

1 NICOLAS A. JAMPOL (State Bar No. 244867)
 nicolasjampol@dwt.com
 2 DIANA PALACIOS (State Bar No. 290923)
 dianapalacios@dwt.com
 3 CYDNEY SWOFFORD FREEMAN (State Bar No. 315766)
 cydneyfreeman@dwt.com
 4 CAMILA PEDRAZA (State Bar No. 329984)
 camilapedraza@dwt.com
 5 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
 865 South Figueroa Street, 24th Floor
 6 Los Angeles, California 90017-2566
 Telephone: (213) 633-6800
 7 Fax: (213) 633-6899

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 BLINDING EDGE PICTURES, INC.; UNCLE
 9 GEORGE PRODUCTIONS, LLC; APPLE INC.;
 ESCAPE ARTISTS, INC. (erroneously sued as
 10 ESCAPE ARTISTS LLC); DOLPHIN BLACK
 PRODUCTIONS; M. NIGHT SHYAMALAN;
 11 TONY BASGALLOP; ASHWIN RAJAN;
 JASON BLUMENTHAL; TODD BLACK;
 12 STEVE TISCH

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 14 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15
 16 FRANCESCA GREGORINI,
 17 Plaintiff,
 18 vs.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00406-JFW-JC

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF
 MOTION AND MOTION TO
 DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
 COMPLAINT**

19 APPLE INC., a California corporation;
 M. NIGHT SHYAMALAN, an
 20 individual, BLINDING EDGE
 PICTURES, INC., a Pennsylvania
 21 corporation; UNCLE GEORGE
 PRODUCTIONS, a Pennsylvania
 22 corporate; ESCAPE ARTISTS LLC, a
 California limited liability company;
 23 DOLPHIN BLACK PRODUCTIONS, a
 California corporation; TONY
 24 BASGALLOP, an individual; ASHWIN
 RAJAN, an individual; JASON
 25 BLUMENTHAL, an individual; TODD
 BLACK, an individual; STEVE TISCH,
 26 an individual; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Date: March 23, 2020
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Crtrm: 7A

27 Defendants.
 28

1 **TO PLAINTIFF AND HER ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 23, 2020, at 1:30 p.m. or as soon as
3 may be heard in Courtroom 7A of the United States District Court for the Central
4 District of California, First Street Courthouse, 350 West First Street, Los Angeles,
5 California 90012, defendants Blinding Edge Pictures, Inc., Uncle George
6 Productions, LLC, Apple Inc., Escape Artists, Inc. (erroneously sued as Escape
7 Artists LLC), Dolphin Black Productions, M. Night Shyamalan, Tony Basgallop,
8 Ashwin Rajan, Jason Blumenthal, Todd Black, and Steve Tisch (collectively,
9 “Defendants”) will and hereby do move this Court for an order dismissing with
10 prejudice the complaint filed by plaintiff Francesca Gregorini (“Plaintiff”).

11 This motion is made pursuant to [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12\(b\)\(6\)](#) on
12 the grounds that Plaintiff’s complaint fails to state a claim. Her first cause of action
13 for copyright infringement fails because there is no substantial similarity of
14 protected expression between the works at issue. Because her claim for direct
15 copyright infringement fails, so too does her second cause of action for contributory
16 and vicarious copyright infringement.

17 This motion is based on this notice of motion, the memorandum of points and
18 authorities, the request for judicial notice, the declaration of Cydney Swofford
19 Freeman, the notice of lodging with exhibits, and all other matters of which this
20 Court may take judicial notice, the pleadings, files, and records in this action, and
21 on any argument heard by this Court.

22 This motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to [L.R. 7-3](#)
23 which took place on February 11, 2020. See [Dkt. 18](#).

24
25 DATED: February 18, 2020

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

26 By: /s/ Nicolas A. Jampol
27 Nicolas A. Jampol

28 Attorneys for Defendants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

- I. INTRODUCTION 1
- II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND..... 2
 - A. *The Truth About Emanuel* 2
 - B. *Servant* 3
 - C. The Complaint 5
- III. THE WORKS ARE NOT SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR..... 5
 - A. Lack of Substantial Similarity May Be Decided on a Motion to Dismiss 6
 - B. Courts Must Filter Out Unprotectable Expression..... 7
 - C. The Works’ Protected Expression Is Not Substantially Similar 8
 - 1. Plot 9
 - a. Most of the Alleged Plot Similarities Are Unprotectable..... 9
 - b. The Complaint Mischaracterizes Alleged Plot Similarities..... 10
 - c. The Works’ Plots Are Not Substantially Similar. 11
 - 2. Sequence of Events 13
 - 3. Theme..... 14
 - 4. Characters..... 16
 - a. Emanuel and Leanne..... 16
 - b. Linda and Dorothy 18
 - c. Thomas and Sean 19
 - d. Arthur and Julian 19
 - e. Claude and Tobe 20
 - f. The Dolls..... 21
 - g. Other Characters 21
 - 5. Setting..... 21

1	6. Mood	23
2	7. Pace	24
3	8. Dialogue	24
4	D. Plaintiff’s Claim for Vicarious and Contributory Infringement	
5	Fails	25
6	IV. CONCLUSION.....	25
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

Cases

Apple v. Microsoft,
35 F.3d 1435 (9th Cir. 1994) 7

Arica Inst. v. Palmer,
970 F.2d 1067 (2d Cir. 1992)..... 8

Benay v. Warner Bros.,
607 F.3d 620 (9th Cir. 2010) 7, 21

Berkic v. Crichton,
761 F.2d 1289 (9th Cir. 1985) 11

Bernal v. Paradigm Talent & Lit. Agency,
788 F. Supp. 2d 1043 (C.D. Cal. 2010) 8

Cavalier v. Random House, Inc.,
297 F.3d 815 (9th Cir. 2002) 7

Christianson v. West Pub. Co.,
149 F.2d 202 (9th Cir. 1945) 6

Dellar v. Samuel Goldwyn, Inc.,
150 F.2d 612 (2d Cir. 1945)..... 1

DuckHole v. NBC Universal,
2013 WL 5797279 (C.D. Cal. Sep. 6, 2013) 6

Fillmore v. Blumhouse,
2017 WL 4708018 (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2017)..... 6, 8, 23

Fox Broad. Co. v. Dish Network,
747 F.3d 1060 (9th Cir. 2014) 25

Funky Films v. Time Warner Entm't,
462 F.3d 1072 (9th Cir. 2006) 7, 11

Gadh v. Spiegel,
2014 WL 1778950 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2014) 6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.