

28 to Paragraph 2 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on such

3

grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation contained therein.

to Paragraph 4 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on said

grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation contained

Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation

Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation

Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation

Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the First Amended

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4

3. Defendant admits that she recently announced the launch of her own music label in partnership with Cutting Edge Group. In further answer to Paragraph 3 of the First Amended Complaint, Phan denies, generally and specifically, each and

6

7

5

every other allegation contained therein.4. Defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to enable her to respond

8

10

therein.

5.

6.

7.

8.

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

Complaint.

9. Defendant admits that she has done business in the State of California. In further answer to Paragraph 9 of the First Amended Complaint, Phan denies, generally

and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.

contained in Paragraph 5 of the First Amended Complaint.

contained in Paragraph 6 of the First Amended Complaint.

contained in Paragraph 7 of the First Amended Complaint.

10. Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the First Amended Complaint.

11. Defendant admits that venue is proper in the Central District ofCalifornia. In further answer to Paragraph 11 of the First Amended Complaint,

28 | Defendant lacks information or helief sufficient to enable her to respond to such



allegations and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.

3

4

THE PARTIES

5 6

Defendant lacks information or belief sufficient to enable her to respond 12. to the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation

8

9

10

contained therein.

7

13. Defendant lacks information or belief sufficient to enable her to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 13 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation contained therein.

11 12

13

14

14. Defendant lacks information or belief sufficient to enable her to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation contained therein.

15 16

17

18

15. Defendant lacks information or belief sufficient to enable her to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 15 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation contained therein.

19 20

21

16. Defendant lacks information or belief sufficient to enable her to respond to the allegations of Paragraph 16 of the First Amended Complaint and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every allegation contained therein.

23

24

25

22

Defendant admits that she is engaged in the production of videos that are 17. posted on the website, www.YouTube.com. In further answer to Paragraph 17 of the

26

27

First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and

every other allegation contained therein



6

11

9

22

27

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- Defendant lacks information or belief sufficient to enable her to respond 18. to Paragraph 18 of the First Amended Complaint, and, basing her denial on said grounds, denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 19. Defendant admits that she, among other things, is a makeup artist, that she has posted videos on YouTube, and that those videos, among other things, provide make up advice. In further answer to Paragraph 19 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 20. Defendant admits that her YouTube channel, located at www.YouTube.com/user/MichellePhan has more than six million subscribers and that YouTube lists her video "Barbie Transformation Tutorial" as having more than fifty million views. In further answer to Paragraph 20 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Defendant admits that, this year she has been featured in an advertising campaign for YouTube, that the campaign features other YouTube personalities, and that she has appeared in a national advertisement for Dr. Pepper. In further answer to Paragraph 21 of the First Amended Complaint, Phan lacks information and belief to respond to the other allegations of therein, and, on that basis, denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Defendant admits that she has received income from YouTube derived from advertisements that appear in association with her videos. In further answer to Paragraph 22 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Defendant admits that her website located at michellephan.com contains 23. links to her YouTube channel and that her website contains advertising. In further



3

8

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

answer to Paragraph 23 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.

- 24. Defendant admits that she has authored a book and designed a makeup line. In further answer to Paragraph 24 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 25. Defendant admits that, with Plaintiffs' authorization, she has synchronized some of the musical compositions and recordings listed in Schedule A and B of the First Amended Complaint together with visual footage to create audiovisual works, which she has made available to public, consistent with her agreement with Plaintiffs. In further answer to Paragraph 25 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- 26. Defendant admits that Schedule C contains a list of some of Plaintiff's videos and lists some of the musical works contained in those videos. In further answer to Paragraph 26 of the First Amended Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every other allegation contained therein.
- Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each of the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of the First Amended Complaint.
- 28. Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each of the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each of the allegations 29. contained in Paragraph 29 of the First Amended Complaint.

COUNT 1

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

Defendants refer to and incorporate every allegation, admission, and 30. denial set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 29, above.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

