

Michael Peffer (SBN: 192265)
Nilab Sharif (SBN: 231296)
PACIFIC JUSTICE INSTITUTE
2200 North Grand Ave.
Santa Ana, CA 92704
Tel: (714) 796-7150
Emails: michaelpeffer@pii.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER KEY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHRISTOPHER KEY, an individual,

Case No.:

Plaintiff,

VS.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES,
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING
AND SAFETY; DOES 1-10,
INCLUSIVE.

Defendants.

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATION OF RIGHTS UNDER
TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS
ACT OF 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000e et
seq.]
(DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER KEY (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF"), and for his Complaint alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a building mechanical inspector at Defendant's downtown Los Angeles, CA location. Defendant required its employees to be vaccinated for SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19). Because of the Plaintiff's faith, he sought an accommodation for his sincerely held religious to be exempt from taking this vaccine. Plaintiff was placed on unpaid leave prior to a review of his religious accommodation request.

Notwithstanding having legitimately sought an accommodation for sincerely held religious beliefs, which kept Plaintiff from taking the vaccine, he was placed on unpaid leave by Defendant on December 4, 2021. The gravamen of this complaint is that Defendant refused to accommodate, otherwise discriminated against, and subsequently placed Plaintiff on unpaid leave from his job because he asked for accommodation due to his religious beliefs. Defendant knew or should have reasonably known that Plaintiff held religious beliefs because he asserted them. Defendant nevertheless failed to engage in an interactive process or review of Plaintiff's accommodation request, placed him on leave without pay, and retaliated against him for filing a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has authority over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, in federal questions raised under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. This court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's

related claims arising under corollary state anti-discrimination law pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

2. Venue is proper in the Central District of California under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3), in that Defendant maintains significant operations within the Central District of California, and the locations of the Defendant where the alleged unlawful employment practices took place are within the Central District of California. This case is appropriate for assignment to the San Fernando Valley Division.

PARTIES

PLAINTIFF

3. At all times relevant herein, Mr. Key was an employee of the Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety as a building mechanical inspector at Defendant's downtown Los Angeles location. Mr. Key resided in the county of Orange at the time of the events that gave rise to this Complaint.

DEFENDANT

4. At all times relevant herein, Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety was a compliance department presiding over the permit, inspection, and code enforcement processes for construction in the city of Los Angeles, California, and was the employer of PLAINTIFF.

5. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of DOES 1-100, inclusive are unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, who therefore sues said DEFENDANTS by such fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the factiously named DEFENDANTS is in some way responsible for, or participated in, or contributed

1 to, the matters and things complained of herein, and is legally responsible in some
 2 manner. PLAINTIFF will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true
 3 names, capacities, and responsibilities have been ascertained.
 4

5 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

6. At all times relevant hereto, the PLAINTIFF was performing
 7 competently in the position he held with DEFENDANT.

8. On or about August 18, 2021, the City of Los Angeles passed a
 9 COVID-19 Ordinance No. 187134 (Ordinance). The City of Los Angeles allowed
 10 its employees to submit requests for a religious exemption, as required by law, no
 11 later than September 7, 2021. Employees were asked to submit their vaccine status
 12 information to the third-party vendor, Bluestone.

14. On October 8, 2021, PLAINTIFF submitted a request for religious
 15 accommodation to the DEFENDANT's COVID-19 vaccine requirement because
 16 of his faith. Plaintiff's accommodation request explained that his beliefs conflict
 17 with the COVID-19 vaccine due to the use of aborted fetal cell lines in the testing
 18 and manufacturing of the vaccine. Plaintiff also stated, "it is my sincerely held
 19 religious belief that abortion is murder and a violation of one of the Ten
 21 Commandments ("You shall not murder." Exodus 20:13). Thus, it would violate
 22 my sincerely held religious beliefs to cooperate with or be complicit in abortion in
 23 any way." He further stated, "it is my sincerely held religious belief that, in being
 24 vaccinated with any of the currently available COVID-19 vaccines, I would be
 25 cooperating with and complicit in abortion - the terminating of an innocent human
 27 life - and that such would constitute a sin against God and a violation of His
 28 Commandments, for which I would be held morally accountable by God."

1 9. Mr. Key is a follower of the Christian faith.

2 10. Mr. Key believes that his body belongs to God and is a temple of the
3 Holy Spirit.

4 11. Mr. Key believes that it is against his religion to ingest or inject his
5 body with possible harmful substances, including a vaccine where aborted fetal
6 cell lines were used in its testing and manufacturing.

7 12. Mr. Key's understanding is that the manufacturers of the COVID-19
8 vaccine use aborted fetal tissue, the lining of aborted fetal tissue, or both forms of
9 fetal tissue. Mr. Key's faith strongly opposes injecting his body with the COVID-
10 19 vaccine.

11 13. On or about November 3, 2021, PLAINTIFF learned that he had been
12 registered with the third-party vendor, Bluestone, by DEFENDANT without his
13 consent. PLAINTIFF had not yet registered with Bluestone as he did not feel
14 comfortable with or confident in providing his private and personal information to
15 a third-party vendor.

16 14. On or about December 4, 2021, PLAINTIFF was invited to
17 Defendant's Employee, Eric Jakeman's office. Mr. Jakeman issued to PLAINTIFF
18 General Form 77, which placed him on "off-duty without pay" because he would
19 not take the COVID-19 vaccine or consent to the Bluestone company's testing
20 process. PLAINTIFF requested a union representative to be present at this meeting,
21 however he was instead escorted out of the building by two security guards.

22 15. When PLAINTIFF was placed on leave, he still had not received
23 communication from DEFENDANT regarding his religious accommodation
24 request, including communication about COVID-19 testing with facilities other

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.