1 2 3 4	DANIELLE J. MOSS, <i>PRO HAC VICE P</i> . dmoss@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035	ENDING
5 6 7 8 9 10	MEGAN COONEY, SBN 295174 mcooney@gibsondunn.com LAUREN M. FISCHER, SBN 318625 lfischer@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 3161 Michelson Drive Irvine, CA 92612-4412 Telephone: 949.451.3800 Facsimile: 949.451.4220  Attorneys for Defendant PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	WESTERN DIVISION	
15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26	MARK COHEN, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation; and Does 1 through 50, inclusive,  Defendants.	DECLARATION OF MEGAN COONEY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CLASS ACTION  (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 22STCV00201)  Action Filed: January 3, 2022
27		
28		



- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before all the courts of the State of California as well as the United States District Court for the Central District of California. I am a partner in the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and am one of the attorneys representing Defendant Peloton Interactive, Inc. ("Peloton") in the above-entitled action. Unless otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein, and if asked to testify thereto, I would do so competently.
- 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Summons issued on January 3, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the Class Action Complaint filed on January 3, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the Civil Case Cover Sheet filed on January 3, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of the Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum filed on January 3, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Posting Jury Fees filed on January 4, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of the First Amended Class Action Complaint filed on January 28, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Package served on February 1, 2022 in *Cohen*



- v. Peloton Interactive, Inc., Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Service of Process Transmittal, reflecting that Plaintiff effected service of the Summons and First Amended Class Action Complaint on Peloton on February 1, 2022.
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service of Summons, reflecting that Plaintiff effected service of the Summons, First Amended Class Action Complaint, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum, and Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Package on Peloton on February 1, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, filed on February 7, 2022.
- 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of the Initial Status Conference Order (Complex Litigation Program) issued on February 15, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of the Minute Court Order Re: Complex Determination and Initial Status Conference issued on February 15, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit L** is a true and correct copy of the Certificate of Mailing for Minute Order Re: Complex Determination and Initial Status Conference issued on February 15, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit M** is a true and correct as-filed copy of Peloton's Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Class Action Complaint filed and served on March 1, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles. Peloton will supplement the record with a file-stamped copy when received.



15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit N** is a true and correct copy of the Filing Confirmation of Peloton's Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Class Action Complaint filed on March 1, 2022 in *Cohen v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.*, Case No. 22STCV00201, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

16. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), Exhibits A through N include "all process, pleadings, and orders" available to Peloton in this action as of the date of this filing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of California and the United States of America that the forgoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on March 2, 2022 at Coto de Caza, California.

/s/ Megan Cooney
Megan Cooney

## **EXHIBIT A**

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

