

1 MICHAEL M. VASSEGHI (SBN 210737)  
 michael.vasseghi@roll.com  
 2 ROLL LAW GROUP PC  
 11444 West Olympic Boulevard  
 3 Los Angeles, California 90064-1557  
 Telephone: (310) 966-8400  
 4 Facsimile: (310) 966-8810  
 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 SUTERRA LLC  
 6  
 7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

10  
 11 SUTERRA LLC, a Delaware limited  
 liability company,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 MOSAIC AG INNOVATION  
 15 SOLUTIONS, LLC, a Delaware limited  
 liability company, and DOES 1 through  
 16 10, inclusive,

17 Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-9167

**COMPLAINT FOR:  
 FEDERAL TRADEMARK  
 INFRINGEMENT; VIOLATION OF  
 THE LANHAM ACT § 43(A);  
 UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER  
 CALIFORNIA BUSINESS &  
 PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 ET  
 SEQ.**

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 Plaintiff Suterra LLC (“Suterra”) hereby alleges as follows:

2 **PARTIES**

3 1. Suterra is the manufacturer of products bearing the infringed  
4 SUTERRA trademarks at issue in this case as well as the owner of the intellectual  
5 property rights for the SUTERRA trademarks.

6 2. Suterra sells agricultural products focusing on environmentally  
7 sustainable pest control in over 30 states including in California.

8 3. Suterra is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant  
9 MOSAIC AG INNOVATION SOLUTIONS, LLC (“Mosaic” or “Defendant”) is  
10 also involved in the manufacture and sale of agricultural products, including  
11 fertilizer, under the SUSTERRA mark.

12 4. According to a September 30, 2020, article in agrobusiness.com – an  
13 online publication geared towards agricultural news – the SUSTERRA product is or  
14 will be “available via retailers throughout the U.S.”

15 5. In its 2017 10-K filing, Mosaic stated that “U.S. distribution operations  
16 also include leased distribution space or contractual throughput agreements in other  
17 key geographical areas such as California....”

18 6. Suterra is not aware of the true names and capacities of the Defendants  
19 identified herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore fictitiously names  
20 said Defendants. Suterra will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and  
21 capacities of these fictitiously named Defendants when their identities are  
22 ascertained.

23 7. Suterra is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that  
24 Defendant Mosaic and each of the fictitiously named Doe Defendants (collectively,  
25 “Defendants”) were in some manner responsible for the acts alleged herein and the  
26 harm, losses and damages suffered by Suterra as alleged hereinafter. Suterra is also  
27 informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that while participating in such  
28 acts, each Defendant was the agent, principal, and/or alter ego of the other

1 Defendants, and was acting in the course and scope of such agency and/or acted  
2 with the permission, consent, authorization or ratification of the other Defendants.

3 8. Suterra is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that  
4 Defendants conduct business, and distribute the SUSTERRA product in California,  
5 within this Court's jurisdiction.

### 6 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7 9. This action arises, in part, under the Lanham Act, as amended, 15  
8 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125, California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.  
9 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §  
10 1121 (trademark infringement claims under the Lanham Act); 28 U.S.C. § 1331  
11 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (original jurisdiction of trademark claims and  
12 unfair competition claims related to same) and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental  
13 jurisdiction).

14 10. Suterra is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that venue  
15 is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1391 (c) because a  
16 substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.  
17 Additionally, Suterra is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the  
18 Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have  
19 distributed, and sought to distribute, the infringing SUSTERRA product in this  
20 District, and generally engage in business in this District.

### 21 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

22 11. In 2001 Suterra began manufacturing, marketing and selling products  
23 under the SUTERRA mark, and has done so continuously since then.

24 12. Suterra has invested substantially in the development, production,  
25 marketing and sale of its products under the SUTERRA mark.

26 13. Suterra owns two federally registered trademarks in the United States  
27 for SUTERRA in connection with the marketing and sale of its products in interstate  
28 commerce. One is for the standard character mark SUTERRA, (Registration No.

1 2796835) and the other is SUTERRA and design (Registration No. 6062099),  
2 collectively the “SUTERRA Marks.”

3 14. Suterra’s registrations are valid and subsisting, and Suterra owns all  
4 right, title and interest to the SUTERRA Marks. Registration No. 2796835 is  
5 incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1065.

6 15. Defendants had constructive notice of Suterra’s rights in its federally  
7 registered trademarks under 15 U.S.C. Section 1072, which states: “Registration of a  
8 mark on the principal register provided by this Act or under the Act of March 3,  
9 1881, or the Act of February 20, 1905, shall be constructive notice of the registrant’s  
10 claim of ownership thereof.”

11 16. Mosaic also had actual notice of Suterra’s rights when Suterra sent  
12 Mosaic a letter on September 24, 2020, advising it of Suterra’s rights.

13 17. Suterra has devoted a great deal of time, money and resources to  
14 develop and market its products in connection with the SUTERRA Marks. Because  
15 of this, there is substantial goodwill associated with the SUTERRA Marks.

16 18. The SUTERRA Marks are used uniformly and consistently in every  
17 product, advertisement, and promotion in connection with the agricultural products  
18 Suterra sells.

19 19. Suterra uses the SUTERRA Marks to distinguish itself as the source of  
20 goods and services in connection therewith.

21 20. The SUTERRA and SUSTERRA products, both being agricultural  
22 products, are sold to the same or similar group of customers utilizing overlapping  
23 trade channels.

24 21. The SUSTERRA products are bio-rational, meaning that they are non-  
25 toxic. Similarly, Mosaic’s website promotes the SUSTERRA product as using “bio-  
26 based technology.” By selling SUSTERRA products that mimic the SUTERRA  
27 Marks, Defendants are not only creating likelihood confusion between the marks,  
28 but are also attempting to fall within and capitalize on Suterra’s core business

1 proposition of being an industry leader in environmentally friendly agricultural  
2 products.

3 22. The SUTERRA Marks were custom designed to be distinctive,  
4 innovative and recognizable to consumers so that the SUTERRA Marks would act  
5 as a source-identifier. Because of this, the SUTERRA Marks are inherently  
6 distinctive. In the alternative, because of Suterra's exclusive and extensive use, the  
7 SUTERRA Marks have acquired secondary meaning and distinctiveness, and are  
8 thus well known to its customers as identifying and distinguishing Suterra  
9 exclusively and uniquely as the source of products to which the SUTERRA Marks  
10 are applied.

11 23. The SUTERRA Marks are widely recognized as a source-identifier for  
12 Suterra's agricultural products. Suterra has built and owns an extremely valuable  
13 goodwill which is symbolized by, and associated with its highly distinctive  
14 SUTERRA Marks.

15 24. Suterra pursues a variety of marketing efforts for the sale of its  
16 agricultural products bearing the SUTERRA Marks, including attending trade  
17 shows, engaging in print and web-based advertising, direct mail advertising, digital  
18 marketing, and via social media.

19 25. The SUTERRA Marks denote high-quality agricultural products and  
20 act as a source-identifier of those products.

21 26. Notwithstanding Suterra's rights in the SUTERRA Marks, and with  
22 constructive and actual notice of Suterra's rights, Defendants are intentionally and  
23 willfully advertising, distributing, and selling a product that infringes the SUTERRA  
24 Marks.

25 27. Defendants' use of the SUSTERRA mark infringes the SUTERRA  
26 Marks by causing a likelihood of confusion with the SUTERRA Marks.

27 28. By copying and using marks similar to the SUTERRA Marks,  
28 Defendants are intentionally trading on the substantial goodwill created by Suterra.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.