1 2	QUARLES & BRADY LLP Michael W. Carwin, Bar No. 309696 Email: Michael.Carwin@quarles.com 300 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 4000 Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: (312) 715-5000 Facsimile: (312) 715-5155	
3		
4	Facsimile: (312) 715-5155	
5	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
6 7	VITAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC and JHO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	EASTERN DIVISION	
11	VITAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., and JHO INTELLECTUAL	Case No. 5:20-cv-1464
12	PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND
13	Plaintiffs,	DAMAGES
14	V.	
15	ORANGE BANG, INC., and MONSTER ENERGY COMPANY,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	Plaintiffs Vital Pharmaceuticals, Inc. d/b/a VPX Sports ("VPX") and JHO	
20	Intellectual Property Holdings, LLC ("JHO") state as follows for their Complaint	
21	against Defendants Orange Bang, Inc. ("OBI") and Monster Energy Company	
22	("Monster"):	
23	<u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>	
24	1. This action includes claims for declaratory judgment pursuant to the	
25	Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201, and arises under	
26	the Lanham Act, codified at 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq. This action also includes	
27	claims for damages.	



- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and under the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court, as embodied in 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a). There are claims arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States in that VPX requests a declaration of non-infringement arising under the Lanham Act, codified at 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq., over which there is original federal question jurisdiction.
- 3. An immediate and justiciable controversy exists between the parties based, in part, on OBI's and Monster's allegations stated in their joint Demand for Arbitration (defined below) against VPX, which allegations constitute a threat to file a lawsuit against VPX for allegedly infringing OBI's trademarks. Specifically, OBI's and Monster's Demand for Arbitration includes allegations of trademark infringement, including that (1) "VPX has infringed OBI's BANG marks and created a false designation of origin, by using in commerce, without OBI's permission, the BANG mark in connection with the advertisement, offering for sale, sale, and/or distribution of VPX's Bang beverages that are not creatine-based and that are not marketed and sold exclusively through vitamin and nutritional supplement stores, gyms and health clubs, or to the nutritional and dietary supplement sections only of convenience or other stores as described in the contract"; (2) "VPX's actions are likely to cause confusion and mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of OBI with VPX, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of VPX's products or commercial activities, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)"; and (3) "VPX, by its actions, has damaged Orange Bang." Moreover, OBI's and Monster's allegations that VPX's trademarks—which are federally registered and owned by JHO—infringe OBI's trademarks, necessarily create an immediate and justiciable controversy between, on the one hand, OBI and Monster, and, on the other hand, VPX and JHO.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because both Monster and OBI maintain their corporate headquarters in this district.



25

26

27

28

Parties

- 5. VPX is a Florida corporation with its principal place of business in Weston, Florida.
- 6. JHO is a Florida limited liability company with its principal place of business in Weston, Florida.
- 7. OBI is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Sylmar, California.
- 8. Monster is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Corona, California.

Nature of Action

- 9. VPX, established in 1993 and incorporated in 1996, manufactures and has historically sold fitness-focused nutritional supplement products and sugarless energy drink products. VPX's contemporary energy drink product line features highly successful sugarless energy drink products named "BANG." OBI, on the other hand, manufactures concentrated whipped fruit beverages that (if and to the extent still in commerce at all) are or previously were primarily offered for sale and sold by OBI in concentrate form to be ultimately purchased by consumers from fountain drink machines. VPX's and OBI's respective products are not similar or targeted to the same customers, and they are not competitors.
- 10. Nearly a decade ago, on August 11, 2010, VPX and OBI entered into a confidential Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") that resolved certain disputes regarding a long ago-discontinued VPX bodybuilding supplement product then-called "Bang!" and trademark registrations pre-dating the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement includes a confidentiality clause and an arbitration provision.
- 11. Until recently, VPX and OBI have had no conflict with each other. Indeed, prior to 2019, OBI had never taken issue with VPX's current BANG energy drink products, despite the fact that VPX had introduced them into commerce in

- 2012. Those seven years of peaceful coexistence are not unsurprising because VPX's sugar-free energy drink products are nothing like OBI's sugar-loaded whipped fruit juice products, and are wholly unrelated to the products addressed in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. VPX and Monster, on the other hand, are presently fierce competitors within the energy drink product space. Although Monster boasts that it is among the global market leaders within that product space, it has consistently been losing market share to VPX since VPX entered the marketplace. As a result, Monster—a notorious corporate bully and vexatious litigant—has resorted to anti-competitive measures in an effort to quash VPX's success.
- 13. Although VPX does not yet know the details (which will be the subject of discovery), Monster induced OBI to purportedly assign its rights in the Settlement Agreement and to join OBI in an arbitration proceeding against VPX (which Monster is very likely funding), wherein Monster, as purported assignee, now seeks to weaponize the Settlement Agreement to collaterally assault VPX's right to compete within the energy drink space and OBI (likely at the behest of Monster) purports to assert trademark infringement claims against VPX.
- 14. VPX brings this action to obtain judicial declarations that the claims brought by OBI and Monster are not subject to arbitration, and to obtain damages from Monster and OBI for their unfair and unlawful conspiracy to harm the public by curtailing fair competition.

Background Facts

A. OBI and VPX

15. According to its website (orangebang.com), OBI was incorporated in 1971 and "has become one the largest major company's [sic] to manufacture premium concentrates for hispanic & whipped fruit juice beverages, packaged in Bag-in-Box & Bottles."



- 16. The only products for which OBI uses the word "Bang!" are its whipped fruit juice drinks, called Orange Bang!, Pina Colada Bang!, and Strawberry Bang!. OBI's other products are marketed under its Ole! brand.
- 17. OBI does not produce energy drink products (sugarless, carbonated, non-carbonated or otherwise), nor does it produce any nutritional supplement products. Quite to the contrary, OBI's whipped fruit juice beverages are loaded with sugar.
- 18. Conversely, VPX does not produce whipped fruit juice beverages (sugar-loaded, in concentrate form, or otherwise).
- 19. VPX was established as a business in 1993 and incorporated in 1996. At that time, it focused primarily on producing nutritional supplements such as protein shakes and bodybuilding supplements.
- 20. In 2009, OBI filed a lawsuit against VPX in this Court alleging, in summary, that VPX's use of the word "Bang!" on a bodybuilding product (which VPX has not produced for years) infringed upon three trademark registrations held by OBI, being U.S. TM Reg. No. 1,223,619 (the "619 Registration"), TM Reg. No. 1,220,228 (the "228 Registration"), and TM Reg. No. 1,224,457 (the "457 Registration").
- 21. On or about August 11, 2010, VPX and OBI resolved the lawsuit by entering into the Settlement Agreement.
- 22. In general, the Settlement Agreement set forth certain permissible channels (for both VPX and OBI) of their then-existing products. The Settlement Agreement did not and does not, however, impose any restrictions or prohibitions on VPX with respect to development or branding of new products.
- 23. The Settlement Agreement contains a confidentiality provision and, therefore, is not attached to this Complaint but could be filed at the appropriate time under seal.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

