Case	8:18-cv-01548-DOC-ADS Documer #	nt 155-1 ±19501	Filed 03/05/21	Page 1 of 16	Page ID
1 2 3 4 5	Julian Burns King (Bar No. 2986 julian@kingsiegel.com Elliot J. Siegel (Bar No. 286798) elliot@kingsiegel.com KING & SIEGEL LLP 724 S. Spring Street, Suite 201 Los Angeles, California 90014 Telephone: (213) 419-5101 Facsimile: (213) 465-4803	17)			
6 7 8 9 10 11	Daniel Hutchinson (Bar No. 2394 dhutchinson@lchb.com Lin Y. Chan (Bar No. 255027) lchan@lchb.com LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN BERNSTEIN LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 <i>Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Pro</i>	&	Class		
12					
13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Marcie Le and Karen Dao, indivi and on behalf of all others similar situated, Plaintiffs, v. Walgreen Co., an Illinois corpora Walgreen Pharmacy Services Mid LLC, an Illinois limited liability company; and Walgreens Boots Alliance, a Delaware corporation Defendants.	rly ution; dwest,	SIEGEL IN PLAINTIFF	SUPPORT OS' MOTION S' MOTION DTICE TO T D. Carter : April 12,	DF TO HE CLASS 2021
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .					

I, Elliot J. Siegel, declare as follows: 1 2 1. I am a founding and managing shareholder in the firm of King & 3 Siegel LLP, attorney of record ("Class Counsel" or "Plaintiffs' Counsel") for 4 Plaintiffs Marcie Le and Karen Dao ("Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives") and 5 the proposed Settlement Class (the "Class") in the above-captioned matter. I have 6 been one of the lawyers primarily responsible for the prosecution of Plaintiffs' 7 claims on behalf of the proposed Class. 8 2. I am admitted to practice before this Court and am a member in good

9 standing of the bar of the State of California; the United States District Court for
10 the Central, Northern, and Eastern Districts of California; and the U.S. Court of
11 Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

I respectfully submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs'
 Unopposed Motion to Direct Notice to the Class. I have personal knowledge of the
 facts set forth in this declaration and if called upon to testify, could and would
 testify competently thereto.

16

Plaintiffs' Counsel's Academic and Professional Background

Throughout my career, I have gained significant experience regarding
 the obligations and burdens of representing California workers in wage-and-hour
 litigation. This knowledge has allowed me and my firm, King & Siegel LLP, to
 successfully represent plaintiffs in multiple litigations, including several class
 actions, in the past years.

5. I received a B.A. from University of California, Los Angeles in 2007,
while graduating *summa cum laude*. In 2012, I received my J.D. from New York
University School of Law in New York, New York and graduated with *cum laude*honors. I became a member of the Bar of the State of California in June 2012 and
first practiced with the firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, an
AmLaw 100 firm, for approximately three years. After practicing at Quinn

28

Case 8:18-cv-01548-DOC-ADS Document 155-1 Filed 03/05/21 Page 3 of 16 Page ID #:19503

Emanuel, I worked as an associate in the litigation department at Elkins Kalt
 Weintraub Reuben Gartside LLP, a boutique full-service law firm.

3 6. At my prior firms, my practice covered a wide range of matters 4 running from high-value commercial litigation, to patent matters (including the 5 *Apple v. Samsung* litigation), to class actions (including a wage-and-hour class 6 action against Barnes & Noble). I worked on complex civil litigation matters 7 including United States ex rel. Bilotta v. Novartis Pharm. Corp., No. 11-civ-0071 8 (PGG) (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (False Claims Act case relating to alleged kickbacks); In re 9 *Countrywide Financial Corporation Mortgage-Backed Securities Litig.*, Case Nos. 10 2:11-ml-02265-MRP and 2:11-cv-10549-MRP (C.D. Cal. 2011) (fraud and 11 securities case alleging false representations regarding RMBS); In re RFC and RESCAP Liquidating Trust Litig., No. 13-3451 (D. Minn. 2013) (breach of contract 12 13 case involving RMBS); Gaming and Leisure Properties, Inc. v. Cannery Casino 14 Resorts LLC, No. 1:14-cv-08571 (S.D.N.Y. 2014) (breach of contract case 15 involving \$465 million casino purchase); Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., 16 No. 11-civ-1846 (N.D. Cal. 2011) (patent case involving smart phones); and HCT 17 Group Holdings, Ltd., et al. v. Nicholas Gardner, et al., No. BC645615 (Los 18 Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2017) (fraud and breach of fiduciary duty case against 19 former executive).

20 7. At both Quinn Emanuel and Elkins Kalt, I played a significant role in 21 the employment law and class action matters that I was staffed on and received an 22 array of experience, including drafting demurrers and motions to dismiss, drafting 23 and responding to discovery, drafting and opposing discovery-related motions, 24 arguing discovery-related motions, interviewing and deposing class members and 25 obtaining declarations in connection with class certification motions, drafting 26 mediation briefs, and opposing a writ of prejudgment attachment against a highly 27 compensated employee by a former employer. I also supervised the work of more 28 junior attorneys and paralegals.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

8. 1 Throughout my career, I have gained significant experience regarding 2 the obligations and burdens of representing a class. This knowledge has allowed me 3 and my firm, King & Siegel LLP, to successfully represent employees in class 4 actions. Our practice is devoted to representing employees in individual and class 5 action litigation in California State and federal courts, as well as in arbitration 6 hearings. Though our firm was only founded in 2018, we have been appointed class 7 counsel in multiple wage-and-hour class actions, including *Martinez v. Arvato* 8 Digital Servs., LLC, No. CIVDS1823989 (San Bernardino Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) 9 (final approval granted March 2, 2020); *Quintero v. Miller Milling Company, LLC*, 10 No. 2:19-cv-07459 DMG (JCx) (C.D. Cal. 2019) (final approval granted July 31, 11 2020); Ayala, et al. v. Four Seasons Heating & Cooling, Inc., et al., No. 56-2019-12 00529287-CU-OE-VTA (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) (final approval granted on 13 September 3, 2020); Martin, et al., v. Break the Floor Productions, Case No. 37-2019-00029711-CU-OE-CTL, (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval 14 15 granted October 23, 2020); Talkington v. Sanrose Home Health, Case No. 16 RIC1902475 (Riverside Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted December 7, 17 2020); Lachman, et al. v. Berlitz Languages, Inc., et al., Case No. 19STCV01533 18 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (preliminary approval granted August 2020); 19 and Shaquille Oliver, et al. v. The J. Paul Getty Trust, Case No. 19STCV40123 20 (Los Angeles Ctny. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted October 22, 2020). We 21 are also currently prosecuting claims on behalf of numerous putative class members 22 in multiple pending class actions, including: Awwad, et al. v. Splitsville, Case No. 23 30-2018-01026248-CU-OE-CXC (Orange Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) (wage-and-hour 24 case relating to failure to authorize and permit off-duty rest periods); Torres v. D/T25 Carson, Case No. RIC1821431 (Riverside Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) (wage-and-hour 26 case relating to failure to authorize and permit off-duty, off-premises rest periods); 27 Cohen v. Living Spaces Furniture LLC, Case No. 30-2020-01140662-CU-OE-CXC 28 (Orange Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2020) (wage-and-hour relating to failure to provide suitable

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 8:18-cv-01548-DOC-ADS Document 155-1 Filed 03/05/21 Page 5 of 16 Page ID #:19505

1 seating and compliant rest periods); *Leon v. Matrix Environmental Inc.*, Case No. 2 21STCV01416 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2021) (wage-and-hour case relating to 3 unpaid wages and failure to authorize and permit off-duty, off-premises rest 4 periods); and Romero v. Retrofitting 360, Inc, Case No. 56-2020-00546674 (Ventura 5 Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2020) (wage-and-hour case relating to failure to authorize and permit 6 off-duty, off-premises rest periods); and this case, in which our firm filed a 7 contested motion for class certification.

8

My firm has received a variety of professional awards and honors and 9. 9 I am active in the plaintiffs' bar and other community organizations. In each year from 2018 to 2020, I was named a Southern California Super Lawyers Rising Star. 10

11 10. In addition to myself, the attorneys at my law firm who seek to be appointed Class Counsel also have significant experience in employment litigation, 12 13 wage-and-hour class actions, and other complex litigation.

14 11. My partner, Julian Burns King has also expended a substantial amount 15 of time on the Le case. Prior to involvement by our co-counsel, Mr. Siegel and I 16 investigated Plaintiffs' claims, researched applicable law and regulations involving 17 pharmacists, determined the appropriate defendants, filed PAGA notices, drafted 18 filings and discovery, and identified an appropriate class representative for the meal 19 period claims. After co-counsel joined this litigation, we continued to play a co-lead 20 role in all case matters

Ms. King is a 2012 cum laude graduate of Harvard Law School, where 21 12. 22 she was an editor and a member of the submissions committee on the *Harvard* 23 Journal of Law & Gender and an editor of the Harvard International Law Journal. 24 After graduating from law school, she spent five years as an associate at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison, LLP (2012 to 2013); Quinn Emanuel 25 26 Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP (2013 to 2015); and Bird Marella Boxer Wolpert Nessim 27 Drooks Lincenberg & Rhow, PC (2015 to 2017), before starting her own practice at 28 King & Siegel LLP.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.