

1 EMILY JOHNSON HENN (SBN 269482)  
2 ehenn@cov.com  
3 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
4 3000 El Camino Real  
5 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor  
6 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112  
7 Telephone: + 1 (650) 632-4700  
8 Facsimile: + 1 (650) 632-4800

9 SIMON J. FRANKEL (SBN 171552)  
10 sfrankel@cov.com  
11 MATTHEW Q. VERDIN (SBN 306713)  
12 mverdin@cov.com

13 JENNA L. ZHANG (SBN 336105)  
14 jzhang@cov.com  
15 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
16 Salesforce Tower  
17 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400  
18 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533  
19 Telephone: + 1 (415) 591-6000  
20 Facsimile: + 1 (415) 591-6091

21 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
22 *Nike, Inc.*

23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
24 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

25 BURHAAN SALEH, individually and  
26 on behalf of all others similarly situated,

27 Plaintiff,

28 v.

NIKE, INC., and FULLSTORY, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Case No.: 2:20-cv-09581-FLA-RAO

**DEFENDANT NIKE, INC.’S NOTICE  
OF MOTION AND MOTION TO STAY  
OR, ALTERNATIVELY, TO CERTIFY  
ORDER FOR INTERLOCUTORY  
APPEAL AND STAY**

Hearing Date: November 19, 2021  
Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Honorable Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 19, 2021 at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard before the Honorable Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha in Courtroom 6B of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, located at 350 W. 1st Street, 6th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Defendant Nike, Inc. (“Nike”), will and hereby does move for an order staying this action pending the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Johnson v. Blue Nile, Inc.*, No. 21-16378, or, alternatively, to certify the Court’s September 27, 2021 Order (Dkt. 71) for interlocutory appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) and to stay this action pending that appeal.

The Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Matthew Q. Verdin and accompanying exhibits, and such further evidence and argument as may be presented to the Court at or before the hearing on this matter.

The Motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to Local Rule 7-3, which took place on October 8, 2021.

DATED: October 15, 2021

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

By: /s/ Emily Johnson Henn

Emily Johnson Henn

*Attorney for Defendant*

*Nike, Inc.*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND ..... 2

III. ARGUMENT..... 4

    A. This Action Should Be Stayed Pending the Ninth Circuit’s  
    Decision in *Johnson*. ..... 4

        1. A Stay Will Facilitate the Orderly Course of Justice. .... 5

        2. Nike Will Suffer Unnecessary Hardship if Required to Proceed  
        with This Case Before *Johnson* Is Decided. .... 7

        3. Plaintiff Will Not Be Prejudiced by a Temporary Stay ..... 8

    B. In the Alternative, This Court Should Certify Its September 27  
    Order for Interlocutory Appeal and Stay This Action Pending That  
    Appeal..... 9

        1. Whether the Party Exception Under Section 631 Extends to a  
        Party’s Service Provider Is a Controlling Question of Law. .... 9

        2. There Is Substantial Ground for a Difference of Opinion As to  
        the Controlling Question of Law. .... 11

        3. An Immediate Appeal May Materially Advance the Litigation.  
        ..... 14

        4. The Court Should Stay This Action During the Pendency of the  
        Interlocutory Appeal. .... 14

IV. CONCLUSION..... 15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

*Asis Internet Servs. v. Active Response Grp.*,  
2008 WL 4279695 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2008)..... 12

*In re Cal. Title Ins. Antitrust Litig.*,  
2010 WL 785798 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2010) ..... 13

*Casas v. Victoria’s Secret Stores, LLC*,  
2015 WL 13446989 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2015) ..... 14, 15

*Castrellon v. Fitness Club Mgmt., LLC*,  
2018 WL 5099741 (C.D. Cal. June 6, 2018)..... 6

*In re Cement Antitrust Litig. (MDL No. 296)*,  
673 F.2d 1020 (9th Cir. 1982) ..... 10

*CMAX, Inc. v. Hall*,  
300 F.2d 265 (9th Cir. 1962) ..... 6

*Couch v. Telescope Inc.*,  
611 F.3d 629 (9th Cir. 2010) ..... 11

*Env’t World Watch, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.*,  
2014 WL 10979864 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2014) ..... 14

*Finder v. Leprino Foods Co.*,  
2016 WL 4095833 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2016)..... 12

*Fox Television Stations, Inc. v. FilmOn X, LLC*,  
2015 WL 13648582 (C.D. Cal. July 24, 2015)..... 14

*In re Google Inc. St. View Elec. Commc’ns Litig.*,  
794 F. Supp. 2d 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2011)..... 10

*In re Google Inc. St. View Elec. Commc’ns Litig.*,  
2011 WL 13257346 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2011) ..... 11

*Graham v. Noom, Inc.*,  
2021 WL 1312765 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 8, 2021)..... 2, 3, 12

---

NIKE’S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO STAY OR, ALTERNATIVELY,

1 *Graham v. Noom, Inc.*,  
 2 2021 WL 3602215 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2021) ..... 3  
 3 *Grivas v. Metagenics, Inc.*,  
 4 2016 WL 11266835 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2016)..... 5  
 5 *Gustavson v. Mars, Inc.*,  
 6 2014 WL 6986421 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014)..... 5, 8  
 7 *Helman v. Alcoa Glob. Fasteners Inc.*,  
 8 2009 WL 2058541 (C.D. Cal. June 16, 2009)..... 11, 14  
 9 *United States ex rel. Integra Med Analytics LLC*  
 10 *v. Providence Health & Servs.*,  
 2019 WL 6973547 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2019) ..... 10  
 11 *Johnson v. Blue Nile, Inc.*,  
 12 2021 WL 1312771 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 8, 2021).....*passim*  
 13 *Johnson v. Blue Nile, Inc.*,  
 14 2021 WL 3602214 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2021) ..... 2, 3  
 15 *Johnson v. Blue Nile, Inc.*,  
 16 No. 21-16378 (9th Cir. filed Aug. 23, 2021)..... 2, 3  
 17 *Johnson v. Starbucks Corp.*,  
 18 2019 WL 3220273 (N.D. Cal. July 17, 2019) ..... 5  
 19 *Kelley v. Colonial Penn Life Ins. Co.*,  
 2020 WL 6150922 (C.D. Cal. July 13, 2020)..... 5, 7, 8, 9  
 20 *Kim v. CashCall, Inc.*,  
 21 2017 WL 8186683 (C.D. Cal. June 8, 2017)..... 5  
 22 *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*,  
 23 299 U.S. 248 (1936)..... 4  
 24 *Lockyer v. Mirant Corp.*,  
 25 398 F.3d 1098 (9th Cir. 2005) ..... 4  
 26 *Ludlow v. Flowers Foods, Inc.*,  
 27 2020 WL 773253 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 18, 2020)..... 9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.