EXHIBIT 1

VENABLE LLP 2049 CENTURY PARKEAST, SUITE 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 310-229-9900	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VENABLE LLP Alex M. Weingarten (SBN 204410) AMWeingarten @ Venable.com Matthew M. Gurvitz (SBN 272895) MMGurvitz @ Venable.com Matthew J. Busch (SBN 307396) MJBusch @ Venable.com 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 229-9900 Facsimile: (310) 229-9901 Attorneys for Plaintiff MEND HEALTH, INC.		
	10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
	12	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANG	ELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT	
	13	MEND HEALTH, INC., a California	CASE NO. 21STCV03098	
	14	Corporation,	Judge:	
	15	Plaintiff,	Dept.:	
	16	VS.	COMPLAINT FOR:	
	17	CARBON HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware Corporation, SUJAL MANDAVIA, an	(1) BREACH OF CONTRACT;	
	18	individual, and DOES 1-20, inclusive,	(2) MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS (CAL. CIV.	
	19	Defendants.	CODE § 3426); (3) FRAUD;	
	20		(4) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION;	
	21		(5) BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH	
	22		AND FAIR DEALINGS;	
	23		(6) VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND	
	24		PROFESSIONS CODE §17200	
	25		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
	26			
	27			
	28			



Plaintiff Mend Health, Inc. ("Mend") hereby alleges against Defendants Carbon Health Technologies, Inc. ("Carbon"), Sujal Mandavia and Does 1 through 20, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants") the following:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This is a textbook case of trade secret theft, fraud, and unfair competition. It is also David versus Goliath, if Goliath had signed a nondisclosure agreement and then stolen the blueprints to David's slingshot. Here a large conglomerate, Defendants, lured a small local competitor, Mend, into disclosing all of its confidential and proprietary information under the guise of an acquisition. Then, once Defendants had obtained every last ounce of secret sauce regarding Mend's business, Defendants terminated negotiations and announced that despite their express representations to the contrary they had been planning on opening a competing venture *less than a one-half mile away* all along.
- 2. Emergency Room Doctor Anthony Cardillo opened Mend in June 2015, which has since grown to three urgent care facilities in the San Fernando Valley (two in Sherman Oaks and one in Burbank). While Mend has a "mom and pop" feel, Mend's urgent care facilities provide high quality medical services to patients and prides itself on being the neighborhood's walk-in medical clinic. Mend's successful launch and expansion is attributed to its understanding of the needs of the local market, its employment of leading technology, and its highly skilled emergency medicine and family medicine physicians.
- 3. Carbon is one of the largest health care providers in the United States. In 2020, Carbon announced that, with the help of \$100 million in venture capital funding, it intends to grow its clinic footprint to 1,500 locations across the U.S. by 2025. Prior to this influx of significant capital, Carbon had no active presence in the San Fernando Valley and had only recently expanded its operations into Southern California.
- 4. In late August 2020, Defendants approached Dr. Cardillo about a potential acquisition opportunity, touting its interest in rapid acquisitions that could close in 45 days with expedited due diligence. Mend was weary about providing the blueprint for operating an effective and profitable urgent care facility in its market to a direct competitor and sought



adequate protections. In addition to a comprehensive nondisclosure agreement, Defendants'
representatives affirmatively represented on at least three separate occasions that Defendants had
no intention of opening its own facility in Mend's market. Defendants stated, explicitly, that it
would either acquire Mend or move on and would not open a competing facility in the same
market.

- 5. During the due diligence process initiated by Defendants' clinic acquisition team, Defendants requested access to all of Mend's most confidential proprietary and financial information. Based on the nondisclosure agreement executed by the parties and the express misrepresentations made by Defendants, Mend agreed and provided everything requested. After extracting from Mend all of the information necessary to diligence, how to operate and succeed in the Sherman Oaks market, and how to bury the competition and Mend in the process, Defendants abruptly terminated acquisition discussions.
- 6. After the fact, Defendants admitted that while it was conducting "due diligence" to acquire Mend, it was concurrently negotiating and subsequently signed a lease to open its own clinic less than a half-mile from Mend's facility. This, despite a nondisclosure agreement and myriad explicit representations to the contrary. Armed with all of Mend's operating information, financial statements, payer contracts, and patient volume history, the opening of Carbon's new clinic is imminent and poised to swallow the competition.
- 7. Through this action, Mend seeks to recover its losses resulting from Defendants' breach of the nondisclosure agreement and misappropriation of Mend's trade secrets.

 Defendant's dastardly machination to defraud Mend into disclosing all of its confidential and proprietary information under false pretenses cannot be countenanced. Mend is entitled to injunctive relief to arrest Defendant's tortuous conduct. Moreover, Mend needs injunctive relief to survive.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Mend Health, Inc. is a California Corporation with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.



- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Carbon Health Technologies, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business in California.

 Carbon conducts business on a regular basis in Los Angeles County.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Sujal Mandavia is an individual who resides in Los Angeles County, and conducted business in Los Angeles County at all times pertinent to this action.
- 11. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Section 474 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, when ascertained.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the named and fictitiously named Defendants, including DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are in some manner of law or fact responsible for the wrongs, damages, and causes of action alleged herein, and that at all times referenced herein each was the joint venturer, co-venturer, co-conspirator, partner, agent or alter ego of the others, or was otherwise involved with the Defendants in the wrongdoing averred herein, and by virtue of such capacity is liable and responsible on the facts alleged for some or all of the damages sought herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court as the amount in controversy well exceeds \$25,000.00.
- 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because each of the Defendants resides and/or conducts business in California.
- 15. Venue is proper in this Court because the causes of action arose in the County of Los Angeles and Defendants conduct business therein.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

