

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
 2 SULLIVAN, LLP  
 3 James R. Asperger (Bar No. 83188)  
 4 jamesasperger@quinnemanuel.com  
 5 Yury Kapgan (Bar No. 218366)  
 6 yurykapgan@quinnemanuel.com  
 7 Pushkal Mishra (Bar No. 298695)  
 8 pushkalmishra@quinnemanuel.com  
 9 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor  
 10 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
 11 Telephone: (213) 443-3000  
 12 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100  
 13 Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)  
 14 victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com  
 15 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor  
 16 Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
 17 Telephone: (650) 801-5000  
 18 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100  
 19 Counsel for Plaintiff BlackBerry Limited

Jordan R. Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)  
 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com  
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

BLACKBERRY CORPORATION  
 Edward R. McGah, Jr (Bar No. 97719)  
 emcgah@blackberry.com  
 Vice President, Deputy General  
 Counsel—Litigation  
 41 Ticknor Place  
 Laguna Niguel, CA 92677  
 Telephone: (650) 581-4750

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 17 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 BLACKBERRY LIMITED,  
 19 a Canadian corporation,  
 20 Plaintiff,  
 21 v.  
 22 TWITTER, INC.,  
 23 a Delaware corporation,  
 24 Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01444-GW-KS

**BLACKBERRY LIMITED'S  
 SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM  
 REGARDING '351 AND '929  
 PATENTS**

28

1           On September 5, 2019, the Court deferred ruling on Twitter, Inc.’s “motion to  
2 dismiss related to the ’351 and ’929 Patents until a final ruling has been issued” in the  
3 related cases *BlackBerry Limited v. Facebook, Inc. et al*, Case No. 2:18-cv-01844-GW-  
4 (KSx) and *BlackBerry Limited v. Snap Inc.*, Case No. 2:18-cv-02693-GW-(KSx)  
5 “regarding whether those patents are patent-eligible” and the parties have each briefed  
6 the Court “stating how a determination of § 101 patent-ineligibility of the same asserted  
7 patents in those other cases should impact Twitter’s motion in this case.” Court’s  
8 Tentative Ruling at 13, Docket No. 47. BlackBerry Limited respectfully submits that  
9 any “determination of § 101 patent-ineligibility of the same asserted patents in those  
10 other cases should” apply equally to Twitter’s motion in this case.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: September 10, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

2 By /s/ James R. Asperger

3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
4 SULLIVAN, LLP

James R. Asperger (Bar No. 83188)

5 jamesasperger@quinnemanuel.com

Yury Kapgan (Bar No. 218366)

6 yurykapgan@quinnemanuel.com

7 Pushkal Mishra (Bar No. 298695)

pushkalmishra@quinnemanuel.com

8 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor

9 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: (213) 443-3000

10 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

11 Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)

victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com

12 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor

13 Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Telephone: (650) 801-5000

14 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

15 Jordan R. Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

16 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

17 50 California Street, 22nd Floor

18 San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

19 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

20 BLACKBERRY CORPORATION

Edward R. McGah, Jr (Bar No. 97719)

21 emcgah@blackberry.com

22 Vice President, Deputy General Counsel—

23 Litigation

41 Ticknor Place

24 Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

25 Telephone: (650) 581-4750

26 Counsel for Plaintiff BlackBerry Limited

27  
28