1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KATHRYN C. WANNER (Cal. Bar No. 20 Email: wannerk@sec.gov M. LANCE JASPER (Cal. Bar No. 244516 Email: jasperml@sec.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission Michele Wein Layne, Regional Director Alka N. Patel, Associate Regional Director Jennifer Barry, Regional Trial Counsel 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (213) 443-1904	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
11		
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No.
13	COMMISSION,	COMPLAINT
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
15	vs.	
16	DAVID J. BUNEVACZ, MARY	
17	HAYCA BUNEVACZ, CAESARBRUTUS LLC, CB	
18	HOLDING GROUP CORP., AND BRUTUS CALIFORNIA VENTURES	
19	CORP.,	
20	Defendants,	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		



1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") alleges:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1), and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1), and 77v(a); and Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e), and 27(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e), and 78aa.
- Defendants have, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this complaint.
- Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities 3. Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), and Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa(a), because certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district. In addition, venue is proper in this district because all of the individual Defendants reside in this district, and all of the corporate Defendants have their principal places of business in this district.

SUMMARY

4. This is an enforcement action brought against defendant David J. Bunevacz ("Bunevacz"), a securities fraud recidivist, and two entities under his control: defendants Caesarbrutus LLC ("Caesarbrutus") and CB Holding Group Corp. ("CB Holding"). Bunevacz, Caesarbrutus, and CB Holding violated the federal securities laws by conducting an offering fraud and Ponzi scheme, and by engaging in the unregistered offer and sale of securities. Bunevacz's step-daughter, defendant Mary Hayca Bunevacz ("Mary Hayca"), also violated the federal securities laws by participating in the unregistered offer and sale of securities issued by Caesarbrutus, CB Holding and Brutus California Ventures Corp. Defendant Brutus California Ventures

1

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

Corp. ("Brutus California") was a co-issuer of promissory notes issued by CB Holding and violated the federal securities laws by participating in the unregistered offer and sale of those notes.

- 5. From at least April 2017 until at least September 2019, Bunevacz, Caesarbrutus and CB Holding raised over \$32 million from at least 40 investors. Bunevacz told investors he was engaged in the production and sale of cannabis products, particularly "vape" pens containing oils infused with Cannabidiol (CBD), and promised to use their funds to generate profits from these activities. In some instances, he claimed to have binding purchase orders for large quantities of vape pens and provided investors with fake purchase orders and other fabricated documents to support these claims.
- In reality, Caesarbrutus and CB Holding were sham businesses, and the 6. purported transactions did not exist. Instead of using investor funds for business purposes, Bunevacz misappropriated the vast majority of those funds for personal expenses, including millions of dollars spent gambling and on credit card payments, leaving investors with substantial losses.
- 7. Bunevacz also lied to investors about his professional experience and connections in the cannabis industry and, in most instances, failed to disclose his March 2017 conviction of two felony securities violations under California law. In at least one instance, he falsely told an investor he had no criminal history.
- Brutus California was a co-issuer of securities issued by CB Holding, 8. and Mary Hayca participated in the offer and sale of securities issued by Caesarbrutus, CB Holding and Brutus California, none of which registered any offering of securities with the SEC.
- 9. As a result of the conduct alleged in this Complaint, defendants Bunevacz, Caesarbrutus and CB Holding violated Sections 17(a), 5(a), and 5(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a), ("Securities Act"), Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 17j(b), ("Exchange Act"), and

Exchange Act Rule 10b-5, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and defendants Mary Hayca and Brutus California violated Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act.

3

DEFENDANTS

David J. Bunevacz ("Bunevacz"), age 53, is a resident of Calabasas,

4 5

10.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California. Bunevacz was the president of CB Holding and the manager of		
Caesarbrutus. He controlled those entities and their bank accounts and was the		
person in charge of those entities and responsible for their day-to-day operations at all		
relevant times. In August 2016, the State of California charged Bunevacz with grand		
theft, selling securities without qualification, and using false statements in the sale of		
a security. On March 22, 2017, Bunevacz pleaded guilty to two felony counts of		
selling securities without qualification. The court entered a suspended sentence		
contingent on Bunevacz paying a total of \$273,000 in restitution to two victims,		
which Bunevacz paid, at least in part, using investor funds.		

- Caesarbrutus LLC ("Caesarbrutus") is a Wyoming limited liability 11. company formed on January 11, 2017 with its principal place of business in Valencia, California. Caesarbrutus and its securities offerings are not registered with the SEC.
- **CB** Holding Group Corp. ("CB Holding") is a Nevada corporation 12. registered on June 29, 2018 with its principal place of business in Calabasas, California. CB Holding and its securities offerings are not registered with the SEC.
- Mary H. Bunevacz ("Mary Hayca"), age 33, is Bunevacz's step-13. daughter and a resident of Calabasas, California, where she lives with her parents. Mary Hayca has a bachelor's degree in Global Studies. She is currently unemployed and has previously worked in the vaporizer pen and e-cigarette industries. Mary Hayca held executive-level titles with Caesarbrutus and CB Holding, although Bunevacz was the person in charge of those entities. She administered bank accounts and participated in meetings and communications for Caesarbrutus and CB Holding at Bunevacz's direction.
 - Brutus California Ventures Corp. ("Brutus California") is a California

Corporation registered by Mary Hayca on July 13, 2018 with its principal place of business in Valencia, California. Mary Hayca opened and controlled Brutus California's bank account. Brutus California and the offerings for which it was a coissuer with CB Holding were not registered with the SEC.

A. The Promissory Notes, Stock Certificates, and Profit Sharing Agreements Were Securities

FACTS

1. Promissory Notes

 15. Bunevacz primarily raised investor funds through promissory notes issued by Caesarbrutus and CB Holding (collectively, the "Promissory Notes").

16. Brutus California was listed as a co-issuer of the notes issued by CB Holding.

17. Bunevacz represented to investors that the proceeds of the Promissory Notes would be used for business purposes, and often represented that investor funds would be used to complete profitable sales of vape pens.

18. The Promissory Notes were similar, except that notes issued by CB Holding came with warrants that permitted lenders to convert them to shares of CB Holding common stock at the lender's option.

19. The Promissory Notes typically matured in six or twelve months and provided for repayment of principal with interest at maturity. Principal amounts for the Promissory Notes ranged from \$10,000 to \$3.5 million, with many notes falling in the \$50,000 to \$300,000 range, and with interest ranging from 10% to 15% per year.

20. Caesarbrutus raised at least \$19 million from the sale of Promissory
Notes between April 2017 and June 2019, and CB Holding raised at least \$8 million
from the sale of Promissory Notes between July 2018 and September 2019.

21. The Promissory Notes constitute investment contracts subject to the federal securities laws.

22. Purchasers of the Promissory Notes invested money in exchange for the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

