

1 COOLEY LLP
MICHELLE C. DOOLIN (179445)
2 (mdoolin@cooley.com)
4401 East Gate Mall
3 San Diego, California 92121-1909
Telephone: +1 858 550 6000
4 Facsimile: +1 858 550 6402

5 WHITTY SOMVICHIAN (194463)
(wsomvichian@cooley.com)
6 SHARON SONG (313535)
(ssong@cooley.com)
7 101 California Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-5800
8 Telephone: +1 415 693 2000
9 Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222

10 Attorneys for Defendants
ALPHABET, INC., GOOGLE, LLC,
11 GOOGLE PAYMENT CORP., and
GOOGLE ARIZONA LLC

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15

16 HENK MEYERS and GLENN
GINOZA, Individually and on Behalf
17 of All Others Similarly Situated,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 ALPHABET, INC., GOOGLE, LLC,
GOOGLE PAYMENT CORP., and
21 GOOGLE ARIZONA LLC,

22 Defendants.
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 2:21-CV-01767 FMO (MAAx)

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND
MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT, TRANSFER
VENUE, AND/OR STRIKE CLASS
ALLEGATIONS**

*Declaration of Whitty Somvichian;
Declaration of Joseph Mills; and
[Proposed] Order filed concurrently
herewith*

Date: 10:00 a.m.
Time: August 5, 2021
Dept.: Courtroom 6D, 6th floor
Judge: Hon. Fernando M. Olguin

Complaint Filed: February 25, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1				PAGE
2				
3	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION.....			1
4	STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED.....			2
5	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.....			2
6	I. INTRODUCTION.....			2
7	II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.....			4
8	A. Google Play.....			4
9	B. Google Play Gift Cards.....			4
10	C. Plaintiffs’ Allegations.....			6
11	D. Google’s Forum Selection Clause.....			7
12	III. ARGUMENT.....			8
13	A. Plaintiffs’ Claims Should Be Dismissed Under the Doctrine of Forum Non Conveniens or, Alternatively, Transferred to the Northern District of California.....			8
14	1. The Gift Card TOS and Google Play TOS Are Valid Contracts Containing an Enforceable Forum Selection Clause.....			9
15	2. The Forum Selection Clause Incorporated by Reference into the Gift Card TOS is Valid and Enforceable.....			11
16	3. The Forum Selection Clause Should Be Enforced Through Either Dismissal or Transfer to the Northern District of California.....			12
17	B. The FAC Should be Dismissed Under Rule 12(b)(6).....			15
18	1. Plaintiffs’ UCL and Breach of Contract Claims Fail Because Google Play Gift Cards Are Exempted from Requirements of the Gift Certificate Law.....			15
19	2. The UCL Claim (All Prongs) Fails Because Plaintiffs Have an Adequate Remedy at Law.....			16
20	3. The Fraud-Based UCL Claim Fails Because It Does Not Meet Rule 9(b)’s Heightened Pleading Requirements.....			18
21	4. The Claim for Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Fails Because It Merely Duplicates the Breach of Contract Claim.....			19
22	C. Plaintiffs’ Class Allegations and Allegations Concerning Bona Fide Google Play Gift Card Purchasers and Holders Should Be Stricken Under Rule 12(f).....			21
23	IV. CONCLUSION.....			23
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	PAGE
Cases	
<i>In re Actimmune Mktg. Litig.</i> , No. C 08-02376 MHP, 2009 WL 3740648 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 2009), <i>aff'd</i> , 464 F. App'x 651 (9th Cir. 2011).....	19
<i>Am. W. Door & Trim v. Arch Specialty Ins. Co.</i> , No. CV 15-00153 BRO SPX, 2015 WL 1266787 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2015)	21, 22, 23
<i>Arena Rest. & Lounge LLC v. S. Glazer's Wine & Spirits, LLC</i> , No. 17-cv-03805-LHK, 2018 WL 1805516 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 16, 2018).....	20
<i>Atl. Marine Const. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for W. Dist. of Texas</i> , 571 U.S. 49 (2013)	8, 12, 13, 14
<i>Bates v. Bankers Life & Cas. Co.</i> , 993 F. Supp. 2d 1318 (D. Or. 2014).....	21
<i>Brazil v. Dell Inc.</i> , 585 F. Supp. 2d 1158 (N.D. Cal. 2008).....	21, 22, 23
<i>Bureerong v. Uvawas</i> , 922 F. Supp. 1450 (C.D. Cal. 1996).....	21
<i>Careau & Co. v. Sec. Pac. Bus. Credit, Inc.</i> , 222 Cal. App. 3d 1371 (1990).....	19, 20
<i>Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute</i> , 499 U.S. 585 (1991)	11
<i>Clippercreek, Inc. v. Intelligrated Sys., LLC</i> , No. 2:19-cv-01341-WBS KJN, 2020 WL 230179 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2020).....	12
<i>Creative Tech., Ltd. v. Aztech Sys. Pte., Ltd.</i> , 61 F.3d 696 (9th Cir. 1995).....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(cont'd)

		PAGE
1		
2		
3	<i>Croschal v. Aurora Bank, F.S.B.</i> ,	
4	No. C 13-05435 SBA, 2014 WL 2796529 (N.D. Cal. June 19,	
5	2014).....	20
6	<i>Duttweiler v. Triumph Motorcycles (Am.) Ltd.</i> ,	
7	No. 14-cv-04809-HSG, 2015 WL 4941780 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 19,	
8	2015).....	17
9	<i>E. & J. Gallo Winery v. Andina Licores S.A.</i> ,	
10	440 F. Supp. 2d 1115 (E.D. Cal. 2006).....	11
11	<i>Fantasy, Inc. v. Fogerty</i> ,	
12	984 F.2d 1524 (9th Cir. 1993).....	21
13	<i>Feldman v. Google, Inc.</i> ,	
14	513 F. Supp. 2d 229 (E.D. Pa. 2007).....	10
15	<i>In re Ford Tailgate Litig.</i> ,	
16	No. 11-cv-2953-RS, 2014 WL 1007066 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 12, 2014)	17
17	<i>Gen. Tel. Co. of Sw. v. Falcon</i> ,	
18	457 U.S. 147 (1982)	21
19	<i>Gibson v. Jaguar Land Rover N. Am., LLC</i> ,	
20	No. CV 20-00769-CJC, 2020 WL 5492990 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 9,	
21	2020).....	18
22	<i>Goldsmith v. Allergan, Inc.</i> ,	
23	No. CV 09-7088 PSG (EX), 2011 WL 147714 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 13,	
24	2011).....	19
25	<i>Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert</i> ,	
26	330 U.S. 501 (1947)	14
27	<i>Hovsepian v. Apple, Inc.</i> ,	
28	No. 08-5788 JF (PVT), 2009 WL 5069144 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 17,	
	2009).....	21
	<i>Kearns v. Ford Motor Co.</i> ,	
	567 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 2009).....	18, 19

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(cont'd)**

PAGE

Knieval v. ESPN,
393 F.3d 1068 (9th Cir. 2005)..... 5

Lamke v. Sunstate Equip. Co., LLC,
387 F. Supp. 2d 1044 (N.D. Cal. 2004)..... 20

Lemberg v. LuLaRoe, LLC,
No. ED CV 17-02102-AB, 2018 WL 6927844 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 17,
2018)..... 9

M/S Bremen v. Zapta Off-Shore Co.,
407 U.S. 1 (1971) 11

In re MacBook Keyboard Litig.,
No. 5:18-cv-2813, 2020 WL 6047253 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 13, 2020) 18

Meyer v. Howmedica Osteonics Corp.,
No. 14CV2496 AJB (NLS), 2015 WL 728631 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 19,
2015)..... 11

Miguel v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.,
No. CV 12-3308 PSG, 2013 WL 452418 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2013)..... 10

Mort v. United States,
86 F.3d 890 (9th Cir. 1996)..... 17

*Partti v. Palo Alto Med. Found. For Health Care, Research & Educ.,
Inc.*,
No. 5:13-cv-04742-PSG, 2015 WL 6664477 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 2,
2015)..... 19

Philips v. Ford Motor Co.,
No. 14-cv-02989-LHK, 2015 WL 4111448 (N.D. Cal. July 7, 2015)..... 17

Reynolds v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.,
332 F. App'x 397 (9th Cir. 2009)..... 16

Rojas-Lozano v. Google, Inc.,
No. 15-10160-MGM, 2015 WL 4779245 (D. Mass. Aug. 12, 2015) 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.