

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNILOC 2017 LLC

Plaintiff(s),

v.

NETSUITE, INC.

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 8:19-cv-01151-JLS-DFM

**ORDER SETTING SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE FOR NOVEMBER  
1, 2019 AT 10:30 AM,  
COURTROOM 10-A**

Judge Staton's Procedures web page is incorporated in this Order.

The parties and counsel are ORDERED to review and comply with those procedures and notices, which may be accessed at:

<http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton>

This case has been assigned to Judge Josephine L. Staton. If plaintiff has not already served the complaint (or any amendment thereto) on all defendants, plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three (3) days thereafter. Defendants also shall timely serve and file their responsive pleadings and file proofs of service within three days thereafter.

1 This case is set for a scheduling conference under Federal Rule of Civil  
2 Procedure 16(b) on the date and time stated in the caption of this Order, in  
3 Courtroom 10A of the Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States  
4 Courthouse, 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, California. Unless excused for  
5 good cause shown in advance of scheduling conference, lead counsel shall appear  
6 at the scheduling conference at all pretrial hearings fully informed concerning  
7 the facts of the case. If the Court determines that a Scheduling Order can be issued  
8 based on the Joint Rule 26(f) Report, the scheduling conference will be vacated.

9  
10 *Attached to this Order, as Exhibit A, are the Court's presumptive dates.*  
11 *Parties wishing to deviate from this schedule shall provide the Court with reasons*  
12 *for each suggested change. A Joint Rule 26(f) Report that is filed without a*  
13 *fully completed Exhibit A will be rejected by the Court and may subject the*  
14 *parties to sanctions.*

15  
16 Effective January 1, 2018, the Court adopted a procedure in civil cases that  
17 defers setting a trial date and an exhibit conference date until the parties appear for  
18 the Final Pretrial Conference. The parties are expected to address these issues at  
19 the Final Pretrial Conference; therefore, the parties are directed to confer before the  
20 Final Pretrial Conference to identify mutually agreeable trial date(s) within the 90  
21 days following the Final Pretrial Conference. Where the Court's trial calendar  
22 permits, the Court will set the trial for a date agreed upon by the parties.

23  
24 **1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report**

25 As provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties shall meet at least 21 days  
26 before the scheduling conference and file a Joint 26(f) Report ("Report") no later  
27 than 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference. The Report shall  
28 be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted

1 and signed jointly. “Jointly” contemplates a single report, regardless of how many  
2 separately represented parties there are.

3 The Report shall discuss the issues described below. Counsel are to ensure  
4 that their discussions of these issues fully address the topics identified by Federal  
5 Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)(3)(A)-(F) and Local Rule 26-1(a)-(f).

6 **a. Statement of the case:** a short synopsis (not to exceed two  
7 pages) of the main claims, counterclaims, and affirmative defenses.

8 **b. Legal issues:** a brief description of the key legal issues,  
9 including any unusual substantive, procedural, or evidentiary issues.

10 **c. Damages:** the realistic range of provable damages.

11 **d. Insurance:** whether there is insurance coverage, the extent of  
12 coverage, and whether there is a reservation of rights.

13 **e. Motions:** statement of the likelihood of motions seeking to add  
14 other parties or claims (*see* Local Rule 26-1(e)), file amended pleadings, to dismiss  
15 for lack of jurisdiction, or to transfer venue.

16 **f. Complexity:** a discussion regarding the complexity of the case,  
17 and whether all or part of the procedures of the Manual for Complex Litigation  
18 should be utilized. *See* Local Rule 26-1(a).

19 **g. Status of Discovery:** a report regarding the current status of  
20 discovery, including whether initial disclosures have been made and a summary  
21 of any completed discovery.

22 **h. Discovery Plan:** The parties must set forth a detailed discovery  
23 plan that discusses all the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)(3)(A)-(F) topics,  
24 including topics related to initial discloses, the anticipated subjects of discovery,  
25 the time needed for discovery, issues related to electronically stored information  
26 (“ESI”), issues related to privileged materials, whether changes to limitations on  
27 discovery should be made, issues related to protective orders, and any other Rule  
28 16(b) or 16(c) issues. The parties must propose a discovery cutoff date for the

1 completion of fact discovery.

2 **i. Expert Discovery:** The parties shall discuss the timing of expert  
3 disclosures and discovery. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2); Local Rule 26-1(f).

4 **j. Dispositive motions:** The parties shall set forth a description  
5 of the issues or claims that any party believes may be determined by motion for  
6 summary judgment or partial summary judgment. *See* Local Rule 26-1(b).

7 **k. Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) Procedure Selection:**  
8 The parties must select *either* ADR Procedure No. 2 (Court Mediation Panel) *or*  
9 ADR Procedure No. 3 (private mediation); ADR Procedure No. 1 (conference with  
10 the magistrate judge) *may not* be selected by the parties. *See generally* General  
11 Order 11-10, § 5.1; Local Rule 26-1(c). For more information about the Court’s  
12 ADR Program, please visit the “ADR” section of the Court website,  
13 <http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov>.

14 **l. Settlement Efforts:** Without disclosing the substance of the  
15 communications, the parties shall advise the Court regarding whether they have  
16 discussed settlement or had written communications regarding settlement. The  
17 parties are advised that no case will proceed to trial unless all parties, including  
18 the principals of all corporate parties, have appeared personally at a mediation.

19 **m. Preliminary Trial Estimate:** The parties must provide a  
20 realistic estimate of the time required for trial. *See* Local Rule 26-1(d). The parties’  
21 estimate is for planning purposes only; the Court may allot fewer days for trial.  
22 The parties shall specify whether trial will be by jury or to the Court, and each side  
23 must specify the number of witnesses it expects to call.

24 **n. Trial counsel:** the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the  
25 case.

26 **o. Independent Expert or Master:** the parties must advise the  
27 Court whether this is a case in which a master pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
28 Procedure 53 or an independent scientific expert should be appointed. The

1 appointment of a master may be appropriate if there are likely to be substantial  
2 discovery disputes, numerous claims to be construed in connection with a summary  
3 judgment motion, a lengthy *Daubert* hearing, or resolution of a difficult  
4 computation of damages.

5 **p. Other issues:** a statement of any other issues affecting the status  
6 or management of the case (*e.g.*, unusually complicated technical or technological  
7 issues, disputes over protective orders, extraordinarily voluminous document  
8 production, non-English speaking witnesses, discovery in foreign jurisdictions, etc.)  
9 and any proposals concerning severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

## 10 11 **2. Notice to be Provided by Counsel**

12 Plaintiff's counsel shall provide this Order to any parties who first appear  
13 after the date of this Order and to parties who are known to exist but have not yet  
14 entered appearances. If plaintiff is appearing pro se, but defendant is represented  
15 by counsel, defendant's counsel shall provide this notice.

## 16 17 **3. Disclosures to Clients**

18 Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order,  
19 the Court's trial order, and the Scheduling order.

## 20 21 **4. Class Actions**

22 The parties are directed to the portion of Judge Staton's Procedures web page  
23 (<http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton>) regarding class action  
24 scheduling issues. As explained in further detail on the web page, the parties are  
25 directed to discuss class action scheduling issues in their Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

## 26 27 **5. Utility Patent Cases**

28 **a. Presumptive Schedule and Exhibit B:** In patent cases, the Court

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.