

Statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, *et seq.*, and The Cable & Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, *et seq.*, and California B&P Section 17200, a California state statute.

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at length. The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of California constituting an unfair business practice in violation of the law, including specific California state statutes, more particularly set forth below.



2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

VENUE

Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the Central 4. District of California, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and/or because, inter alia, all Defendants reside within the State of California (28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b) and 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(2)).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. Assignment to the Western Division of the Central District of California is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Los Angeles County and/or, the United States District Court for the Central District of California has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Innovative Sports Management, Inc., d/b/a Integrated Sports Media, 6. is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business located at 64 North Summit St., Suite 218, Tennafly, NJ 07060.
- At all times relevant hereto, including on Saturday, May 11, 2019, Defendant 7.

5 | 8.

8. At all times relevant hereto, including on Saturday, May 11, 2019, Defendant Apricode KDS, Corp. was specifically identified as owner of the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control License (ABC #563263) for the establishment doing business as The Nickel Mine operating at 11363 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90025.

- 9. At all times relevant hereto, including on Saturday, May 11, 2019, Defendant Artem A. Timofeev was specifically identified as Chief Executive Officer and President on the State of California Secretary of State Statement of Information (C3808562) issued to Apricode KDS, Corp. for The Nickel Mine.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, May 11, 2019 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in Paragraph 18), Defendant Artem A. Timofeev had the right and ability to supervise the activities of The Nickel Mine, which included the unlawful interception, receipt, and publication of Plaintiff's *Program*.



11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, May 11, 2019 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in Paragraph 18), Defendant Artem A. Timofeev, as an individual had the obligation to supervise the activities of Apricode KDS, Corp., which included the unlawful interception, receipt, and publication of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that The Nickel Mine operated lawfully at all times.

- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, May 11, 2019 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in Paragraph 18), Defendant Artem A. Timofeev specifically directed or permitted the employees of The Nickel Mine to unlawfully intercept, receive, and publish Plaintiff's *Program* at The Nickel Mine, or intentionally intercepted, received, and published the *Program* at The Nickel Mine himself. The actions of the employees of The Nickel Mine are directly imputable to Defendant Artem A. Timofeev by virtue of his acknowledged responsibility for the operation of The Nickel Mine.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, May 11, 2019, Defendant Artem A. Timofeev, as Chief Executive Officer and President of Apricode KDS, Corp. and as an individual specifically identified as President and stockholder on the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control License

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

