

1 XAVIER BECERRA
 Attorney General of California
 2 TAMAR PACHTER
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
 3 JOSE A. ZELIDON-ZEPEDA
 Deputy Attorney General
 4 State Bar No. 227108
 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
 5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
 Telephone: (415) 510-3879
 6 Fax: (415) 703-1234
 E-mail: Jose.ZelidonZepeda@doj.ca.gov
 7 *Attorneys for the State of California and Attorney
 General Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity*
 8

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 WESTERN DIVISION
 12
 13

14 **LYDIA OLSON; et al.,**

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA; et al.,**

18 Defendants.
 19
 20

2:19-CV-10956-DMG-RAO

**DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A
 PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Date: February 7, 2020
 Time: 2:00 P.M.
 Courtroom: 8C, 8th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Dolly M. Gee
 Trial Date: None set
 Action Filed: December 30, 2019

21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Background.....	2
A. <i>Dynamex</i> and AB 5.....	2
B. Allegations of the Complaint.....	4
Legal Standard.....	5
Argument.....	6
I. Plaintiffs Are Unlikely to Succeed on the Merits of Their Equal Protection Claim.....	6
A. Under Applicable Rational Basis Review, Plaintiffs’ Equal Protection Claims Fail.....	6
B. Opinions Expressed in News Reports and Social Media Commentary Are Not Grounds for an Equal Protection Claim.....	8
C. AB 5’s Limited Exemptions Do Not Support Plaintiffs’ Equal Protection Claim.....	11
II. Plaintiffs Are Unlikely to Succeed on the Merits of Their Due Process and Contract Clause Claims.....	13
A. AB 5 Properly Regulates Employment Rights and Relationships Generally, Not Choice of Occupation.....	13
B. The Contract Clause Does Not Insulate Business from Regulation or Regulatory Change.....	15
III. Plaintiffs’ Delay in Seeking Injunctive Relief Undermines Their Claim of Irreparable Harm, and the Balance of Equities Tips in Defendants’ Favor.....	17
IV. The Public Interest Weighs Against a Preliminary Injunction.....	20
Conclusion.....	22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

3570 East Foothill Blvd., Inc. v. City of Pasadena
912 F. Supp. 1257 (C.D. Cal. 1995)..... 6

Alexander v. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc.
765 F.3d 981 (9th Cir. 2014)..... 14, 16

Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell
632 F.3d 1127 (9th Cir. 2011)..... 6

Allied Concrete & Supply Co. v. Baker
904 F.3d 1053 (9th Cir. 2018)..... 12

Anderson v. U.S.
612 F.2d 1112 (9th Cir. 1979)..... 6, 20

Angelotti Chiropractic v. Baker
791 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2015)..... 11

Burford v. Sun Oil Co.
319 U.S. 315 (1943) 22

City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr.
473 U.S. 432 (1985) 6, 7

Coalition for Econ. Equity v. Wilson
122 F.3d 718 (9th Cir. 1997)..... 20

Conn v. Gabbert
526 U.S. 286 (1999) 13

Cornwell v. Hamilton
80 F. Supp. 2d 1101 (S.D. Cal. 1999) 14

Cotter v. Lyft, Inc.
60 F. Supp. 3d 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2015)..... 5

Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc.
184 F. Supp. 3d 774 (N.D. Cal. 2016)..... 5, 16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

<i>Dymo Indus., Inc. v. Tapeprinter, Inc.</i> 326 F.2d 141 (9th Cir. 1964).....	5
<i>Dynamex Operations West v. Superior Court</i> 4 Cal. 5th 903 (Cal. 2018).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Energy Reserves Grp., Inc. v. Kansas Power & Light Co.</i> 459 U.S. 400 (1983)	15, 16
<i>Engquist v. Or. Dep’t of Agric.</i> 478 F.3d 985 (9th Cir. 2007).....	14
<i>Erotic Serv. Provider Legal Educ. and Research Project v. Gascon</i> 880 F.3d 450 (9th Cir. 2018).....	14
<i>FCC v. Beach Communications, Inc.</i> 508 U.S. 307 (1993)	7, 8
<i>First Franklin Fin. Corp. v. Franklin First Fin. Ltd.</i> 356 F. Supp. 2d 1048 (N.D. Cal. 2005).....	19
<i>Fort Halifax Packing Co., Inc. v. Coyne</i> 482 U.S. 1 (1987)	17
<i>Fowler Packing Co., Inc. v. Lanier</i> 844 F.3d 809 (9th Cir. 2016).....	11, 12
<i>Franceschi v. Yee</i> 887 F.3d 927 (9th Cir. 2018).....	13, 14
<i>Fund for Animals v. Lujan</i> 962 F.2d 1391 (9th Cir. 1992).....	21
<i>Gallinger v. Becerra</i> 898 F.3d 1012 (9th Cir. 2018).....	9, 11
<i>Ganley v. Claeys</i> 2 Cal. 2d 266 (Cal. 1935)	14
<i>Golden Gate Restaurant Ass’n v. City & Cty. of San Francisco</i> 512 F.3d 1112 (9th Cir. 2008).....	20, 22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

		Page
1		
2		
3	<i>Halverson v. Skagit Cty.</i>	
4	42 F.3d 1257 (9th Cir. 1994).....	13
5	<i>Hardesty v. Sacramento Metro. Air Quality Mgmt. Dist.</i>	
6	307 F. Supp. 3d 1010 (E.D. Cal. 2018).....	14
7	<i>Hertzberg v. Dignity Partners, Inc.</i>	
8	191 F.3d 1076 (9th Cir. 1999).....	9
9	<i>In re Kelly</i>	
10	841 F.2d 908 (9th Cir. 1988).....	9
11	<i>K-2 Ski Co. v. Head Ski Co.</i>	
12	467 F.2d 1087 (9th Cir. 1972).....	4, 16
13	<i>Kiva Health Brands LLC v. Kiva Brands Inc.</i>	
14	402 F. Supp.3d 877 (N.D. Cal. 2019).....	19
15	<i>Kobell v. Suburban Lines, Inc.</i>	
16	731 F.2d 1076 (3d Cir. 1984).....	19
17	<i>Manduley v. Super. Ct.</i>	
18	27 Cal. 4th 537 (Cal. 2002).....	8
19	<i>Maryland v. King</i>	
20	567 U.S. 1301 (2012).....	20, 22
21	<i>Metromedia Broad. Corp. v. MGM/UA Entm't Co, Inc.</i>	
22	611 F. Supp. 415 (C.D. Cal. 1985).....	19
23	<i>Mountain Water Co. v. Montana Dep't of Pub. Serv. Regulation</i>	
24	919 F.2d 593 (9th Cir. 1990).....	10
25	<i>Narayan v. EGL, Inc.</i>	
26	616 F.3d 895 (9th Cir. 2010).....	16
27	<i>Nordlinger v. Hahn</i>	
28	505 U.S 1 (1992).....	7, 8
	<i>O'Connor v. Uber Technologies, Inc.</i>	
	82 F. Supp. 3d 1133 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	5, 16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.