

# EXHIBIT A

1 REUBEN D. NATHAN (SBN 208436)  
2 **NATHAN & ASSOCIATES, APC**  
3 2901 W. Coast Highway, Suite 200  
4 Newport Beach, CA 92663  
5 Tel. No.: (949) 270-2798  
6 Fax No.: (949) 209-0303  
7 [rnathan@nathanlawpractice.com](mailto:rnathan@nathanlawpractice.com)

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER LURES and the Proposed Class

9  
10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

12 CHRISTOPHER LURES, on behalf of himself  
13 and all others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ANTHEM, INC.; AIM SPECIALTY HEALTH;  
17 ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE AND  
18 HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY; BLUE  
19 CROSS OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1-50,  
20 inclusive,

21 Defendants.

CASE NO.: **22STCV03938**

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

- 1. **FAILURE TO REIMBURSE BUSINESS EXPENSES AND LOSSES**
- 2. **IMPROPER MEAL PERIODS**
- 3. **IMPROPER REST PERIODS**
- 4. **FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS**
- 5. **WAGES NOT PAID UPON SEPARATION**
- 6. **VIOLATIONS OF THE UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 1. Plaintiff Christopher Lures (hereinafter “Plaintiff” or “Mr. Lures”), individually and  
3 on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this civil class action against Defendants  
4 ANTHEM, INC., AIM SPECIALTY HEALTH, ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE AND HEALTH  
5 INSURANCE COMPANY, BLUE CROSS OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1–50 (collectively  
6 referred to as “ANTHEM” or “Defendants”), demanding trial by jury, complaining on information  
7 and belief as follows.

8 2. This putative class action is brought by Plaintiff, against Defendants, and each of  
9 them for damages sustained by Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER LURES based on Defendants’ wrongful  
10 actions and include the following causes of action: (1) Failure to Reimburse Business Expenses and  
11 Losses; (2) Improper Meal Periods; (3) Improper Rest Periods; (4) Failure to Provide Accurate  
12 Wage Statements; (5) Wages Not Paid Upon Separation; and (6) Unfair Business Practices.

13 3. Plaintiff petitions this Court to allow him to represent and prosecute claims against  
14 Defendants in a class action proceeding on behalf of all those similarly situated non-exempt  
15 employees (hereinafter referred to as “Class Members”), who are residents of the state of  
16 California.

17 **THE PARTIES**

18 4. At all material times, Mr. Lures was a resident of the city of Glendale in the State of  
19 California and was employed by ANTHEM at a location in Los Angeles County, California.  
20 Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as a class action.  
21 They reserve the right to name additional representatives.

22 5. Defendant, ANTHEM, INC., is an Indiana corporation, with its principal place of  
23 business located in Indianapolis, Indiana; Defendant, AIM SPECIALTY HEALTH, is an Illinois  
24 corporation, with its principal place of business located in Chicago, Illinois; Defendant, ANTHEM  
25 BLUE CROSS LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY, is a California corporation, with  
26 its principal place of business located in Woodland Hills, California; Defendant, BLUE CROSS OF  
27 CALIFORNIA, is a California corporation with its principal place of business located in Woodland  
28 Hills, California and DOES 1–50, inclusive (hereinafter “ANTHEM” or “Defendants”).

1 6. At all material times, ANTHEM conducted business in the county of Los Angeles  
2 and on information and belief in all other counties in the State of California.

3 7. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate,  
4 or otherwise of defendants DOES 1–50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who sues these  
5 defendants by such fictitious names. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 474.) Plaintiff will either seek leave to  
6 amend this Class Action Complaint or file a DOE statement to allege the true names and capacities  
7 of DOES 1–50, inclusive, when the same are ascertained.

8 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants are  
9 responsible in some manner for one or more of the events and happenings that proximately caused  
10 the injuries and damages hereinafter alleged.

11 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of Defendants  
12 knowingly and willfully acted in concert, conspired together, and agreed among themselves to enter  
13 into a combination and systemized campaign of activity to cause the injuries and damages  
14 hereinafter alleged, and to otherwise consciously and or recklessly act in derogation of Plaintiff’s  
15 rights, and the trust reposed by Plaintiff in each of said Defendants, said acts being negligently and  
16 or intentionally inflicted. Said conspiracy, and Defendants’ concerted actions, were such that, to  
17 Plaintiff’s information and belief, and to all appearances, Defendants represented a unified body so  
18 that the actions of one defendant was accomplished in concert with, and with knowledge,  
19 ratification, authorization, and approval of each and every other defendant.

20 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each defendant in this  
21 Complaint, is, and at all times mentioned was, the agent, servant, alter ego, and or employee of each  
22 of the other defendants, and each defendant acted within the course or scope of his, her, or its  
23 authority as the agent, servant, and or employee of each other defendant. Consequently, each and  
24 every defendant is jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff and Class Members for the damages  
25 incurred as a proximate result of each defendant’s conduct.

26 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

27 11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under the California Constitution,  
28 Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court, “Original Jurisdiction in all causes except

1 those given by statute to other courts.” The statutes under which Plaintiff bring this action do not  
2 specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

3 12. This Court has jurisdiction over all defendants because upon information and belief,  
4 each is either a citizen of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise  
5 intentionally avails itself to the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it  
6 by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7 13. Venue as to each defendant is proper in this judicial district under California Code  
8 of Civil Procedure sections 395(a) and 395.5 as a portion of the acts complained of herein occurred  
9 in the County of Los Angeles. Either Defendants own, maintain offices, transact business, have an  
10 agent or agents within the county of Los Angeles, or otherwise are found within the County of Los  
11 Angeles. Defendants employed Plaintiff and class members in the County of Los Angeles and  
12 throughout the state of California.

13 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14 14. ANTHEM employed Plaintiff as a non-exempt employee from October 2019  
15 through June 2021.

16 15. Mr. Lures held the position of “Referral Specialist” during his employment with  
17 ANTHEM. At all times during his employment with ANTHEM, Mr. Lures has lived and worked in  
18 the State of California.

19 16. At all relevant times, ANTHEM required Mr. Lures to work from his home,  
20 requiring his work location to be in the home he lives in. ANTHEM has required and continues to  
21 require the same from its current and former similarly situated employees.

22 17. Mr. Lures and Class Members incurred expenses related to computers, desk, chair,  
23 and other items related to the specific workspace to perform work for ANTHEM, reimbursement  
24 for mortgage, rent, property taxes, homeowner insurance, (underpaid) internet, telephone/cell phone  
25 expenses, utilities such as electricity, water, gas, and trash collection services, and stationery, while  
26 discharging duties under their employment with ANTHEM. ANTHEM has not reimbursed Mr.  
27 Lures and Class Members for all expenses incurred while discharging their duties for ANTHEM.

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.