1	JAMES REILLY DOLAN	
2	Acting General Counsel	
3	ROBERT J. QUIGLEY, Cal. Bar No. 302879	
4	rquigley@ftc.gov	
5	BARBARA CHUN, Cal. Bar No. 186907	
_	bchun@ftc.gov MILES D. FREEMAN, Cal. Bar No. 299302	
6	mfreeman@ftc.gov	
7	Federal Trade Commission	
8	10990 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400	
9	Los Angeles, CA 90024	
10	Tel: (310) 824-4300 Fax: (310) 824-4380	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
12		
13	[Additional Attorneys for Plaintiffs Listed on Signature Pages]	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	EEDED AL ED ADE GOLD (IGGIO)	
18	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION; STATE OF ARIZONA <i>EX REL</i> .	Case No. 2:21-cv-4155
	MARK BRNOVICH, ATTORNEY	
19	GENERAL; THE PEOPLE OF THE	COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY
20	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; STATE	INJUNCTION, PERMANENT
21	OF INDIANA; THE PEOPLE OF THE	INJUNCTION, MONETARY RELIEF
22	STATE OF MICHIGAN; STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; and STATE OF	AND OTHER RELIEF
23	WISCONSIN,	
24	,	
	Plaintiffs,	
25	***	
26	V.	
27	FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS	
28	CORPORATION, a Delaware	



1 2

3

4

5

6

corporation; FRONTIER

COMMUNICATIONS PARENT,

INC., a Delaware corporation;

FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS

INTERMEDIATE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; FRONTIER

COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability

company,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), the Attorneys General of the States of Arizona, Indiana, Michigan, North Carolina, and Wisconsin, and the People of the State of California, by and through the District Attorneys of Los Angeles County and Riverside County (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), for their Complaint allege:

- The FTC brings this action under Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 1. U.S.C. § 53(b), which authorizes the FTC to seek, and the Court to order, temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief and other relief for Defendants' acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).
- This action is also brought, in their representative and official 2. capacities as provided by state law, by the Attorneys General of Arizona, Indiana, Michigan, North Carolina, and Wisconsin, and by the People of the State of California by and through the District Attorneys of Los Angeles County and Riverside County. The Plaintiffs identified in this paragraph are referred to collectively as the "Plaintiff States."
- 3. The Plaintiff States bring this action pursuant to consumer protection and business regulation authority conferred on their Attorneys General, and/or state or county agencies or offices by state law, and/or pursuant to parens patriae and/or common law authority. As described below, many of these states' laws authorize



- 4. The State of Arizona *ex rel*. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General of Arizona (the "State of Arizona"), brings this action pursuant to the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 44-1521 to -1534.
- 5. Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, by and through George Gascón, District Attorney of Los Angeles County, and Michael A. Hestrin, District Attorney of Riverside County, bring this action against Defendants for violation of the California Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.) and the California False Advertising Law ("FAL") (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.).
- 6. The Indiana Attorney General brings this action on behalf of the State of Indiana for violations of the Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5, *et seq.*, and is authorized to seek injunctive and statutory relief.
- 7. Plaintiff Michigan Attorney General Dana Nessel brings this action on behalf of the People of the State of Michigan for violations of the Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901 *et seq*.
- 8. Plaintiff State of North Carolina, acting by and through its Attorney General Joshua H. Stein, brings this action in the public interest and pursuant to Chapters 75 and 114 of the North Carolina General Statutes. The State of North Carolina, by and through the Attorney General, is charged with, *inter alia*, enforcing North Carolina's Unfair or Deceptive Trade Practices Act, N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1, *et seq.*, which is intended to protect members of the public from being harmed by unethical and unscrupulous business practices, including deceptive



- statements and conduct, carried out in North Carolina commerce. North Carolina's Unfair or Deceptive Trade Practices Act authorizes the State of North Carolina to seek temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, civil penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, costs, and other equitable relief for Defendants' acts or practices in violation of N.C.G.S. § 75-1.1.
- 9. The Wisconsin Attorney General brings this action on behalf of the State of Wisconsin. The Wisconsin Attorney General is vested with the authority to enforce the Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act and is required to furnish legal services to the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection to enforce, among other laws, the Deceptive Trade Practices Act and laws prohibiting unfair billing, unfair trade practices, and deceptive telecommunications advertising. Wis. Stats. §§ 100.18(11)(d) and 165.25(4)(ar). The Wisconsin Attorney General is permitted to seek permanent injunctive relief and restitution to consumers. Wis. Stats. §§ 100.18(11)(d), 100.195(5m)(c), 100.20(6), and 100.207(6)(b). Wisconsin law also authorizes the Attorney General to obtain civil forfeitures, consumer protection surcharges, supplemental forfeitures, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs. Wis. Stats. §§ 100.207(6)(c), 100.26, 100.261, 100.263, and 100.264.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the subject matter of the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 11. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (b)(2), (c)(2), (d), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).
- 12. Defendants have transacted business within the State of California and within the geographical boundaries of this District, including in the Counties of



1 2 3

Los Angeles and Riverside, at all relevant times to this Complaint. The violations of law described herein occurred in, among other locations, the Counties of Los Angeles and Riverside, and elsewhere in the State of California.

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

### **PLAINTIFFS**

- 13. The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by the FTC Act, which authorizes the FTC to commence this district court civil action by its own attorneys. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.
- The State of Arizona is authorized to bring this action pursuant to the 14. Arizona Consumer Fraud Act (the "Arizona CFA"), A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to -1534 to obtain injunctive relief to permanently enjoin and prevent the unlawful acts and practices alleged in this Complaint, and to obtain other relief, including restitution, disgorgement of profits, gains, gross receipts, or other benefits, civil penalties, and costs and attorneys' fees.
- The People of the State of California, by and through George Gascón, 15. District Attorney of Los Angeles County, and Michael A. Hestrin, District Attorney of Riverside County, are authorized to enjoin repeated and persistent fraudulent, unlawful, deceptive, and misleading business conduct under the California Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.) and the California False Advertising Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.) to obtain equitable or other appropriate relief, including restitution, civil penalties, and an injunction as may be appropriate.
- The Indiana Attorney General on behalf of the State of Indiana is 16. authorized to bring this action under Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c), and may obtain injunctive relief, consumer restitution, civil penalties, costs and all other just and proper relief under the Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5, *et seg*.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

