Case 8:20-cv-01468-JAK-AGR	Document 116	Filed 09/14/22	Page 1 of 2	Page ID #:21199
			-	

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT			
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	CORE OPTICAL TECHNOLOGIES,	No. 8:19-cv-2190 JAK (RAOx)			
12	LLC,	No. 8:19-cv-2190 JAK (RAOx) No. 8:20-cv-1463 JAK (RAOx) No. 8:20-cv-1468 JAK (AGRx)			
13	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT			
14	V.	J-6			
15	NOKIA CORPORATION and NOKIA OF AMERICA CORPORATION;				
16	ADVA OPTICAL NETWORKING SE				
17	and ADVA OPTICAL NETWORKING NORTH AMERICA, INC.; and				
18	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.				
19 20	Defendants.				
20 21					
21 22					
22					
23 24					
25					
26 26					
27					
28					
DOC	VET				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .					
A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .					

1 On August 4, 2022, the Court entered an order granting the joint summary 2 judgment motion of Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc., ADVA Optical Networking SE, ADVA Optical Networking North America, Inc., Nokia Corporation, and Nokia of 3 4 America Corporation (collectively, "Defendants") (the "Order"). See Core Optical Technologies, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc. et al, C.A. 8:20-cv-01468 JAK (AGRx) Dkt. 5 6 111; Core Optical Technologies, LLC v. ADVA Optical Networking SE et al, C.A. 8:20-cv-01463 JAK (RAOx), Dkt.128; Core Optical Technologies, LLC v. Nokia 7 Corporation et al., C.A. 8:19-cv-02190 JAK (RAOx), Dkt.144. In the Order, the 8 9 Court concluded that there is no genuine dispute of material fact that the patent-insuit, U.S. Patent No. 6,782,211 (the "'211 Patent"), is not a Non-TRW Invention 10 under Dr. Core's Invention Agreement with TRW, and therefore Dr. Core's rights in 11 the '211 Patent were automatically assigned under the Invention Agreement to TRW. 12 As a result of the Order, Plaintiff Core Optical Technologies, LLC lacks standing to 13 assert the '211 Patent. As a further result of the Order, each Defendant is a prevailing 14 15 party.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that these cases are DISMISSED IN THEIR ENTIRETY due to lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: September 14, 2022

John A. Kronstadt United States District Judge