1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 SIMPLEAIR, INC.,

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

GOOGLE LLC.

Plaintiff,

V.

SIMPLEAIR, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-02839-JAK-PLA

ORDER RE SIMPLEAIR, INC.'S **EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR** LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY TO **GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS** (DKT. 115, CASE NO. 2:16-cv-3758; **DKT. 126, CASE NO. 2:20-cv-2839**)

CASE NO. 2:16-cv-03758-JAK-PLA

ORDER RE SIMPLEAIR, INC.'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY TO **GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS** (DKT. 115, CASE NO. 2:16-cv-3758; DKT. 126, CASE NO. 2:20-cv-2839)



1	SimpleAir, Inc. ("SimpleAir") filed an Ex Parte Application for Leave to File
2	Sur-Reply to Google's Motion to Dismiss (the "Application," Case No. 2:16-cv-
3	3758, Dkt. 115; Case No. 2:20-cv-2839, Dkt. 126). In support of this position,
4	SimpleAir asserts that in the Reply, Google LLC ("Google"): (1) submitted two new
5	exhibits; (2) argued that SimpleAir applied the wrong law for claim preclusion; and
6	(3) addressed dependent claims of previously-asserted patents not addressed in its
7	opening brief. Application at 3.
8	With respect to the new exhibits, Google proffered them to support its
9	contention that the arguments by SimpleAir in its Opposition are inconsistent with
10	positions SimpleAir has asserted in the past. With respect to the dependent claims,
11	Google presented them in response to the arguments by SimpleAir regarding claims
12	of U.S. Patent No. 9,380,106. Neither of these matters warrants a sur-reply.
13	With respect to the legal standard for claim preclusion, a short sur-reply is
14	appropriate. SimpleAir may file a sur-reply not to exceed three pages, that addresses
15	what it contends is the applicable legal standard for claim preclusion. The sur-reply
16	shall be filed on or before June 22, 2020.
17	For the foregoing reasons, the Application (Case No. 2:16-cv-3758, Dkt. 115;
18	Case No. 2:20-cv-2839, Dkt. 126) is GRANTED-IN-PART and DENIED-IN-
19	PART.
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	
23	Dated: June 18, 2020
24	Dated: June 18, 2020 John A. Kronstadt
25	United States District Judge
26	

