

1 Hassan A. Zavareei (SBN 181547)
2 Dia Rasinariu (*pro hac vice*)
3 **TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP**
4 1828 L Street NW, Suite 1000
5 Washington, D.C. 20036
6 (202) 973-0900; Fax (202) 973-0950
7 *hzavareei@tzlegal.com*
8 *drasinariu@tzlegal.com*

9 Daniel S. Robinson (SBN 244245)
10 Wesley K. Polischuk (SBN 254121)
11 Michael Olson (SBN 312857)
12 **ROBINSON CALCAGNIE, INC.**
13 19 Corporate Plaza Drive
14 Newport Beach, CA 92660
15 (949) 720-1288; Fax (949) 720-1292
16 *drobinson@robinsonfirm.com*
17 *molson@robinsonfirm.com*

18 *Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class*

19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
20 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
21 **WESTERN DIVISION**

22 *In re Ring LLC Privacy Litigation*

23 This document relates to: all cases

24 Tina Wolfson (SBN 174806)
25 Theodore W. Maya (SBN 223242)
26 Bradley K. King (SBN 274399)
27 Rachel R. Johnson (SBN 331351)
28 **AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC**
29 2600 West Olive Avenue, Suite 500
30 Burbank, California 91505
31 (310) 474-9111; Fax: (310) 474-8585
32 *twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com*
33 *tmaya@ahdootwolfson.com*
34 *bking@ahdootwolfson.com*
35 *rjohnson@ahdootwolfson.com*

36 Case No. 2:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO

37 **PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO**
38 **MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS**
39 **IX-XIII OF THE SECOND**
40 **AMENDED CONSOLIDATED**
41 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

42 DATE: January 31, 2022

43 TIME: 10:00 a.m.

44 JUDGE: Hon. Michael W. Fitzgerald

45 CTRM: 5A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. LEGAL STANDARDS 2

III. ARGUMENT 3

 A. Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act Does Not Apply. .3

 B. Ring Owes Non-Purchaser Plaintiffs a Cognizable Duty of Care. 9

 C. Non-Purchaser Plaintiffs Sufficiently Plead Their Privacy Claims. . 15

 1. Non-Purchaser Plaintiffs State a Claim for Invasion of
 Privacy. 15

 2. Non-Purchaser Plaintiffs Also State a Claim for Public
 Disclosure of Private Facts. 18

 D. Non-Purchaser Plaintiffs Have Standing to Assert UCL Claims. 19

 E. Non-Purchaser Plaintiffs Adequately Plead Ring’s Unjust
 Enrichment. 22

IV. CONCLUSION 25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

1

2

3

4 *AF Holdings, LLC v. Doe,*

5 No. 5:12-cv-2048, 2012 WL 4747170 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2012) 8

6 *Archer v. United Rentals, Inc.,*

7 195 Cal. App. 4th 807 (2011)..... 20

8 *Ashcroft v. Iqbal,*

9 556 U.S. 662 (2009) 3

10 *Baltazar v. Apple, Inc.,*

11 No. 10-cv-3231, 2011 WL 588209 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2011) 22

12 *Barber v. Time, Inc.,*

13 159 S.W.2d 291 (Mo. 1942)..... 16

14 *Barnes v. Yahoo!, Inc.,*

15 570 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2009)..... 3, 5, 6

16 *Barrett v. Rosenthal,*

17 40 Cal. 4th 33 (2006) 4

18 *Bass v. Facebook, Inc.,*

19 394 F. Supp. 3d 1024 (N.D. Cal. 2019) 9

20 *Bates v. Dow Agrosciences LLC,*

21 544 U.S. 431 (2005) 9

22 *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly,*

23 550 U.S. 544 (2007) 3

24 *Bigbee v. Pac. Tel. & Tel. Co.,*

25 34 Cal. 3d 49 (1983)..... 12

26 *Brents v. Morgan,*

27 299 S.W. 967 (Ky. Ct. App. 1927)..... 16

28 *Caraccioli v. Facebook, Inc.,*

167 F. Supp. 3d 1056 (N.D. Cal. 2016) 17

29 *Cason v. Baskin,*

20 So. 2d 243 (Fla. 1944)..... 16

30 *Castillo v. Seagate Tech., LLC,*

No. 16-cv-1958, 2016 WL 9280242 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2016) 14, 15

1 *County of San Bernardino v. Walsh*,
 158 Cal. App. 4th 533 (2008)..... 24

2 *Day v. AT&T Corp.*,
 3 63 Cal. App. 4th 325 (1998)..... 21

4 *Delfino v. Agilent Techs., Inc.*,
 5 145 Cal. App. 4th 790 (2006)..... 5

6 *Doe v. Kik Interactive, Inc.*,
 482 F. Supp. 3d 1242 (S.D. Fla. 2020) 4

7 *Doe v. MySpace, Inc.*,
 8 528 F.3d 413 (5th Cir. 2008)..... 5

9 *Doe II v. MySpace Inc.*,
 10 175 Cal. App. 4th 561 (2009)..... 5

11 *Duronslet v. Cnty. of Los Angeles*,
 266 F. Supp. 3d 1213 (C.D. Cal. 2017)..... 23

12 *Dyroff v. Ultimate Software Group, Inc.*,
 13 934 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. 2019)..... 3, 4, 6

14 *Erie Ins. Co. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*,
 925 F.3d 135 (4th Cir. 2019)..... 9

15 *Fair Hous. Council of San Fernando Valley v. Roommates.Com, LLC*,
 16 521 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2008)..... 5, 7

17 *Fairfield v. Am. Photocopy Equip. Co.*,
 138 Cal. App. 2d 82 (1955)..... 16

18 *Fields v. Twitter, Inc.*,
 19 217 F. Supp. 3d 1116 (N.D. Cal. 2016) 5, 7

20 *Folgelstrom v. Lamps Plus, Inc.*,
 195 Cal. App. 4th 986 (2011)..... 20, 21

21 *Fraley v. Facebook, Inc.*,
 22 830 F. Supp. 2d 785 (N.D. Cal. 2011) 19, 20, 22

23 *FTC v. LeadClick Media, LLC*,
 24 838 F.3d 158 (2d Cir. 2016)..... 4

25 *Gardner v. Health Net, Inc.*,
 No. 10-cv-2140, 2010 WL 11571242 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 29, 2010)..... 13

26 *Green v. Am. Online (AOL)*,
 27 318 F.3d 465 (3d Cir. 2003)..... 5

28

1 *Heeger v. Facebook, Inc.*,
 No. 18-cv-6399, 2019 WL 7282477 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 27, 2019)..... 16

2 *Hernandez v. Hillsides, Inc.*,
 3 47 Cal. 4th 272 (2009) 15

4 *Herrick v. Grindr LLC*,
 5 765 F. App’x 586 (2d Cir. 2019)..... 5

6 *Herrick v. Grindr, LLC*,
 306 F. Supp. 3d 579 (S.D.N.Y. 2018)..... 4

7 *Hill v. NCAA*,
 8 7 Cal. 4th 1 (1994) 16

9 *HomeAway.com, Inc. v. City of Santa Monica*,
 10 918 F.3d 676 (9th Cir. 2019)..... 5

11 *In re Ambry Genetics Data Breach Litig.*,
 No. 20-cv-791, 2021 WL 4891610 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2021)..... 18

12 *In re Facebook, Inc., Consumer Privacy User Profile Litig.*,
 13 402 F. Supp. 3d 767 (N.D. Cal. 2019) 9, 10

14 *In re Facebook, Inc. Consumer Privacy User Profile Litig.*,
 791 F. Supp. 2d 705 (N.D. Cal. 2011) 20

15 *In re Facebook, Inc. Internet Tracking Litig.*,
 16 956 F.3d 589 (9th Cir. 2020)..... 15, 23, 24

17 *In re Gen. Motors LLC CP4 Fuel Pump Litig.*,
 393 F. Supp. 3d 871 (N.D. Cal. 2019) 23

18 *In re Google Assistant Privacy Litig.*,
 19 457 F. Supp. 3d 797 (N.D. Cal. 2020) 20

20 *In re Google Inc. Cookie Placement Consumer Privacy Litig.*,
 21 806 F.3d 125 (3d Cir. 2015)..... 16

22 *In re Google Referrer Header Priv. Litig.*,
 465 F. Supp. 3d 999 (N.D. Cal. 2020) 23, 24

23 *In re Sony Gaming Networks & Consumer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*,
 24 996 F. Supp. 2d 942 (S.D. Cal. 2014) 10

25 *In re Zoom Video Communications Inc. Privacy Litigation*,
 525 F. Supp. 3d 1017 (N.D. Cal. 2021) 7, 8

26 *Jane Doe No. 1 v. Backpage.com, LLC*,
 27 817 F.3d 12 (1st Cir. 2016) 5

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.