BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
Joel D. Smith (State Bar No. 244902)
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com
jsmith@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARY YOON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

LULULEMON USA INC. and QUANTUM METRIC, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:20-cv-02439-JWH-SHK

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Date: May 28, 2021
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Ctrm: 2
Judge: Hon. John W. Holcomb

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)

INTRODUCTION 1

ARGUMENT 1

I. Plaintiff Sufficiently Alleges A Violation Of § 631(a) 1

A. QM Was Not A Party To The Communications 1

B. Lululemon Is Liable For Enabling QM's Wiretapping 7

C. Plaintiffs' Website Interactions Are "Communications" Under *Moosejaw*, *In re Facebook*, and *In re Zynga* 9

D. QM's Software Intercepted Plaintiff's Electronic Communications "In Transit" 11

E. Lululemon's Privacy Policy Does Not Support Dismissal 16

1. Plaintiff Was Wiretapped Before The Hyperlink To The Privacy Policy Appeared On Plaintiff's Screen 16

2. The Privacy Policy Arguments Fail Under *Nguyen* 18

3. At Best, Whether The Privacy Policy Discloses The Wiretapping Is A Question Of Fact 20

II. Plaintiff States A Claim For Violations Of CIPA § 635 And Federal Wiretap Act § 2512 23

III. Plaintiff States A Claim For Invasion Of Privacy 28

A. Dismissal Of The Invasion Of Privacy Claim Would Be Reversible Error Under *In re Facebook* 28

B. Defendants' Arguments And Authorities Do Not Support Dismissal 30

IV. *Khoja* And F.R.E. 208 Require Denial Of Defendants' Request For Judicial Notice 33

CONCLUSION 35

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE(S)

CASES

Ades v. Omni Hotels Mgmt. Corp.,
46 F. Supp. 3d 999 (C.D. Cal. 2014) 28

Berman v. Freedom Financial Network, LLC,
2020 WL 5210912 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 1, 2020) 20

Bonnichsen v. United States,
367 F.3d 864 (9th Cir. 2004) 8

Brown v. Google LLC,
2021 WL 949372 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 12, 2021) 22

Bunnell v. Motion Picture Ass'n of Am.,
567 F. Supp. 2d 1148 (C.D. Cal. 2007) 15, 16

Byrd v. Aaron's, Inc.,
2012 WL 12887775 (W.D. Pa. 2012) 13

Cabral v. Supple, LLC,
2012 WL 12895825 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2012) 33

Campbell v. Facebook Inc.,
77 F. Supp. 3d 836 (N.D. Cal. 2014) 5, 22, 31

Casey v. Proctor,
59 Cal. 2d 97 (1963) 17

Colgate v. JUUL Labs, Inc.,
402 F. Supp. 3d 728 (N.D. Cal. 2019) 19

Cothron v. White Castle System, Inc.,
467 F. Supp. 3d 604 (N.D. Ill. 2020) 18

Cullinane v. Uber Tech., Inc.,
893 F.3d 53 (1st Cir. 2018) 19

Dufour v. Allen,
748 F. App'x 150 (9th Cir. 2019) 21

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ENTTech Media Grp. LLC v. Okularity, Inc.,
2021 WL 916307 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2021).....5, 31

Farrell v. Boeing Employees Credit Union,
761 F. App'x 682 (9th Cir. 2019).....34

Fleury v. Union Pac. R.R. Co.,
2021 WL 1124309 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 24, 2021).....17

Foglestrom v. Lamps Plus, Inc.,
195 Cal. App. 4th 986 (2011).....32

Franco v. Greystone Ridge Condominium,
39 Cal. App. 5th 221 (2019).....18

Fredenburg v. City of Fremont,
119 Cal. App. 4th 408 (2008).....33

Gollehon v. Mahoney,
626 F.3d 1019 (9th Cir. 2010).....27

Graham v. Noom, Inc.,
2021 WL 1312765 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 8, 2021).....5, 6

Harris v. Harris,
935 F.3d 670 (9th Cir. 2019).....8

Heeger v. Facebook, Inc.,
2020 WL 7664459 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 24, 2020).....32, 33

Hill v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n.,
7 Cal. 4th 1 (1994).....30, 33

In re Carrier IQ, Inc.,
78 F. Supp. 3d 1051, (N.D. Cal. 2015)..... Passim

In re Facebook Internet Tracking Litig.,
956 F.3d 589 (9th Cir. 2020)..... Passim

In re Google Assistant Priv. Litig.,
457 F. Supp. 3d 797 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....21, 29

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

In re Google Inc.,
2013 WL 5423918 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 2013) ..... Passim

In re Google Location Hist. Litig.,
428 F. Supp. 3d 185 (N.D. Cal. 2019) 33

In re Google, Inc. Privacy Policy Litig.,
58 F. Supp. 3d 968 (N.D. Cal. 2014) 33

In re iPhone Application Litig.,
844 F. Supp. 2d 1040 (N.D. Cal. June 12, 2012) 21, 33

In re Lenovo Adware Litig.,
2016 WL 6277245 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2016) 8

In re Pharmatrak, Inc.,
329 F.3d 9 (1st Cir. 2003) 10

In re Vizio, Inc. Consumer Priv. Litig.,
238 F. Supp. 3d 1204 (C.D. Cal. 2017) 30

In re Yahoo Mail Litig.,
7 F. Supp. 3d 1016 (N.D. Cal. 2014) 33

In re Zynga Privacy Litig.,
750 F.3d 1098, 1107 (9th Cir. 2014) (9th Cir. 2014) 10, 11

In re: Zoom Video Commcn's Inc. Privacy Litig.,
2021 WL 930623 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2021) 33

Ion Equip. Corp. v. Nelson,
110 Cal. App. 3d 868 (1980) 24

Javier v. Assurance IQ, LLC,
2021 WL 940319 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2021) 18, 20

Kauders v. Uber Techs., Inc.,
2019 WL 510568 (Mass. Super. Ct. Jan. 3, 2019) 17

Kaufman & Broad Communities, Inc. v. Performance Plastering, Inc.,
133 Cal. App. 4th 26 (2005) 28

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.