. Thicksten</i> ,<br>361 Ark. 438, 206 S.W.3d 837 (2005).....                                                                | 3       |
| <i>Central Okla. Pipeline, Inc. v. Hawk Field Services, LLC</i> ,<br>2012 Ark. 157, 400 S.W.3d 701 (2012).....                              | 11      |
| <i>City &amp; Cnty. of San Francisco v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> ,<br>No. 3:18-cv-07591-CRB, 2020 WL 5816488 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2020) ..... | 9       |
| <i>City of Chicago v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp.</i> ,<br>821 N.E.2d 1099 (Ill. 2004).....                                                        | 15, 16  |
| <i>City of New Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> ,<br>No. X07 HHD CV 17 6086134 S, 2019 WL 423990 (Conn. Super. Ct. Jan. 8, 2019) .....       | 9       |
| <i>City of New York v. Smokes-Spirits.Com, Inc.</i> ,<br>911 N.E.2d 834 (N.Y. 2009).....                                                    | 4       |
| <i>City of Philadelphia v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp.</i> ,<br>277 F.3d 415 (3d Cir. 2002) .....                                                  | 4       |
| <i>City of Surprise v. Allergan plc et al.</i> ,<br>No. CV 2019-003439 (Ariz. Super. Ct. Oct. 29, 2020) .....                               | 12      |
| <i>Cnty. of Cook v. Philip Morris, Inc.</i> ,<br>817 N.E.2d 1039 (Ill. Ct. App. 2004) .....                                                 | 4       |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

|                                                                                                                      | Page(s)  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| <i>Effler v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> ,<br>No. E2018-01994-SC-R11-CV, 2020 WL 7394301 (Tenn. Dec. 17, 2020) .....      | 24       |
| <i>First Commercial Tr. Co. v. Lorcin Eng'g, Inc.</i> ,<br>321 Ark. 210, 900 S.W.2d 202 (1995).....                  | 10       |
| <i>Ford v. State</i> ,<br>75 Ark. App. 126, 55 S.W.3d 315 (2001).....                                                | 20, 22   |
| <i>Ganim v. Smith &amp; Wesson Corp.</i> ,<br>780 A.2d 98 (Conn. 2001) .....                                         | 4        |
| <i>Grewal v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> ,<br>No. C-80-18 (N.J. Super. Ct. Oct. 21, 2019) .....                | 17       |
| <i>Higgins v. Conn. Light &amp; Power Co.</i> ,<br>30 A.2d 388 (Conn. 1943) .....                                    | 16       |
| <i>Holmes v. Sec. Inv'r Prot. Corp.</i> ,<br>503 U.S. 258 (1992).....                                                | 3        |
| <i>In re Lead Paint Litig.</i> ,<br>924 A.2d 484 (2007).....                                                         | 16       |
| <i>In re Nat'l Prescription Opiate Litig.</i> ,<br>No. 1:17-md-2804, 2019 WL 3737023 (N.D. Ohio June 13, 2019).....  | 12       |
| <i>In re Nat'l Prescription Opiate Litig.</i> ,<br>No. 1:17-md-2804, 2019 WL 4043938 (N.D. Ohio Aug. 26, 2019) ..... | 13       |
| <i>Indep. Cnty. v. Pfizer, Inc.</i> ,<br>534 F. Supp. 2d 882 (E.D. Ark. 2008).....                                   | 1, 3, 14 |
| <i>Lovell v. Brock</i> ,<br>330 Ark. 206, 952 S.W.2d 161 (1997).....                                                 | 6, 8     |
| <i>Mangrum v. Pigue</i> ,<br>359 Ark. 373, 198 S.W.3d 496 (2004).....                                                | 9        |
| <i>Milligan v. General Oil Co.</i> ,<br>293 Ark. 401, 738 S.W.2d 404 (1987).....                                     | 14       |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

|                                                                                                                                        | Page(s) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| <i>Nat’l R.R. Passenger Corp. v. Nat’l Ass’n of R.R. Passengers</i> ,<br>414 U.S. 453 (1974).....                                      | 22      |
| <i>Owens Corning v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> ,<br>868 So. 2d 331 (Miss. 2004).....                                                | 5       |
| <i>Ozark Poultry Prods., Inc. v. Garman</i> ,<br>251 Ark. 389, 472 S.W.2d 714 (1971).....                                              | 15      |
| <i>People of the State of Illinois v. Johnson &amp; Johnson et al.</i> ,<br>No. 19 CH 10481 (Ill. Cir. Ct. Jan. 8, 2021).....          | 8       |
| <i>Peregrine Trading, LLC v. Rowe</i> ,<br>2018 Ark. App. 176, 546 S.W.3d 518 (2018).....                                              | 13      |
| <i>Perrodin v. Rooker</i> ,<br>322 Ark. 117, 908 S.W.2d 85 (1995).....                                                                 | 3       |
| <i>State ex rel. Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> ,<br>No. N18C-01-223 MMJ CCLD, 2019 WL 446382 (Del. Super. Ct. Feb. 4, 2019) ..... | 17      |
| <i>State ex rel. Nessel v. Cardinal Health, Inc. et al.</i> ,<br>No. 19-016896-NZ (Mich. Cir. Ct. Nov. 17, 2020).....                  | 11, 17  |
| <i>State ex rel. Stenehjem v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> ,<br>No. 08-2018-CV-01300, 2019 WL 2245743 (N.D. Dist. Ct. May 10, 2019).....     | 2, 7, 9 |
| <i>State v. Lead Indus. Ass’n</i> ,<br>951 A.2d 428 (R.I. 2008).....                                                                   | 15      |
| <i>Steamfitters Loc. Union No. 420 Welfare Fund v. Philip Morris, Inc.</i> ,<br>171 F.3d 912 (3d Cir. 1999) .....                      | 8       |
| <i>Streambend Properties II, LLC v. Ivy Tower Minneapolis, LLC</i> ,<br>781 F.3d 1003 (8th Cir. 2015) .....                            | 20      |
| <i>Tilley v. Malvern Nat’l Bank</i> ,<br>2017 Ark. 343, 532 S.W.3d 570 (Ark. 2017) .....                                               | 19      |
| <i>Transamerica Mortgage Advisors, Inc. v. Lewis</i> ,<br>444 U.S. 11 (1979).....                                                      | 22      |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.