Case 2:14-cv-03113-JAK-JEM Document 88 Filed 04/17/15 Page 1 of 132 Page ID #:6093

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	LA CV14-02454 JAK (JEMx) LA CV14-02457 JAK (JEMx) LA CV14-02962 JAK (JEMx) LA CV14-02963 JAK (JEMx) LA CV14-03108 JAK (JEMx) LA CV14-03109 JAK (JEMx)	LA CV14-03111 LA CV14-03113 LA CV14-03114 SA CV14-00491 SA CV14-00497	<mark>JAK (JEMx)</mark> JAK (JEMx) JAK (JEMx)	Date	April 17, 2015
Title	Signal IP v. American Honda Mot Signal IP v. Kia Motors America, I Signal IP v. Nissan North America Signal IP v. Subaru of America, Ir Signal IP v. Jaguar Land Rover N Signal IP v. Mercedes-Benz USA	nc. a, Inc. nc. orth Am., LLC	Signal IP v. Volk Signal IP v. Pors Signal IP v. Maze	swagen (che Cars da Motor	America, LLC, et al. Group of America, Inc. of North America, Inc. of America, Inc. tors North America, Inc.

JOHN A. KRONSTADT, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Andrea Keifer

Not Reported Court Reporter / Recorder

Deputy Clerk

Present: The Honorable

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Not Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER RE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND	4
III.	LEGAL STANDARD	5
Α	Claim Construction	5
В	Means Plus Function Claim Limitations	7
С	Definiteness	7
IV.	ANALYSIS	8
Α	. The '927 Patent	8

Term No. 2: "Variable Sustain Time" (Claims 1 and 2).....14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No	0. LA CV14-024	54 JAK (JEMx) AND RELATED CASES Date April 17, 2015
Title	Signal IP v. Ar	nerican Honda Motor Co., Inc AND RELATED CASES
	Term No. 4:	"A Threshold Time" (Claim 1)23
	Term No. 5:	"Improving the Perceived Zone of Coverage" (Claim 1)
В.	The '375 Patent.	
	Term No. 6:	"Force Distribution" (Claim 1)29
	Term No. 7:	"On the Passenger Seat" (Claim 1)
	Term No. 8:	"Seat Area" (Claim 1)35
	Term No. 9:	"Sensor Array / Array of Force Sensors" (Claim 1)
	Term No. 10:	"Seat Area Threshold Force" (Claim 1)
	Term No. 11:	"Concentrated" (Claim 1)41
С.	The '007 Patent .	
	Term No. 12:	"Seat Sensors" (Claims 1, 17, 18 & 19)48
	Term No. 13:	"Lock Flag" / "Flag" (Claims 1, 17)51
	Term No. 14:	"For a Time" / "For a Given Time" (Claims 1 & 17)53
	Term No. 15:	"A Second Threshold" (Claim 20)
	Term No. 16:	"Relative Weight Parameter" (Claims 1, 17, 20-22)60
	Term No. 17:	"Setting" / "Set a Lock Flag When" (Claims 1, 17)63
	Term No. 18:	"A Level Indicative of an Empty Seat" (Claims 1, 17)
	Term No. 19:	"Arrayed in an Interface Defined by the Bottom Surface" (Claim 19)68
	Term No. 20: Seat Sensors I	"Means for Selectively Allowing Deployment According to the Outputs of Responding to the Weight of an Occupant" (Claim 1)"
	Term No. 21:	"Means for Inhibiting and Allowing Deployment" (Claim 17)73
D.	The '486 Patent.	
	Term No. 22: Warning Dista	"Warning Distance Based upon the Current Steering Angle"/ "Desired nce" (Claims 21 & 28)
E.	The '601 Patent.	

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Case 2:14-cv-03113-JAK-JEM Document 88 Filed 04/17/15 Page 3 of 132 Page ID #:6095

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	LA CV14-0245	4 JAK (JEMx) AND RELATED CASES	Date	April 17, 2015
Title	Signal IP v. An	nerican Honda Motor Co., Inc AND RELATED CASES	;	
	Term Nos. 23 8	& 25: [Listed in Chart Below]		
	Term No. 24: Vehicle Torque	"Threshold Torque Range Indicative of Condi Demand" (Claim 8)		•
	Term No. 25:	[See Term No. 23 (above)]		97
	• •	"Regions of Relatively High and Low Efficiend Efficiency" / "Relatively High and Relatively L	ow Effi	ciency (Claims 15, 17)
	Term No. 27: Efficiency in an	"Mapping" / "Mapping the Respective Region Efficiency Map for the Propulsion Unit" (Claim		
	Term No. 28:	"Efficiency Map" (Claims 15 & 17)		101
F. Th	ne '374 Patent			105
	Term No. 29: Transmitters ar	"All Having the Same Data Format but Disting ad Vehicle Function Transmitters" (Claim 1)		
	Term No. 30:	"A Switch Activated by a Vehicle User" (Clain	n 3)	111
	Term No. 31:	"Sign Up Message" (Claim 3)		113
	Term No. 32:	"Each Tire" (Claim 3)		116
G. Th	ne '775 Patent			117
	Term No. 33:	"Message Rate" (Claim 6)		120
	Term No. 34:	"Message Rate Interval" (Claim 6)		122
	Term No. 35:	"Message" (Claim 6)		127
	Term No. 36:	"Complete Message" / "Fragment of a Compl	ete Me	ssage" (Claim 6)128
IV. CONC	LUSION			

DOCKET

Case 2:14-cv-03113-JAK-JEM Document 88 Filed 04/17/15 Page 4 of 132 Page ID #:6096

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	LA CV14-02454 JAK (JEMx) AND RELATED CASES	Date	April 17, 2015
Title	Signal IP v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc AND RELATED CASES	6	

I. INTRODUCTION

In April, 2014, Plaintiff Signal IP ("Plaintiff") brought separate actions against Defendants Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc. ("Mitsubishi"), Mazda Motor of America, Inc. ("Mazda"), BMW of North America, LLC ("BMW"), Porsche Cars North America, Inc. ("Porsche"), American Honda Motor Co., Inc. and Honda of America Mfg., Inc. (collectively, "Honda"), Nissan North America, Inc. ("Nissan"), Mercedes-Benz USA LLC ("Mercedes"), Volkswagen Group of America, Audi of America, LLC, and Bentley Motors, Inc. (collectively "VW/Bentley"), Jaguar Land Rover North America, LLC ("Jaguar"), Subaru of America, Inc. ("Subaru"), and Kia Motors America, Inc. ("Kia") (collectively, "Defendants"), alleging infringement as to one or more of seven U.S. Patents (the "Patents in Suit").¹

The parties filed their Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement ("Joint Statement") on January 30, 2015, *Signal IP, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc.*, No. LA CV14-2454, Dkt. 46, and their Amended Joint Claim Construction Brief ("Joint Brief") and Joint Evidentiary Appendix ("JA") on March 11, 2015, *Signal IP, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc.*, No. LA CV14-2454, Dkts. 52-53.² The parties disputed the construction of 36 terms. *Id.* The week before the hearing, the parties came to agreement on one of those terms. This left 35 for construction. Notice of Agreed Construction as to Claim Term Threshold Time, Dkt. 55.

A *Markman* hearing was held on March 31, 2015, and the matter was taken under submission. Minutes of *Markman* Hearing, Dkt. 57. The disputed terms are construed, or otherwise addressed, in this Order.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Patents in Suit are: U.S. Patent No. 5,714,927 ("the '927 Patent"), "Method of Improving Zone of Coverage Response of Automotive Radar"; U.S. Patent No. 5,732,375 ("the '375 Patent"), "Method of Inhibiting or Allowing Airbag Deployment"; U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007 ("the '007 Patent"), "Occupant Detection Method and System for Air Bag System"; U.S. Patent No. 6,434,486 ("the '486 Patent"), "Technique for Limiting the Range of an Object Sensing System in a Vehicle"; U.S. Patent No. 6,775, 601 ("the '601 Patent"), "Method and Control System for Controlling Propulsion in a Hybrid Vehicle"; U.S. Patent No. 5,463,374 ("the '374 Patent"), "Method and Apparatus for Tire Pressure Monitoring and for Shared Keyless Entry Control"; and U.S. Patent No. 5,954,775 ("the '775 Patent"), "Dual-rate Communication Protocol." Joint Report, Dkt.35 at 3-4.

The following table shows the patents that are asserted against each Defendant.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

¹ Several additional defendants were named in cases that have been dismissed or transferred from this District.

² I Inless otherwise noted all references to a docket number are to Signal IP. Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co. Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

LA CV14-02454 JAK (JEMx) -- AND RELATED CASES Case No. Date

April 17, 2015

Title

Signal IP v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. -- AND RELATED CASES

Patent	Honda	Kia	Mazda	Mitsubishi	Nissan	Subaru	Jaguar	Mercedes	BMW	٨W	Porsche
601 Patent	Х	Х			Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
'486 Patent	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х		Х	Х	х	Х
'775 Patent								Х	Х	х	
'375 Patent	Х	Х	х	Х	х				Х	х	
'007 Patent	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х		Х	Х	х	Х
'927 Patent	Х	Х	х		х		Х	Х	Х	х	Х
'374 Patent			Х	Х	Х	Х					

III. LEGAL STANDARD

Claim Construction Α.

Claim construction is the process of determining the meaning and scope of patent claims. Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 52 F.3d 967, 976 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), aff'd, 517 U.S. 370 (1996). It is a matter that is addressed by the district court; in general, the findings are reviewed *de novo* on appeal, although underlying factual determinations are reviewed for clear error. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., 135 S. Ct. 831, 840-41 (2015).

"[T]he words of a claim are generally given their ordinary and customary meaning," which is "the meaning that the term would have to a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of the invention, i.e., as of the effective filing date of the patent application." Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (internal citations and guotations omitted). "In some cases, the ordinary meaning of claim language as understood by a person of skill in the art may be readily apparent even to lay judges, and claim construction in such cases involves little more than the application of the widely accepted meaning of commonly understood words." Id. at 1314. "In such circumstances, general purpose dictionaries may be helpful." Id. "In many cases that give rise to litigation, however, determining the ordinary and customary meaning of the claim requires examination of terms that have a particular meaning in a field of art." Id.

"Because the meaning of a claim term as understood by persons of skill in the art is often not immediately apparent, and because patentees frequently use terms idiosyncratically, the court looks to 'those sources available to the public that show what a person of skill in the art would have understood disputed claim language to mean." Id. (quoting Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc., 381 F.3d 1111, 1116 (Fed. Cir. 2004)). "Those sources include 'the words of the claims themselves, the remainder of the specification, the prosecution history, and extrinsic evidence concerning relevant scientific principles, the meaning of technical terms, and the state of the art." Id.

Claim construction "begins and ends" with the words of the claims. Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' Azioni 150 E 2d 12/2 12/0 (End. Cir. 1000) "Quite apart from the written description and the



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.