

EXHIBIT A

21STCV47278

Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: John Doyle

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 12/28/2021 11:37 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by R. Perez, Deputy Clerk

1 JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ
 (SBN 278028)
 2 jonathan.a.stieglitz@gmail.com
 THE LAW OFFICES OF
 3 JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ
 11845 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 800
 4 Los Angeles, California 90064
 Telephone: (323) 979-2063
 5 Facsimile: (323) 488-6748

6 Attorney for Plaintiff
 Premier Spine Neurosurgery, Inc.
 7

8
 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

11 Premier Spine Neurosurgery, Inc.

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CIGNA Health and Life Insurance
 15 Co. and DOES 1-10,

16 Defendant.
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

Case No.: 21STCV47278

Complaint For:

1. *QUANTUM MERUIT;*

(Jury Trial Requested)
Total Damages - \$100,000.00

Complaint

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff Premier Spine Neurosurgery, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as “PLAINTIFF”, or “Medical Provider”) complains and alleges:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Medical Provider, is and at all relevant times was a medical corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Medical Provider is and at all relevant times was in good standing under the laws of the State of California.

2. DEFENDANT, CIGNA Health and Life Insurance Co. (“DEFENDANT”) is and was licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California. DEFENDANT is, in fact, transacting business in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein and legally caused injury and damages proximately thereby to PLAINTIFF. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert their true names and capacities in place and instead of the fictitious names when they become known to it.

4. At all times herein mentioned, unless otherwise indicated, DEFENDANT/s were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining defendants, and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each defendant has ratified and approved the acts of his agent. At all times herein mentioned, DEFENDANT/s had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other’s behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of



1 services; processing and administering the claims and appeals; pricing the claims;
2 approving or denying the claims; directing each other as to whether and/or how to
3 pay claims; issuing remittance advices and explanations of benefits statements;
4 making payments to Medical Provider and its Patients.

5 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

6 5. All of the claims asserted in this complaint are based upon the
7 individual and proper rights of Medical Provider in its own individual capacity and
8 are not derivative of the contractual or other rights of the Medical Provider's
9 Patient.

10 6. This complaint arises out of the failure of DEFENDANT to make
11 proper payments and/or the underpayment to Medical Provider by DEFENDANT
12 and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, of amounts due and owing now to Medical
13 Provider for emergent surgical care, treatment and procedures provided to Patient,
14 who was an insured, member, policyholder, certificate-holder or was otherwise
15 covered for health, hospitalization and major medical insurance through policies or
16 certificates of insurance issued and underwritten by DEFENDANT and DOES 1
17 through 10, inclusive.

18 7. Medical Provider is informed and believes based on DEFENDANT's
19 oral and other representations that the Patient was an insured of DEFENDANT
20 either as a subscriber to coverage or a dependent of a subscriber to coverage under a
21 policy or certificate of insurance issued and underwritten by DEFENDANT and
22 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them. Medical Provider is informed
23 and believes that the Patient entered into a valid insurance agreement with
24 DEFENDANT for the specific purpose of ensuring that the Patient would have
25 access to medically necessary treatments, care, procedures and surgeries by medical
26 practitioners like Medical Provider and ensuring that DEFENDANT would pay for
27 the health care expenses incurred by the Patient.

28

1 8. Medical Provider is informed and believes that DEFENDANT and
2 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them, received and continue to receive,
3 valuable premium payments from the Patient and/or other consideration from
4 Patient under the subject policies applicable to Patient.

5 9. It is standard practice in the health care industry that when a medical
6 provider enters into a written preferred provider contract with a health plan such as
7 DEFENDANT, that a medical provider agrees to accept reimbursement that is
8 discounted from the medical provider's total billed charges in exchange for the
9 benefits of being a preferred or contracted provider.

10 10. Those benefits include an increased volume of business, because the
11 health plan provides financial and other incentives to its members to receive their
12 medical care and treatments from the contracted provider, such as advertising that
13 the provider is "in network", and allowing the members to pay lower co-payments
14 and deductibles to obtain care and treatment from a contracted provider.

15 11. Conversely, when a medical provider, such as Medical Provider, does
16 not have a written contract or preferred provider agreement with a health plan, the
17 medical provider receives no referrals from the health plan.

18 12. The medical provider has no obligation to reduce its charges. The
19 health plan is not entitled to a discount from the medical provider's total bill charge
20 for the services rendered, because it is not providing the medical provider with in
21 network medical provider benefits, such as increased patient volume and direct
22 payment obligations.

23 13. The reason why medical providers have chosen to forgo the benefits of
24 a contract with a payor is that, in recent years, many insurers including
25 DEFENDANT's contracted rates for in-network providers have been so meager,
26 one-sided and onerous, that many providers like Medical Provider have determined
27 that they cannot afford to enter into such contracts. As a result, a growing number
28 of medical providers have become non-contracted or out of network providers.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.