

1 KELLY M. KLAUS (State Bar No. 161091)
 kelly.klaus@mto.com
 2 JOHN L. SCHWAB (State Bar No. 301386)
 john.schwab@mto.com
 3 MICA L. MOORE (State Bar No. 321473)
 mica.moore@mto.com
 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
 350 South Grand Avenue
 5 Fiftieth Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426
 6 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
 7
 Attorneys for Netflix, Inc.
 8

**REDACTED VERSION
 OF DOCUMENT
 PROPOSED TO BE FILED
 UNDER SEAL**

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
 11

12 HOLLYWOOD INNOVATIONS
 GROUP LLC,
 13

Plaintiff,
 14

vs.
 15

NETFLIX, INC., a Delaware
 Corporation, ZIP CINEMA CO. LTD.,
 a South Korean Corporation, KAKAO
 ENTERTAINMENT CORP., a South
 17 Korean Corporation, PERSPECTIVE
 18 PICTURES CO. LTD, a South Korean
 Corporation, and Does 1-10, inclusive,
 19

Defendants.
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

Case No. 2:21-cv-9423

**DEFENDANT NETFLIX, INC.’S
 NOTICE OF MOTION AND
 MOTION TO DISMISS
 COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. André Birotte Jr.
 Date: March 4, 2022
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Ctrm: 7B

Filed Concurrently: [1] Declaration of
 John L. Schwab; [2] Declaration of
 Kyo-Hwa Chung; [3] [Proposed] Order;
 [4] Request for Judicial Notice; [5]
 Application for Leave to File Under
 Seal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1	
2	
3	I. INTRODUCTION..... 1
4	II. BACKGROUND..... 3
5	A. The Korean Producers Acquire The Rights To Produce # <i>Saraitda</i>
6	And Distribute It Throughout The World..... 3
7	B. Netflix Acquires The Rights To Translate And Distribute
8	# <i>Saraitda</i> 5
9	C. Plaintiff Acquires The Rights To Produce An Original English-
10	Language Motion Picture: <i>Alone</i> 5
11	D. Plaintiff Demands Netflix “Cease And Desist The Dubbing” of
12	# <i>Saraitda</i> 6
13	E. Plaintiff Sues Netflix, Zip, Perspective And Kakao
14	Entertainment 6
15	III. ARGUMENT 7
16	A. The Complaint Should Be Dismissed Because Plaintiff Does
17	Not, and Cannot, Allege That It Owns The Exclusive Right To
18	Translate # <i>Saraitda</i> 7
19	1. Plaintiff Does Not And Cannot Allege Ownership of an
20	Infringed Right..... 8
21	(a) Plaintiff Does Not Allege Ownership Of The Right
22	To Translate # <i>Saraitda</i> 8
23	(b) Plaintiff Cannot Plead Ownership Of The Right To
24	Translate # <i>Saraitda</i> Because, Under Korean Law,
25	Naylor Conveyed That Right To Zip/Perspective..... 8
26	(c) Plaintiff Cannot Plead That Naylor Reserved The
27	Right to Translate # <i>Saraitda</i> 10
28	2. Plaintiff’s Claim of Rights In The <i>Devour</i> Screenplay
	Does Not Give It Standing To Allege Infringement in the
	Translation of # <i>Saraitda</i> 12
	B. If The Case Is Not Dismissed Under Rule 12(b)(6), The Court
	Should Dismiss It Under The Doctrine of Forum Non
	Conveniens. 14
	1. The Republic of Korea Is An Adequate Alternate Forum..... 15
	2. The Balance of Private and Public Interest Favors
	Dismissal..... 16

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
(a) Private factors.....	16
(b) Public factors.....	17
C. Dismissal Is Also Warranted Because Plaintiff Failed to Join Indispensable Parties.....	19
IV. CONCLUSION	21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
FEDERAL CASES	
1 <i>Brown v. Elec. Arts Inc.</i> ,	
2 724 F.3d 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).....	7
3 <i>Cabell v. Zorro Prods. Inc.</i> ,	
4 No. 5:15-cv-00771-EJD, 2017 WL 2335597 (N.D. Cal May 30,	
5 2017).....	16
6 <i>Carrico v. City & Cnty. of San Francisco</i> ,	
7 656 F.3d 1002 (9th Cir. 2011).....	14
8 <i>Cheng v. Boeing Co.</i> ,	
9 708 F.2d 1406 (9th Cir. 1983).....	16
10 <i>Contact Lumber Co. v. P.T. Moges Shipping Ltd.</i> ,	
11 918 F.2d 1446 (9th Cir. 1990).....	16
12 <i>Creative Tech. Ltd. v. Aztech Sys. Pte. Ltd.</i> ,	
13 61 F.3d 696 (9th Cir. 1995).....	16
14 <i>Dawavendewa v. Salt River Project Agric. Improvement & Power Dist.</i> ,	
15 276 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir. 2002).....	19
16 <i>de Fontbrune v. Wofsy</i> ,	
17 838 F.3d 992 (9th Cir. 2016).....	9
18 <i>DRK Photo v. McGraw-Hill Global Educ. Holdings, LLC</i> ,	
19 870 F.3d 978 (9th Cir. 2017).....	7
20 <i>Gates Learjet Corp. v. Jensen</i> ,	
21 743 F.2d 1325 (9th Cir. 1984).....	18
22 <i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown</i> ,	
23 564 U.S. 915 (2011)	2
24 <i>Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert</i> ,	
25 330 U.S. 501 (1947)	14, 16, 18
26 <i>Knievel v. ESPN</i> ,	
27 393 F.3d 1068 (9th Cir. 2005).....	3
28	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(Continued)

		<u>Page(s)</u>
1		
2		
3	<i>Lueck v. Sundstrand Corp.</i> ,	
4	236 F.3d 1137 (9th Cir. 2001).....	14, 15, 16, 17
5	<i>Minden Pictures, Inc. v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.</i> ,	
6	795 F.3d 997 (9th Cir. 2015).....	7
7	<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i> ,	
8	454 U.S. 235 (1981)	2, 14, 17, 18
9	<i>Pizzorno v. Draper</i> ,	
10	No. 17-00182-AB, 2017 WL 4712071 (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2017).....	14
11	<i>Shields v. Barrow</i> ,	
12	58 U.S. 130 (1854)	3
13	<i>Sinochem Int’l Co. Ltd. v. Malaysia Int’l Shipping Corp.</i> ,	
14	549 U.S. 422 (2007)	14
15	<i>Tuazon v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> ,	
16	433 F.3d 1163 (9th Cir. 2006).....	15
17	<i>UMG Recordings Inc. v. Augusto</i> ,	
18	628 F.3d 1175 (9th Cir. 2011).....	7
19	<i>United States v. Ritchie</i> ,	
20	342 F.3d 903 (9th Cir. 2003).....	3
21	<i>Wales Indus. Inc. v. Hasbro Bradley Inc.</i> ,	
22	612 F. Supp. 510 (S.D.N.Y. 1985).....	20
23	FEDERAL STATUTES	
24	17 U.S.C. 501(b).....	7
25	STATE STATUTES	
26	U.C.C. Article II	15
27	FEDERAL RULES	
28	Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)	3, 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.