

1

COMES NOW Plaintiff Patricia Mayberry ("Mayberry") and alleges as follows:

2

3

### THE PARTIES

4

5

6

1. Plaintiff Mayberry is, and at all material times was, an individual resident of California.

7

8

Cross") is, and at all material times was, a corporate entity organized under the laws of and authorized to do business in California with its primary headquarters located at 15031

10

9

Rinaldi Street, Mission Hills, CA 91345.

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

28

26 27 2. Defendant Providence Holy Cross Medical Center ("Providence Holy

- 3. Defendant Providence Health & Services ("Providence Health") is, and at all material times was, a corporate entity organized under the laws of Washington and registered to do business in California with its primary headquarters located at 1801 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98057-3368.
- 4. Defendant Providence Health System - Southern California ("Providence SoCal") is, and at all material times was, a corporate entity organized under the laws of and authorized to do business in California with its primary headquarters located at 4180 West 190th Street, Torrance, California 90504-5513.
- 5. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of the defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, are unknown to Mayberry, who therefore sues said defendants by said fictitious names. When the identities and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, become known to Mayberry, she will amend her Complaint. The term "Defendants," as used herein, shall include each of said fictitiously-named defendants and Providence Holy Cross, Providence Health and Providence SoCal.
- 6. Mayberry is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that certain other defendants were the agents, employees and representatives of certain of the remaining defendants, and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and



employment, and each said defendant has ratified and approved the acts of its agents, employees and representatives, and that each actively participated in, aided and abetted, or assisted one another in the commission of the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint.

7. Each of the Defendants employs more than twenty (20) employees, and at all relevant times did so.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has venue and jurisdiction over this dispute because it arises in or about Los Angeles, California under federal law, namely U.S. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the U.S. Age Discrimination in Employment Act and the U.S. Older Workers Benefit Protection Act (29 U.S. Code § 621, et seq.). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these federal question claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and (b). This Complaint also alleges violations of California law. This Court has jurisdiction over these state law claims pursuant to its supplemental jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a)

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- 9. Defendants hold themselves out publicly as a moral and fair institution and claim to stand for a mission statement, which is posted on the public internet.
- 10. Their mission statement provides in relevant part as follows: "INTEGRITY ... We hold ourselves accountable to do the right things for the right reasons. We speak the truth with courage and respect. We pursue authenticity with humility and simplicity." (Emphasis added.)
- 11. As this lawsuit demonstrates, Defendants' mission statement is a farce. In reality, Defendants do not act with integrity, and they do not do "the right things for the



4

5 6

8

7

10

9

12 13

11

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 right reasons." To the contrary, Defendants engage in discrimination and retaliation, even against their senior most tenured and aged employees. Their conduct is the antithesis to the values contained in the mission statement that they self-proclaim.

- 12. Providence Health is a more than \$12 billion annual gross revenue health care and hospital business. Providence SoCal has gross annual revenues in excess of \$1.5 billion.
- 13. Providence SoCal is comprised of 13 acute care hospitals in Los Angeles, Orange and San Bernardino counties, and the High Desert, with a total inpatient market share of twenty-five percent (25%) in their service areas in 2018, as reported by the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. In Los Angeles County, the System includes six acute care facilities. Providence SoCal's largest hospital, Providence St. Joseph Medical Center, is in Burbank. The System also includes hospitals in Mission Hills, San Pedro, Tarzana, Torrance and Santa Monica. Providence Medical Foundation ("PMF") operates over fifty (50) practice locations in the market, offering more than 20 types of specialty care. PMF includes the Facey, PMI and Providence St. John's medical foundations, and in addition, the System includes seven acute care facilities within Orange and San Bernardino counties: Apple Valley, Fullerton, Mission Viejo, Laguna Beach, Newport Beach, Irvine and Orange, California. Mission Hospital is located on two campuses in Mission Viejo and Laguna Beach, and maintains the region's level II trauma center, as well as a women's center. Hoag Hospital, which also is composed of two campuses, in Newport Beach and Irvine, also includes Hoag Orthopedic Institute. St. Joseph Heritage Healthcare, a medical foundation, operates clinics in the region with its contracted physician partners.
- 14. Defendants Providence Health and Providence SoCal first hired Mayberry on or about July 1978 as a Registered Nurse at their Providence Saint Joseph Medical Center, which was at the time their only Southern California location.
- 15. In or about April 2008, Defendants transferred Mayberry to their Holy Cross Hospital, which was acquired in or about 1996. By this time, Defendants Providence



Health and Providence SoCal had also acquired Little Company of Mary & San Pedro, Tarzana and St. John's. Later, in 2016 or 2017, they acquired St. Joseph Health.

- 16. In or about December 2017, Defendants promoted Mayberry to be Chief Operating Officer/Interim Chief Nursing Officer (COO/CNO) of their Providence Holy Cross facility. In or about August 2018, Defendants made Mayberry exclusively its secular COO of Holy Cross.
- 17. At all relevant times, Mayberry performed her job in a fully satisfactory capacity, and she never received any performance reviews critical of her job performance.
- 18. In early 2020, Defendants decided to conduct a group layoff throughout the Southern California region. Providence SoCal's Executive Vice President and Chief Executive Erik Wexler and its Chief Human Resource Officer Pamela Stahl were part of the decision-making group who chose which employees throughout the region would be selected for layoff. Mr. Wexler and Ms. Stahl issued written communications confirming that the group layoff was being conducted on a regional basis throughout the Southern California region.
- 19. After more than forty-two (42) years of employment, Mayberry had an implied contract for continued employment with Defendants. Terms of this contract were confirmed by Bernard Klein, MD, Chief Executive, Providence Holy Cross MedicalCenter. Repeatedly throughout her employment, Klein told her that she was excellent and that he could not function without her. A number of times, he told her to not leave the company and to stay indefinitely.
- 20. As part of its 2020 group layoff, Defendants chose Mayberry as one of their Chief Operating Officers in the Southern California region to be laid off. Defendants chose not to select other Chief Operating Officers in the Southern California region to be laid off, where such other COO's were younger than Mayberry and/or of a different gender/sex.
- 21. Additionally, Defendants offered some Chief Operating Officers in the Southern California region, who were initially selected for layoff, to instead assume other jobs within the region/system and thereby avoid being laid off. Many of these other



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

