

1 Laurence M. Rosen (SBN 219683)
2 **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**
3 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
4 Los Angeles, CA 90071
5 Telephone: (213) 785-2610
6 Facsimile: (213) 226-4684
7 Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

8 *Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 GARY CHENG, Individually and on
12 behalf of all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,
16 ROBERT A. KOTICK, DENNIS
17 DURKIN, SPENCER NEUMANN,
18 ARMIN ZERZA, and BRIAN KELLY,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 2:21-cv-06240-PA-JEM

**SECOND AMENDED CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATIONS OF THE
FEDERAL SECURITIES
LAWS**

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		
2	NATURE OF THE ACTION	1
3		
4	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	13
5	PARTIES	13
6		
7	ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT	16
8	A. Background of Activision Blizzard.....	16
9	B. Relevant Non-Parties.....	18
10		
11	C. The EEOC and DFEH Open Investigations Into Activision Blizzard for	
12	Systemic Sexual Harassment and Gender Discrimination Against Female	
13	Employees by Commissioner’s Charge and Director Complaint,	
14	Respectively.	22
15	1) The EEOC Initiates an Investigation of Activision Blizzard with a	
16	Commissioner’s Charge on September 26, 2018	22
17	2) The DFEH Begins Requesting Information From Activision Blizzard in	
18	2017 and Initiates a Formal Investigation Into Systemic Misconduct by	
19	Serving the Company with a Director’s Complaint on October 12, 2018.	23
20	D. Defendants Did Not Disclose the EEOC and DFEH Investigations, and	
21	Instead Stated Repeatedly During the Class Period that the Company was	
22	Party to Only “Routine...Investigations” that Arose in “the Ordinary Course	
23	of Business,” Were “Not Significant,” and Not Expected “to Have a Material	
24	Adverse Effect” on Activision Blizzard’s Business.	24
25	E. The EEOC and DFEH Investigations Were Not “Routine” and in the	
26	“Ordinary Course of Business;” The EEOC and DFEH Investigations Were	
27	“Significant” and Likely “to Have a Material Adverse Effect” on Activision	
28	Blizzard’s Business.	25

1	1) EEOC Commissioner’s Charges and DFEH Director’s Complaints Are	
2	Rarely Used Mechanisms That Those Agencies Use for Investigations into	
3	Systemic Harassment and Discrimination.	26
4	a. The EEOC’s Commissioner’s Charge against Activision Blizzard was one	
5	of only 11 filed in 2018.	26
6	b. The DFEH Filed Only 4 Director’s Complaints in 2019 and 3 Director’s	
7	Complaints in 2020 and in Each of Those Years the Director’s Complaint	
8	Against Activision Blizzard was Only One of 10 Additional Director’s	
9	Complaints Under Investigation.	27
10	2) In Response to the Investigations, Activision Blizzard Made Significant	
11	Changes to its Human Resources Procedures, Which Included Instituting a	
12	Formal Process for Investigating Sexual Harassment Complaints For the	
13	First Time and Instituting Regular Meetings Between Human Resources	
14	and its Legal Team.	27
15	3) The EEOC and DFEH Investigations Caused Activision Blizzard to Fire	
16	Important Employees Before the Investigations Became Public.	29
17	4) Activision Blizzard’s Board of Directors was Informed About the EEOC	
18	and DFEH Investigations.	33
19	5) The EEOC and DFEH Investigations Were Both Very Extensive — Both	
20	Interviewed More Than 100 Witnesses — and the DFEH Served	
21	Activision Blizzard With a Broad Subpoena Duces Tecum After it Had	
22	Already Been Investigating For More Than Two Years.	34
23	6) Endemic Sexual Harassment and Discrimination at Activision Blizzard and	
24	The Inevitable Fact that the that the EEOC and DFEH Would Discover It	
25	Rendered the Investigations Non-Routine and Significant, and Precluded	
26	the Possibility that they Would Not Have a Material Effect on the	
27	Company.	36
28	a. Sexual Harassment Was Pervasive at Blizzard, Including Among High-	
	Level Employees.	37

1	b. Activision Blizzard Employees Who Complained About Sexual Harassment to Human Resources or their Supervisors Suffered Retaliation.	49
2		
3	c. Defendant Kotick Knew for Years About Sexual-Misconduct at Blizzard and Other Parts of the Company.	56
4		
5	d. J. Allen Brack and Michael Morhaime — Who Served as Blizzard’s Presidents During and Right Before the Class Period, Were Each Aware of the Pervasive Harassment.	59
6		
7		
8	e. The DFEH, EEOC, and <i>Bloomberg</i> also Found that Activision Blizzard Discriminated Against its Female Employees, Especially Those Who Were Pregnant, Mothers, or of Color, by Giving Them Fewer Opportunities and Lower Pay.	63
9		
10		
11		
12	f. As With Sexual Harassment, President Brack and Human Resources Were Aware of the Discrimination and Women Who Complained Were Either Ignored or Suffered Retaliation.....	67
13		
14		
15	7) Activision Blizzard Repeatedly Stated That the Company’s Reputation as a Good Place to Work and its Commitment to Diversity and Inclusion was Important to the Success of the Company During the Class Period.	69
16		
17		
18	8) Starting in October 2017, the #MeToo Movement Led to the Firing of Numerous High Profile Executives at Other Companies, Making it Clear that the Company Could Not Handle the DFEH and EEOC Investigations as Routine Matters and that They Were Significant and Could Have a Material Effect on the Company.	70
19		
20		
21		
22	9) Defendants Admitted That the Investigations Were Material, Not Routine and Significant by Stating in its Public Filings Two Weeks After the DFEH Complaint Was Filed That its Business Could be Adversely Impacted.....	71
23		
24		
25	F. On July 20, 2021, the DFEH Publicly filed its Complaint Against Activision Blizzard, Revealing the Pervasive Misconduct at the Company, and Leading to Enormous Reputational Damage, Employee Walkouts, and the Decline of the Company’s Share Price.	72
26		
27		
28		

1	G. The Fallout From the DFEH Lawsuit Continues, Including the Resignations	
2	of Blizzard’s President and Head of Human Resources and the Firing of the	
3	Director of Diablo IV.	79
4	H. The DFEH Amends Its Complaint to Add Claims that Activision Blizzard	
5	Obstructed its Investigation.....	81
6	I. The SEC Opens an Investigation into Sexual Harassment and Workplace	
7	Discrimination at Activision Blizzard and Subpoenas Defendant Kotick;	
8	Blizzard’s Chief Legal Officer and Executive Producer of Overwatch Leave	
9	the Company.....	83
10	J. On September 27, 2021, the EEOC Files a Sexual Harassment, Sex	
11	Discrimination, and Retaliation Complaint under Title VII Against	
12	Activision Blizzard, and the Company Enters Into a Consent Decree as a	
13	Condition of Settlement.	85
14	K. Due to the Ongoing Scandal, Activision Blizzard Fires 20 Employees;	
15	Cancels its Yearly Showcase; Institutes a “New Zero-Tolerance Harassment	
16	Policy”; Waives Required Arbitration of Sexual Harassment and	
17	Discrimination Claims; and Defendant Kotick Cuts his Own Base Salary to	
18	\$62,500.	87
19	L. Activision Blizzard Admits That Leadership Changes to Their Diablo and	
20	Overwatch Franchises, Forced by the Ongoing Sexual Harassment Scandal,	
21	Would Cause the Latest Installments of Those Games to be Delayed and that	
22	Jennifer Oneal was Departing from her Position as Co-leader of Blizzard,	
23	Leading to a Huge Drop in the Company’s Stock.	88
24	M. The November 16 <i>Wall Street Journal</i> Article is Published Revealing That	
25	Defendant Kotick Knew About Sexual-Misconduct Allegations at Activision	
26	Blizzard for Years, the Company had Received More Than 500 Harassment	
27	and Discrimination Reports From Current and Former Employees Since the	
28	DFEH Complaint was Filed, and That Jennifer Oneal Sent an Internal Email	
	Saying She Was “Tokenized, Marginalized, and Discriminated Against”	
	Prior to Her Resignation.....	92
	N. The SEC Widens its Investigation of Activision Blizzard and the DFEH	
	Subpoenas the Police Departments in the Los Angeles Area for Records	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.