Case 2:16-cv-03493-FMO-AS Document 278-1 Filed 06/17/21 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:10119

	BARON & BUDD, P.C. Scott Summy (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , TX Bar No. 19) SSummy@baronbudd.com Carla Burke Pickrel (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , TX Bar I cburkepickrel@baronbudd.com	
4	3102 Oak Lawn Ave, #1100 Dallas, Texas 75219 Telephone: (214) 521-3605	
	BARON & BUDD, P.C. John P. Fiske (SBN 249256) Fiske@baronbudd.com	
7 8	11440 W. Bernardo Court, Suite 265 San Diego, California 92127 Telephone: (858) 251-7424	
9	Proposed Lead Class Counsel	
10	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF	ORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION
12	CITY OF LONG BEACH, a municipal	CASE NO.: 2:16-cv-03493-FMO-AS
13	corporation; COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a political subdivision; CITY	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
14	OF CHULA VISTA, a municipal corporation; CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF RENEWED MOTION FOR
15	municipal corporation; CITY OF SAN	CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS, PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
16	JOSE, a municipal corporation; CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal corporation;	OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, APPROVAL OF NOTICE PLAN,
17	CITY OF BERKELEY, a municipal corporation; CITY OF SPOKANE, a	APPOINTMENT OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR,
18	municipal corporation; CITY OF TACOMA, a municipal corporation;	AND APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL
19	CITY OF PORTLAND, a municipal corporation; PORT OF PORTLAND, a	[Filed Concurrently with Notice Motion
20	port district of the State of Oregon;	and Motion]
21	BALTIMORE COUNTY, a political subdivision; MAYOR AND CITY	
22	COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE; all individually and on behalf of all others	Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m. Date of Hearing: July 22, 2021
23	similarly situated,	Courtroom: 6D
24	Plaintiffs, v.	Honorable Fernando M. Olguin
25		
26	MONSANTO COMPANY; SOLUTIA INC.; and PHARMACIA LLC; and DOES	
27	1 through 100, Defendants.	
28		
DOC	KET	

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ALARM

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	I.	THE COURT'S MAY 19, 2021 ORDER AND JUNE 4, 2021 MINUTE		
3		ENTRY	2	
4		A. Settlement Agreement Paragraph 712	2	
5		B. Deadline for Applications Under Special Needs Fund, Part B	<u>)</u>	
6		C. Special Master Costs	2	
7		D. Proposed Attorneys' Fees for Class Counsel	3	
8	II.	THE COURT SHOULD GRANT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE		
9		PROPOSED SETTLEMENT6	5	
10	III.	THE COURT SHOULD APPROVE THE CONTENT AND		
11		DISTRIBUTION OF THE PROPOSED NOTICE TO THE SETTLEMENT		
12		CLASS MEMBERS	5	
13	IV.	THE COURT SHOULD APPOINT CLASS COUNSEL	5	
14	V.	ESTABLISHMENT OF FINAL APPROVAL AND FAIRNESS HEARING		
15		AND ASSOCIATED DEADLINES	1	
16	VI.	CONCLUSION	1	
17	EXH	IBIT INDEX9)	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

Case 2:16-cv-03493-FMO-AS Document 278-1 Filed 06/17/21 Page 3 of 12 Page ID #:10121

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES Page(s) Cases Briseño v. Henderson, Glover v. City of Laguna Beach, In re Bluetooth Headset Prods. Liab. Litig., In re Magsafe Apple Power Adapter Litig., In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, and Prods. Liab. Litig., **Rules**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:16-cv-03493-FMO-AS Document 278-1 Filed 06/17/21 Page 4 of 12 Page ID #:10122

Pursuant to the Court's May 19, 2021 Order (ECF 273), Plaintiffs respectfully 1 2 submit this renewed motion for preliminary approval. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference 3 their previously-submitted Points and Authorities in Support of Renewed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement filed on December 31, 2020 (ECF 256) 4 and March 19, 2021 (ECF 267). Additionally, Plaintiffs address herein the specific 5 matters raised by the Court at the May 19, 2021 hearing, including modifications to the 6 Settlement Agreement made in response to the Court's comments, as well as the Court's 7 June 4, 2021 minute entry (ECF 276). 8

Plaintiffs and Defendants Monsanto Company, Solutia Inc., and Pharmacia LLC 9 (collectively, "Monsanto" or "Defendant") have reached a proposed nationwide class 10 action settlement (the "Settlement") to resolve allegations that Defendant's design, 11 manufacture, sale, promotion, and supply of chemicals known as polychlorinated 12 biphenyls ("PCBs") resulted in the contamination of Plaintiffs' stormwater and other 13 resources, necessitating treatment and/or remediation to remove PCBs. Subject only to 14 any potential Litigating Entity Sediment Site Entity opt-out, Defendant has agreed to pay 15 \$550 million as a net class benefit to be distributed to 2,528 class members across the 16 United States. Defendant also has agreed to separately pay class counsel attorneys' fees 17 and expenses, special master costs, and class administration and notice costs. 18

This Settlement is the result of contentious, prolonged, arm's-length negotiations 19 during in-person meetings and numerous telephone conference mediation sessions 20 between early to mid-2019 and March 2020 when a confidential term sheet was executed. 21 The mediation process was led by JAMS mediator Judge (Ret.) Jay Gandhi. The Parties 22 negotiated a resolution to litigation that has been pending since early 2015 in several 23 jurisdictions across the United States. The Settlement confers substantial relief for 24 Settlement Class Members who will automatically receive a minimum payment and may 25 obtain additional payments upon application. 26

The Parties move this Court for an Order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 that would: (1) certify the Settlement Class; (2) preliminarily approve the terms of the proposed

Case 2:16-cv-03493-FMO-AS Document 278-1 Filed 06/17/21 Page 5 of 12 Page ID #:10123

Settlement Agreement; (3) approve and direct the proposed Notice Plan; (4) appoint the
 Class Action Settlement Administrator; and (5) appoint Lead and Co-Class Counsel.

3 I. THE COURT'S MAY 19, 2021 ORDER AND JUNE 4, 2021 MINUTE 4 ENTRY

On May 19, 2021, the Court denied without prejudice the Parties' request for
preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement. ECF 273. The Parties address below
the comments raised by the Court at the May 19, 2021 hearing and respond to the Court's
June 4, 2021 minute entry (ECF 276).

9

A. Settlement Agreement Paragraph 71

The Parties have revised Paragraph 71 of the Settlement Agreement in response to
 the Court's comments at the hearing. Exhibit 1, Settlement Agreement, ¶ 71.¹

12

B. Deadline for Applications Under Special Needs Fund, Part B

During the hearing, the Court suggested that the deadline for submission of 13 applications under Special Needs Fund, Part B should be one year from the date of a 14 Settlement Class Member's receipt of payment from the Monitoring Fund, rather than one 15 year from the date of the Final Approval Order. The Settlement Agreement has been 16 modified to incorporate the Court's suggestion. To provide a single fixed deadline for all 17 Part B applications, the due date has been set at one year and fourteen days from the Class 18 Action Settlement Administrator's mailing of Monitoring Fund payments to Settlement 19 Class Members. Exhibit 1, Settlement Agreement, ¶ 80(i) and Ex. I (Direct Notice) at 12-20 13. 21

22

C. Special Master Costs

In response to the Court's comments at the hearing, the Settlement Agreement has
been revised to provide that Defendant will pay Special Master Costs. Exhibit 1,
Settlement Agreement, ¶¶ 58, 73 and Ex. I (Direct Notice) at 4. The Special Master will
allocate and implement the Settlement with the assistance of the Allocation Experts,

27

¹ Attached as Exhibit 1-A, the Parties have provided the Court with a redline of the revisions made to the Settlement Agreement.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.