

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 TAMAR PACHTER
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 JOSE A. ZELIDON-ZEPEDA
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 227108
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 510-3879
6 Fax: (415) 703-1234
E-mail: Jose.ZelidonZepeda@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for the State of California and Attorney*
General Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity
8

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 WESTERN DIVISION
12

13 **LYDIA OLSON; et al.,**
14 Plaintiffs,
15 **v.**
16 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA; et al.,**
17 Defendants.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2:19-CV-10956-DMG-RAO

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS
AND AUTHORITIES
SUPPORTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS**

Date: April 24, 2020
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Courtroom: 8C, 8th Floor
Judge: Hon. Dolly M. Gee
Trial Date: Not set
Action Filed: December 30, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Background.....	2
A. In <i>Dynamex</i> , the California Supreme Court Adopted the ABC Test.	2
B. Assembly Bill 5 Codified the ABC Test to Remedy Widespread Misclassification of Employees as Independent Contractors.....	3
C. The Statutory Exemptions.	4
D. Allegations of the Complaint.....	6
Legal Standard.....	7
Argument	8
I. Plaintiffs’ Equal Protection Claims (Claims I and II) Fail Because AB 5 is rationally related to a legitimate government interest.	8
A. The Legislature’s Interest in Protecting Exploited Workers to Address the Erosion of the Middle Class and Income Inequality Is a Rational Basis for Any Ostensible Targeting of Gig Economy Employers and Workers.....	9
B. The Complaint’s Allegations of “Animus” Do Not Suffice to Allege Actionable Claims.....	10
II. Plaintiffs’ Due Process Claims (Claims IV, V, and VIII) Fail because AB 5 Determines Legal Classification, Not Occupation	14
III. Plaintiffs’ Contract Clause Claims (Claims IX and X) Fail to Allege any Unconstitutional Impairment.....	16
IV. Plaintiffs’ Claims for Violation of The Ninth Amendment, “Baby Ninth,” and Inalienable Rights Provision of the California Constitution (Claims VI, III, and VII) Fail For Lack of Enforceable Rights.....	20
V. The State of California Is Immune From Suit in Federal Court.....	23
Conclusion	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

<i>Alexander v. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc.</i> 765 F.3d 981 (9th Cir. 2014)	16
<i>Allen v. Bd. of Administration</i> 34 Cal. 3d 114 (Cal. 1983)	18
<i>Amer. Law Foundation v. Meyer</i> 120 F.3d 1092 (10th Cir. 1997)	22
<i>Anchorage v. Integrated Concepts & Research Corp.</i> 1 F. Supp. 3d 1001 (D. Alaska 2014)	8
<i>Angelotti Chiropractic v. Baker</i> 791 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2015)	14
<i>Arizona Hospital & Healthcare Ass'n v. Betlach</i> 862 F. Supp. 2d 978 (D. Ariz. 2012)	7
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	7, 8
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	7
<i>Berwick v. Uber Technologies, Inc.</i> Case No. 11-46739 EK, 2015 WL 4153765 (Cal. Lab. Comm'r June 3, 2015)	6
<i>Chorn v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.</i> 245 Cal. App. 4th 1370 (Cal. Ct. App. 2016)	19
<i>City of Carmel-by-the-Sea v. Young</i> 2 Cal. 3d 259 (Cal. 1970)	23
<i>City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr.</i> 473 U.S. 432 (1985)	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Clausing v. San Francisco Unified School Dist.</i> 221 Cal. App. 3d 1224 (Cal. Ct. App. 1990).....	23
<i>Clements v. Fashing</i> 457 U.S. 957 (1982)	13
<i>Coakley v. Murphy</i> 884 F.2d 1218 (9th Cir. 1989).....	8
<i>Conn v. Gabbert</i> 526 U.S. 286 (1999)	15, 16
<i>Cornwell v. Hamilton</i> 80 F. Supp. 2d 1101 (S.D. Cal. 1999)	16
<i>Cotter v. Lyft, Inc.</i> 60 F. Supp. 3d 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	6
<i>Deanco Healthcare, LLC v. Becerra</i> 365 F. Supp. 3d 1029 (C.D. Cal. 2019).....	24
<i>Dittman v. California</i> 191 F.3d 1020 (9th Cir. 1999).....	16
<i>Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc.</i> 184 F. Supp. 3d 774 (N.D. Cal. 2016).....	6
<i>Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court</i> 4 Cal. 5th 903 (Cal. 2018)	2, 3, 10, 17
<i>Energy Reserves Group, Inc. v. Kansas Power & Light Co.</i> 459 U.S. 400 (1983)	18, 19, 20
<i>Engquist v. Or. Dep't of Agric.</i> 478 F.3d 985 (9th Cir. 2007).....	17
<i>Erotic Serv. Provider Legal Educ. and Research Project v. Gascon</i> 880 F.3d 450 (9th Cir. 2018).....	17
<i>FCC v. Beach Communications, Inc.</i> 508 U.S. 307 (1993)	9, 10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Franceschi v. Yee</i> 887 F.3d 927 (9th Cir. 2018).....	15, 17
<i>Gallinger v. Becerra</i> 898 F.3d 1012 (9th Cir. 2018).....	14
<i>Gibson v. Matthews</i> 926 F.2d 532 (7th Cir. 1991).....	22
<i>Greene v. Dayton</i> 806 F.3d 1146 (8th Cir. 2015).....	20
<i>Halverson v. Skagit Cty.</i> 42 F.3d 1257 (9th Cir. 1994).....	15
<i>Hardesty v. Sacramento Metro. Air Quality Mgmt. Dist.</i> 307 F. Supp. 3d 1010 (E.D. Cal. 2018).....	16
<i>Hertzberg v. Dignity Partners, Inc.</i> 191 F.3d 1076 (9th Cir. 1999).....	14
<i>Hoohuli v. Ariyoshi</i> 631 F. Supp. 1153 (D. Hawaii 1986)	12
<i>Hudson Water Co. v. McCarter</i> 209 U.S. 349 (1908)	19
<i>In re Cutera Securities Litig.</i> 610 F.3d 1103 (9th Cir. 2010).....	7
<i>In re Kelly</i> 841 F.2d 908 (9th Cir. 1988).....	14
<i>In re Monrovia Evening Post</i> 199 Cal. 263 (Cal. 1926)	21
<i>Leger v. Stockton Unif. Sch. Dist.</i> 202 Cal. App. 3d 1448 (Cal. Ct. App. 1988).....	23
<i>Lindsley v. Natural Carbonic Gas Co.</i> 220 U.S. 61 (1911)	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.