

1 MARCELLUS MCRAE, SBN 140308  
mmcrae@gibsondunn.com  
2 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
3 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
4 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

5 ASHLEY E. JOHNSON, *pro hac vice application forthcoming*  
ajohnson@gibsondunn.com  
6 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
2001 Ross Avenue  
7 Suite 2100  
Dallas, TX 75201-2911  
8 Telephone: 214.698.3100  
Facsimile: 214.571.2900

9 Attorneys for AT&T MOBILITY, LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 WESTERN DIVISION

13 SETH SHAPIRO,  
14 Plaintiff,  
15 v.  
16 AT&T MOBILITY, LLC,  
17 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:19-CV-8972 (CBM)

**DEFENDANT AT&T MOBILITY  
LLC'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
MOTION TO DISMISS THE  
COMPLAINT; MEMORANDUM OF  
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN  
SUPPORT THEREOF**

*[[Proposed] Order lodged concurrently  
herewith]*

Action Filed: October 17, 2019

**Hearing:**

Date: February 18, 2020  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Place: 350 West 1st Street, 8th Floor  
Courtroom 8B  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Judge: Hon. Consuelo B. Marshall

**NOTICE OF MOTION**

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at 10:00 a.m. on February 18, 2020, before the Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall, United States District Court Judge, in Courtroom 8B, at 350 West 1st Street, 8th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90012, Defendant AT&T Mobility LLC (“AT&T” or “Defendant”) will and hereby does move, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to dismiss Plaintiff Seth Shapiro’s complaint.

AT&T moves to dismiss all claims for the following reasons:

1. As to all claims, Mr. Shapiro has failed to plausibly allege proximate cause;
2. Claim 3 (California constitutional right to privacy) fails to allege an egregious breach of social norms and fails to plead the required reasonable expectation of privacy;
3. Claims 4 and 5 (negligence) are foreclosed by the economic loss doctrine;
4. Claim 6 (Consumer Legal Remedies Act) does not plead the required notice and opportunity to cure; does not plead that Mr. Shapiro read the documents he alleges he relied upon; and does not plead that any misrepresentation occurred before his transaction with AT&T;
5. Claim 7 (Computer Fraud and Abuse Act) does not plead that Mr. Shapiro suffered a recoverable loss;

AT&T also moves to dismiss Mr. Shapiro’s request for punitive damages because Mr. Shapiro has not pled any involvement by an “officer, director or managing agent” of AT&T in any challenged conduct and has not pled the required malice, fraud or oppression.

The Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities that follows, all pleadings and records on file in this action, and any other arguments and evidence presented to this Court at or before the hearing on the Motion.

1           This Motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to Civil  
2 Local Rule 7-3, which took place on November 27, 2019.

3  
4 Dated: December 6, 2019

Respectfully submitted,  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

7  
8 By: /s/ Marcellus A. McRae  
Marcellus A. McRae

9 Attorney for Defendant  
10 AT&T MOBILITY LLC  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1			
2			<u>Page</u>
3	I.	Introduction .....	1
4	II.	Facts.....	2
5	III.	Standard of Review .....	3
6	IV.	Argument.....	3
7	A.	Mr. Shapiro Failed To Adequately Allege Proximate Causation for Any of His Claims.....	3
8		1. Mr. Shapiro Does Not Allege How Accessing His Phone Number Led to the Loss of \$1.8 Million. ....	4
9		2. Mr. Shapiro’s Allegations Fail Because They Rely on Unforeseeable Criminal Acts.....	7
10			
11	B.	Mr. Shapiro’s Right to Privacy Claim Under the California Constitution Should Be Dismissed (Count III).....	8
12		1. Mr. Shapiro Does Not Plead an Egregious Breach of Social Norms.....	9
13		2. Mr. Shapiro Does Not Specifically Allege What Information AT&T Disclosed.....	10
14			
15			
16	C.	Mr. Shapiro’s Claims for Negligence and Negligent Supervision and Entrustment (Counts IV and V) Should Be Dismissed.....	12
17		1. The Economic Loss Doctrine Bars Mr. Shapiro’s Negligence and Negligent Supervision and Entrustment Claims.....	12
18		2. The Special Relationship Exception Is Not Available Because AT&T and Mr. Shapiro Are in Direct Contractual Privity.....	14
19		3. Even if the Special Relationship Exception Is Available, Mr. Shapiro Does Not Adequately Plead It.....	16
20			
21	D.	Mr. Shapiro’s CLRA Claim (Count VI) Should Be Dismissed.....	18
22		1. Mr. Shapiro Fails To Plead Actual Reliance. ....	18
23		2. All of the Alleged Misrepresentations Occurred After Mr. Shapiro’s Transaction with AT&T. ....	20
24		3. Mr. Shapiro Fails To Plead that He Provided the Required Written Notice.....	21
25			
26	E.	Mr. Shapiro’s Claim Under The Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (Count VII) Should Be Dismissed for Failure To Plead a Qualifying Loss. ....	21
27			
28			

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Page

F. Mr. Shapiro’s Request for Punitive Damages Should Be Stricken. .... 23

V. Conclusion ..... 25

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.