```
Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
   esmith@brodskysmith.com
Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
    rcardona@brodskysmith.com
    BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
 3
    9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900
    Beverly Hills, CA 90212
 4
   Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160
 5
    Counsel for Plaintiff
 6
 7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
     TANNIA DELAPAZ,
10
                                              Civil No.
11
                        Plaintiff,
12
                                               COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
            VS.
13
                                               AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND
     NATIONAL TECHNICAL SYSTEMS,
                                               CIVIL PENALTIES
14
     INC.,
15
                                               (Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33
16
                                               U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.)
                        Defendant.
17
                                               JURY TRIAL DEMAND
18
19
          Plaintiff Tannia Delapaz ("Plaintiff"), by and through her counsel, alleges as
20
    follows:
21
                This is a citizen suit, brought pursuant to the section 505(a)(1) of the
          1.
22
    Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act" or "CWA"), 33 U.S.C.
23
    § 1365(a)(1), to address violations of the CWA by defendant National Technical
24
    Systems, Inc. ("National Technical Systems" or the "Defendant") arising out of
25
    operations at Blair Adhesives' facility located at 20970 Centre Point Pkwy, Santa
26
    Clarita, CA 91350 (the "Facility").
```



- 2. Since at least October 21, 2014, Defendant has been discharging and continues to discharge polluted stormwater from the Facility in violation of the express terms and conditions of Sections 301 and 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311, 1342, and in violation of the General Industrial Stormwater Permits issued by the State of California (NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Order No. 92-12-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ) ("1997 Permit") and Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ ("2015 Permit") (collectively, the "Industrial Stormwater Permit" or "IGP").
- 3. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment, injunctive relief, the imposition of civil penalties, and the award of costs, including attorneys' and expert witness fees, for Defendant's repeated and ongoing violations of the Clean Water Act.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this action pursuant to section 505(a)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (an action arising under the laws of the United States), and 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (declaratory relief).
- 5. On October 21, 2019, as required by the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(A), Plaintiff provided notice of intent to file suit against Defendant for CWA violations ("NoV") to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"); the Regional Administrator of EPA Region IX; the Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board"); the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region ("Regional Board") collectively, "state and federal agencies") and Defendant.
- 6. The NoV provided Defendant with sufficient information to determine (i) the CWA requirements Plaintiff alleges Defendant violated, (ii) the activity alleged to constitute the violation(s), (iii) sufficient information to determine the

DOCKET

date, location, and person responsible for the violation(s), and (iv) the contact information for the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel. A copy of the NoV is attached as Exhibit 1.

- 7. More than sixty (60) days have passed since the NoV was served upon Defendant and the state and federal agencies. During this time, neither the EPA, nor the State of California, has commenced or is diligently prosecuting a court action to redress the violations alleged herein. No claim in this action is barred by any prior administrative action pursuant to section 309(g) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g).
- 8. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to section 505(c)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because the source of the violations is located within this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California who, through her recreational activities, uses and enjoys the waters of the Santa Clara River, its inflows, outflows, and other waters of the overall Santa Clara River Watershed, of which the Santa Clara River is a part. Plaintiff's use and enjoyment of these waters is negatively affected by the pollution caused by Defendant's operations. Plaintiff is dedicated to protecting the water quality of the Santa Clara River, and the overall Santa Clara River Watershed, for the benefit of its ecosystems and communities. To further these goals, Plaintiff actively seeks federal and state agency implementation of the CWA, and, where necessary, directly initiates enforcement actions on behalf of herself and for her community.
- 10. Plaintiff, like other citizens, taxpayers, property owners, and residents of her community, lives, works, travels near, and recreates in, the Santa Clara River, its inflows, outflows, and other waters of the overall Santa Clara River Watershed, of which the Santa Clara River is a part, into which Defendant discharges pollutants. Plaintiff, like other citizens, taxpayers, property owners, and residents, uses and

- 11. Plaintiff enjoys going to the Duane R. Harte Park (the "Park"). Plaintiff enjoys relaxing in the park and walking along the paths located in the Park.
- 12. The Santa Clara River runs immediately adjacent to the Park, and the Santa Clara River is accessible from the Park by Park goers to recreate and fish in. While at the Park, Plaintiff has witnessed the polluted nature of the Santa Clara River. She has observed that the Santa Clara River appears both brown and dirty. In addition to her visual observation of the water, Plaintiff has also noticed an unpleasant smell coming from the water.
- 13. Plaintiff is aware that Defendant's Facility is upstream from the Park and that the pollution from the Facility flows downstream through the Santa Clara River and the Park before ultimately reaching the Pacific Ocean. Plaintiff believes that this has degraded the beauty of the Park and curtailed her enjoyment of the Park.
- 14. Plaintiff intends to return to the Park in the future and believes that reducing Defendant's pollution of the Santa Clara River will improve the water quality in the Santa Clara River and allow her the opportunity to better enjoy the recreational and aesthetic interests in the Santa Clara River and the Park.
- 15. Defendant is a California Corporation with headquarters at 24007 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 200, Calabasas, CA 91302.
- 16. Defendant owns and operates the Facility, located at 20970 Centre Point Pkwy, Santa Clarita, CA 91350.



DOC

| 17. The Facility operates as a testing facility and provider of engineering |
|--|
| services to various industries. Industrial activities carried out at the Facility include |
| (i) industrial testing; (ii) loading and unloading of materials; and (iii) outdoor storage |
| of materials. Repair and maintenance activities carried out at the Facility include, |
| but are not limited to, electrical, plumbing, roofing, asphalt, concrete, and utilities |
| repairs as well as ianitorial duties. |

18. The Facility's industrial activities fall under Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") Code 3826, relating to Laboratory Analytical Instruments and SIC Code 3825, relating to Instruments for Measuring and testing of Electricity and Electrical Signals. Defendant applied for and received coverage under the California Industrial General Permit since at least June 30, 2005, and was issued WDID No. 4 19I019623. Defendant reapplied for coverage under the 2015 Industrial Stormwater Permit on July 9, 2015, and was granted the continued use of its previously issued WDID No. These "Notice of Intents" for the Facility to comply with the terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit list "National Technical Systems" as the name of the Operator and Facility name. Plaintiff is therefore informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant owns and/or operates the Facility.

REGULATORY BACKGROUND

The Problem of Stormwater Pollution

19. Stormwater runoff is one of the most significant sources of water pollution in the nation and has been recognized as a leading cause of significant and cumulative harmful impacts to the water quality of the Santa Clara River, its inflows, outflows, and other waters of the overall Santa Clara River Watershed, of which the Santa Clara River is a part. With every rainfall event, significant amounts of polluted rainwater flow from local industrial facilities, such as the Facility, and pour into storm drains, local tributaries, and directly into the Santa Clara River, its inflows,

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

