Case u	ATS-CV-OTTSO-SES-ADS DOCUMENTES 1	11ed 03/20/13 Fage 1 0/7 Fage 1D #.2/2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNILOC 2017 LLC	Case No.: 8:19-cv-01150-JLS-ADS
11		ORDER SETTING SCHEDULING
12	Plaintiff(s),	CONFERENCE FOR NOVEMBER 8, 2019 AT 10:30 AM,
13	V.	COURTROOM 10-A
14	INFOR, INC.	
15	Defendant(s).	
16	Defendant(b).	
17		ı
18	Judge Staton's Procedures web page is incorporated in this Order.	
19	The parties and counsel are ORDERED to review and comply with those	
20	procedures and notices, which may be accessed at:	
21	http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton	
22		
23	This case has been assigned to Judge Josephine L. Staton. If plaintiff has	
24	not already served the complaint (or any amendment thereto) on all defendants,	
25	plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three (3) days	
26	thereafter. Defendants also shall timely serve and file their responsive pleadings	
27	and file proofs of service within three d	ays thereafter.
28	d -	



Case 8|19-cv-01150-JLS-ADS Document 29 Filed 09/20/19 Page 2 of 7 Page ID #:273

This case is set for a scheduling conference under Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 16(b) on the date and time stated in the caption of this Order, in
Courtroom 10A of the Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States
Courthouse, 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, California. Unless excused for
good cause shown in advance of scheduling conference, lead counsel shall appear
at the scheduling conference at all pretrial hearings fully informed concerning
the facts of the case. If the Court determines that a Scheduling Order can be issued
based on the Joint Rule 26(f) Report, the scheduling conference will be vacated.

Attached to this Order, as Exhibit A, are the Court's presumptive dates.

Parties wishing to deviate from this schedule shall provide the Court with reasons
for each suggested change. A Joint Rule 26(f) Report that is filed without a
fully completed Exhibit A will be rejected by the Court and may subject the

parties to sanctions.

Effective January 1, 2018, the Court adopted a procedure in civil cases that defers setting a trial date and an exhibit conference date until the parties appear for the Final Pretrial Conference. The parties are expected to address these issues at the Final Pretrial Conference; therefore, the parties are directed to confer before the Final Pretrial Conference to identify mutually agreeable trial date(s) within the 90 days following the Final Pretrial Conference. Where the Court's trial calendar permits, the Court will set the trial for a date agreed upon by the parties.

24 1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report

As provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties shall meet at least 21 days before the scheduling conference and file a Joint 26(f) Report ("Report") no later than 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference. The Report shall be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted



26

27

("ESI"), issues related to privileged materials, whether changes to limitations on

discovery should be made, issues related to protective orders, and any other Rule

16(b) or 16(c) issues. The parties must propose a discovery cutoff date for the

completion of fact discovery.

i. Expert Discovery: The parties shall discuss the timing of expert disclosures and discovery. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2); Local Rule 26-1(f).

- **j. Dispositive motions:** The parties shall set forth a description of the issues or claims that any party believes may be determined by motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment. *See* Local Rule 26-1(b).
- k. Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Procedure Selection: The parties must select *either* ADR Procedure No. 2 (Court Mediation Panel) *or* ADR Procedure No. 3 (private mediation); ADR Procedure No. 1 (conference with the magistrate judge) *may not* be selected by the parties. *See generally* General Order 11-10, § 5.1; Local Rule 26-1(c). For more information about the Court's ADR Program, please visit the "ADR" section of the Court website, http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov.
- *l.* **Settlement Efforts:** Without disclosing the substance of the communications, the parties shall advise the Court regarding whether they have discussed settlement or had written communications regarding settlement. The parties are advised that no case will proceed to trial unless all parties, including the principals of all corporate parties, have appeared personally at a mediation.
- m. Preliminary Trial Estimate: The parties must provide a realistic estimate of the time required for trial. *See* Local Rule 26-1(d). The parties' estimate is for planning purposes only; the Court may allot fewer days for trial. The parties shall specify whether trial will be by jury or to the Court, and each side must specify the number of witnesses it expects to call.
- **n. Trial counsel:** the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the case.
- o. Independent Expert or Master: the parties must advise the Court whether this is a case in which a master pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53 or an independent scientific expert should be appointed. The



by counsel, defendant's counsel shall provide this notice.

3. Disclosures to Clients

Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order, the Court's trial order, and the Scheduling order.

4. **Class Actions**

The parties are directed to the portion of Judge Staton's Procedures web page (http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton) regarding class action scheduling issues. As explained in further detail on the web page, the parties are directed to discuss class action scheduling issues in their Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

5. **Utility Patent Cases**

a. Presumptive Schedule and Exhibit B: In patent cases, the Court

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

