

1 David P. Myers (SBN 206137)
2 dmyers@myerslawgroup.com
3 Robert M. Kitson (SBN 214091)
4 rkitson@myerslawgroup.com
5 Jason Hatcher (SBN 285481)
6 jhatcher@myerslawgroup.com
7 **THE MYERS LAW GROUP, A.P.C.**
8 9327 Fairway View Place, Suite 100
9 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730
10 Telephone: (909) 919-2027
11 Facsimile: (888) 375-2102

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and
13 all other similarly situated.

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 NATASHA AYALA, as an individual
17 and as a representative party under the
18 California Private Attorney General Act
19 (“PAGA”); KELLEN SHAW, as an
20 individual and as proposed class
21 representative under Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 23,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES, LLC, a
25 Delaware Limited Liability Company;
26 and DOES 1 THROUGH 100, inclusive

27 Defendants.
28

Case No. 2:18-cv-08809-FLA-MRW

CLASS ACTION

**PLAINTIFFS’ OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT’S RULE 12(B)(6)
MOTION TO DISMISS AND RULE
12(F) MOTION TO STRIKE**

Date: November 18, 2019

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Courtroom: 10A

Action Filed: August 24, 2018

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION - 1 -

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS - 3 -

III. DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED - 5 -

 A. Rule 12(b)(6) Legal Standard - 5 -

 B. The Third Amended Complaint Adequately Alleges that Plaintiff Shaw and the Class Earned Overtime - 6 -

 1. Defendant’s Answer Admits that the Factual Allegations Exist - 6 -

 2. The TAC Pleads a Plausible Cause of Action that Overtime and Double Time Pay Was Not Paid at the Correct Regular Rate of Pay - 8 -

 C. The TAC Adequately Alleges That Defendant Omitted Required Commissions and Remuneration from the Regular Rate of Pay - 9 -

IV. DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO STRIKE SHOULD BE DENIED - 10 -

 A. Rule 12(f) Legal Standard - 10 -

 B. Plaintiff Shaw is Not Estopped From Pleading or Moving for Class Certification on the Rest Period Claim - 11 -

 C. The California Supreme Court is Reviewing the *Naranjo* Opinion And Whether Meal/Rest Period Premium Pay Gives Rise to Waiting Time Penalties and/or Wage Statement Penalties - 12 -

 D. Plaintiff Ayala’s PAGA Claim - 14 -

V. DEFENDANT FAILED TO MEET AND CONFER - 15 -

VI. CONCLUSION - 16 -

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

ABS Entm't, Inc. v. CBS Corp.
 (9th Cir. 2018) 900 F.3d 1113 - 12 -

Alvarado v. Dart Container Corp. of California
 (2018) 4 Cal.5th 542 - 9 -

Ashcroft v. Iqbal
 (2009) 556 U.S. 662 [129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949, 173 L.Ed.2d 868, 884] - 1 -, - 6 -

Baas v. Dollar Tree Stores, Inc., 2007 WL 2452150, 1 (N.D. Cal Aug. 29, 2007) - 5 -
 , - 6 -

Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly,
 550 U.S. 544 (2007) - 5 -, - 6 -

Cholakyan v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC,
 796 F. Supp. 2d 1220 (C.D. Cal. 2001)..... - 11 -

Cruz v. Beto,
 405 U.S. 319 (1972) - 5 -

Ferra v. Loews Hollywood Hotel, LLC
 (2021) 11 Cal.5th 858 passim

Furry v. East Bay Publishing, LLC
 (2018) 30 Cal.App.5th 1072 - 9 -

Hall v. City of Santa Barbara,
 833 F.2d 1270 (9th Cir. 1986) - 5 -

Jimenez v. Unified Grocers, Inc.
 (C.D.Cal. Oct. 6, 2017, No. 2:17-cv-01396-RGK-SK) 2017 U.S.Dist.LEXIS
 223530, 2 - 12 -

1 *Kazi v. PNC Bank, N.A.*
 2 (N.D.Cal. Mar. 15, 2021, No. 18-cv-04810-JCS) 2021 U.S.Dist.LEXIS 48413, 66 -
 3 14 -

4 *Klune v. Ashley Furniture Indus.*
 5 (C.D.Cal. Oct. 27, 2014, No. CV 14-3986 PA (MANx)) 2014 U.S.Dist.LEXIS
 6 185192 - 12 -

7 *Landers v. Quality Communs., Inc.*
 8 (9th Cir. 2015) 771 F.3d 638 - 1 -, - 7 -

9 *Murphy v. Kenneth Cole Productions, Inc.*
 10 (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1094 - 13 -

11 *Naranjo v. Spectrum Sec. Servs., Inc.,*
 12 40 Cal. App. 5th 444 (2019) - 2 -, - 12 -, - 13 -, - 15 -

13 *Neilson v. Union Bank of Cal., N.A.,*
 14 290 F. Supp. 2d 1101 (C.D. Cal. 2003) - 11 -

15 *Razo v. AT&T Mobility Servs., LLC*
 16 (E.D.Cal. Oct. 13, 2021, No. 1:20-cv-00172) 2021 U.S.Dist.LEXIS 198646, 15)- 2
 17 -, - 3 -

18 *Retail Clerks Intl Assn. v. Schermerhorn,*
 19 373 U.S. 746 n.6 (1963) - 5 -, - 8 -

20 *Rivera v. Marriott Int'l, Inc.*
 21 (C.D.Cal. Apr. 23, 2020) 2020 U.S.Dist.LEXIS 74704, 6 - 8 -

22 *Robertson v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.,*
 23 749 F.2d 530 (9th Cir. 1984) - 6 -

24 *Sanders v. Kennedy,*
 25 794 F.2d 478 (9th Cir. 1986) - 5 -

26 *Sapiro v. Encompass Ins.,*
 27 221 F.R.D. 513 (N.D. Cal. 2004) - 11 -

28

1 *Swierkiewicz v. Sorema. N.A.*,
2 534 U.S. 506 (2002) - 6 -
3 *Tristar Pictures, Inc. v. Del Taco, Inc.*,
4 No. CV 99-07655 DDP (Ex), 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22605, 1 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 31,
5 1999)..... - 11 -

6 **Statutes**

7 Cal. Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*..... - 5 -
8 Cal. Labor Code §203 - 12 -, - 13 -
9 Cal. Labor Code §226 - 12 -, - 13 -, - 14 -
10 Cal. Labor Code §226.7 - 5 -, - 12 -, - 14 -
11 Cal. Labor Code §2699 - 14 -
12 Cal. Labor Code §510(a)..... - 8 -
13 Cal. Labor Code §512 - 5 -
14 Cal. Labor Code §558 - 15 -

15 **Rules**

16 F.R.C.P. 12(b)(6)..... - 11 -
17 F.R.C.P. 12(f) - 10 -, - 11 -
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.