EXHIBIT 1



Case 8:21-cv-01185 Document 1-1 Filed 07/09/21 Page 2 of 37 Page ID #:10
Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 06/08/2021 01:38:40 PM.
30-2021-01204683-CU-WT-CJC - ROA # 4 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By Jessica Duarte, Deputy Clerk.
SUM-100 SUMMONS FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE) (CITACION JUDICIAL) **NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:** (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): Walgreen Co., an Illinois corporation; and Does 1-10, inclusive, YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): Madelaine Esquibel, an individual, NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinto.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso. CASE NUMBER The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of Orange (Número del Caso): 30-2021-01204583-CU-WT-CJC Central Justice Center Judge Derek W. Hunt 700 Civic Center Drive W., Santa Ana, CA 92701 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): KING & SIEGEL LLP, 724 S. Spring Street, Suite 201, Los Angeles, CA 90014; 213-465-4802 06/08/2021 fime Jessica Duarte . Deputy DATE: Clerk, by DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court (Adjunto) (Fecha) (Secretario) (For proof of service of this summ (Para prueba de entrega de esta [SEAL]



	of Service of Summons <i>(form POS-010).)</i> ormulario Proof of Service of Summons, <i>(</i>		0)).
NOTICE TO TH	E PERSON SERVED: You are served		
1. as an individual defendant.			
2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):			
3. X on behalf of (specify): Walgreen Co., an Illinois corporation			
under: 🔀	CCP 416.10 (corporation)		CCP 416.60 (minor)
	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)		CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
	other (specify):		

Page 1 of 1



by personal delivery on (date):

- FEHA;
- **Associational Disability** 7) Discrimination in Violation of FEHA;
- Wrongful Termination in Violation 8) of Public Policy

Demand for Jury Trial



23

24

25

26

27

28

 Plaintiff Madelaine Esquibel, by and through her attorneys, complains and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Madelaine Esquibel ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Esquibel"), a single mother of a disabled child, worked for Defendant Walgreen Co. ("Walgreens" or the "Company") in Santa Ana from June 2018 and January 2021. She was a loyal, hardworking employee who received nothing but positive feedback about her work performance from her supervisors and managers.
- 2. In November 2020, Ms. Esquibel learned that she was pregnant with a second child. Doctors classified her pregnancy as high-risk due to a previous preterm birth. When she informed Walgreens of her pregnancy, no one from the company notified her of her right to accommodations. She was forced to desperately scour the Walgreen's website for information.
- 3. In early December 2020, she asked her store manager, Shane Chang, for a modified schedule starting in January 2021 so that she could receive weekly injections for her pregnancy and take her disabled daughter to speech therapy appointments. Mr. Chang summarily denied her request, stating that she should instead consider working "part-time" or take "leave." When she asked her assistant manager to be accommodated so that she did not have to engage in heavy lifting, he told her she was just "being lazy" and "taking advantage" of her pregnancy.
- 4. Ms. Esquibel continued to work her regular shifts without accommodations, even after she contracted a urinary tract infection (UTI) because she was denied breaks to use the bathroom. Ms. Esquibel turned in yet another doctor's note to prevent this from happening again. Her doctor requested on her behalf a modified schedule, including extra time to use the bathroom.
- 5. Within a week a turning in the doctor's note, on January 9, 2021, the Company terminated her employment for alleged "misconduct." When she asked for details of the alleged misconduct, the Company claimed it could not provide any. A manager vaguely alluded



7

5

10 11

13

14

12

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

28

items that they intended to buy. In reality, Ms. Esquibel's manager was frustrated with her pregnancy and requests

to a policy regarding the "merchandise bay," which employees were allowed to use to set aside

for accommodation, and seized a flimsy opportunity to pretextually justify her termination. This lawsuit seeks redress for Walgreens' blatant violations of California law.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Madelaine Esquibel ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Esquibel") was a hardwork-7. ing and dedicated hourly employee of Walgreens from June 2018 until her wrongful termination in January 2021. At all times relevant to this Complaint, she was a resident of Orange County.
- Defendant Walgreen Co. ("Walgreen") is an Illinois corporation registered to 8. do business in the State of California. Walgreen operates the second-largest pharmacy store chain in the United States and, according to its website, is a "global leader in retail and wholesale pharmacy...."
- 9. Plaintiff does not currently know the names and true identities of Defendant **Does 1 through 10.** Ms. Esquibel reserves the right to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when this information is available. Each Doe defendant is responsible for the damages alleged pursuant to each of the causes of action asserted, either through its own conduct, or vicariously through the conduct of others. All further references in this complaint to any of the named Defendant includes the fictitiously-named Defendant.
- 10. At all times alleged in the complaint, each Defendant was an agent, servant, joint employer, employee, partner, and/or joint venture of every other Defendant and was acting within the scope of the Defendant' relationship. Moreover, the conduct of every Defendant was ratified by each other Defendant.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over all causes of action in this complaint pursuant 11. to Article VI, section 10 of the California Constitution. No federal question is at issue; Ms. Esquibel relies solely on California statutes and law.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

