1	Gregory K. Nelson, Esq., SBN No. 203	8029			
2	Email: nelson@weeksnelson.com				
2 3	Chandler G. Weeks, Esq., SBN No. 245503 Email: cgw@weeksnelson.com				
4	WEEKS NELSON				
5	P.O. Box 675963				
5	Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067				
6	Phone: (858) 794-2140				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff RED.com, LLC				
8					
9					
10					
11	IN THE U.S. DISTRI	CT COURT FOR THE			
	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
12					
13	DED COM MAG NA 1				
14	RED.COM, LLC, a Nevada	Case No.			
15	limited liability company,				
16	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
17		INTRINGENENT			
	VS.				
18					
19	NIKON CORPORATION, a	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
20	Japanese corporation and NIKON				
21	INC., a New York corporation,				
2122	Defendants.				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Plaintiff Red.com, LLC ("RED") complains of Defendants Nikon Corporation and Nikon Inc. (collectively, "Nikon") and alleges patent infringement as follows.

THE PARTIES

- 1. RED is a limited liability corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada. It is registered with the State of California and maintains an active business in this district. Its principal place of business is located within this district at 94 Icon, Foothill Ranch, CA 92610. It has and continues to transact business in this judicial district.
- 2. Nikon Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with its principal place of business located at 2-15-3, Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-6290, Japan. Nikon Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New York, with its principal place of business located at 1300 Walt Whitman Rd, Melville, NY 11747. Nikon Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Nikon Corporation.
- 3. Nikon Corporation and Nikon Inc. have and continue to transact business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, by advertising, marketing, selling, distributing, and servicing its cameras directly or indirectly through affiliates, entities, and operations based in California and in this judicial district.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this patent infringement action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 5. Venue is proper over Nikon Corporation. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3). It directs business to this judicial district, markets its products here, and puts its products in the stream of commerce intending that they be offered for sale, purchased, acquired, and/or used within this judicial district. Venue is also proper over Nikon Inc. 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). It has a regular and established place of

business, including for the service and repair of cameras, located in this judicial district at 6420 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 100, Los Angeles, CA 90048 and 1907 East 29th Street, Signal Hill, CA 90755, to which Nikon Inc. has previously admitted. See Carl Zeiss AG v. Nikon Corp., No. 17-7083 (C.D. Cal.), ECF No. 35 ¶ 10 (Nov. 2, 2017) ("Defendants [Nikon Corporation, Sendai Nikon Corporation, and Nikon Inc.] admit that Nikon Corporation sells cameras to Nikon Inc. in Japan, which Nikon Inc. then imports into the United States."); id. ¶ 9 ("Defendants admit that Nikon Inc. has conducted and continues to conduct business in the Central District of California. Defendants admit that Nikon Inc. imports, services and sells digital camera products in the Central District of

California.").

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Since at least 2006, RED has been and continues to be actively engaged specifically in the design, development, manufacture, and sale of high performance digital still and motion cinematography cameras, video equipment and accessories, digital editing software, video players as well as generally in imaging format technology used in the dissemination, broadcast, or transmission of video.
- 7. Since the introduction of its revolutionary RED ONE® camera, RED's products have been used to film blockbuster movies, as well as many other movies and television series. The RED cameras and products have revamped the movie making process and industry.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 7,830,967

- 8. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 7,830,967 (the "'967 patent"), entitled "Video Camera." It issued on November 9, 2010.
- 9. A true and correct copy of the patent is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-1.

10. The '967 patent discloses, for example, a video camera that can be configured to highly compress video data in a visually lossless manner. The camera can be configured to transform blue and red image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The data can then be compressed and stored in this form. This allows a user to reconstruct the red and blue data to obtain the original raw data for a modified version of the original raw data that is visually lossless when demosaiced. Additionally, the data can be processed so the green image elements are demosaiced first and then the red and blue elements are reconstructed based on values of the demosaiced green image elements.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 8,174,560

- 11. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 8,174,560 (the "'560 patent"), entitled "Video Camera." It issued on May 8, 2012. An Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate U.S. 8,174,560 C1 later issued on it on May 16, 2014.
- 12. A true and correct copy of the patent, including the Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate, is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-2.
- 13. The '560 patent discloses, for example, a video camera that can be configured to highly compress video data in a visually lossless manner. The camera can be configured to transform blue and red image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The data can then be compressed and stored in this form. This allows a user to reconstruct the red and blue data to obtain the original raw data for a modified version of the original raw data that is visually lossless when demosaiced. Additionally, the data can be processed so the green image elements are demosaiced first and then the red and blue elements are reconstructed based on values of the demosaiced green image elements.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 9,245,314

14. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 9,245,314 (the "'314 patent"), entitled "Video Camera." It issued on January 26, 2016.

- 15. A true and correct copy of the patent is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-3.
- 16. The '314 patent discloses, for example, a video camera configured to capture, compress, and store video image data in a memory of the video camera at a rate of at least about twenty-three frames per second. The video image data can be mosaiced image data, and the compressed, mosaiced image data remains substantially visually lossless upon decompression and demosaicing.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 9,436,976

- 17. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 9,436,976 (the "'976 patent"), entitled "Video Camera." It issued on September 6, 2016.
- 18. A true and correct copy of the patent is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-4.
- 19. The '976 patent discloses, for example, a video camera that can be configured to highly compress video data in a visually lossless manner. The camera can be configured to transform blue and red image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The data can then be compressed and stored in this form. This allows a user to reconstruct the red and blue data to obtain the original raw data for a modified version of the original raw data that is visually lossless when demosaiced. Additionally, the data can be processed so the green image elements are demosaiced first, and then the red and blue elements are reconstructed based on values of the demosaiced green image elements.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 9,521,384

- 20. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 9,521,384 (the "'384 patent"), entitled "Green Average Subtraction in Image Data." It issued on December 13, 2016.
- 21. A true and correct copy of the patent is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-5.

22. The '384 patent discloses, for example, a video camera that can be configured to highly compress video data in a visually lossless manner. The camera can be configured to transform blue, red, and/or green image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The camera can be configured to transform at least a portion of the green image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The data can then be compressed and stored in this form. This allows a user to reconstruct the red, blue, and/or green image data to obtain the original raw data or a modified version of the original raw data that is visually lossless when demosaiced. Additionally, the data can be processed so at least some of the green image elements are demosaiced first, and then the red, blue, and/or some green elements are reconstructed based on values of the demosaiced green image elements.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 9,716,866

- 23. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 9,716,866 (the "'866 patent"), entitled "Green Image Data Processing." It issued on July 25, 2017.
- 24. A true and correct copy of the patent is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-6.
- 25. The '866 patent discloses, for example, a video camera that can be configured to highly compress video data in a visually lossless manner. The camera can be configured to transform blue, red, and/or green image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The camera can be configured to transform at least a portion of the green image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The data can then be compressed and stored in this form. This allows a user to reconstruct the red, blue, and/or green image data to obtain the original raw data or a modified version of the original raw data that is visually lossless when demosaiced. Additionally, the data can be processed so at least some of the green image elements are demosaiced first, and then the red, blue, and/or some green elements are reconstructed based on values

5

 of the demosaiced green image elements.

RED's Inventions Disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 10,582,168

- 26. RED owns by assignment U.S. Patent No. 10,582,168 (the "'168 patent," and collectively with the patents discussed above, the "asserted patents"), entitled "Green Image Data Processing." It issued on March 3, 2020.
- 27. A true and correct copy of the patent is attached hereto as Exhibit RED-PAT-7.
- 28. The '168 patent discloses, for example, a video camera that can be configured to highly compress video data in a visually lossless manner. The camera can be configured to transform blue, red, and/or green image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The camera can be configured to transform at least a portion of the green image data in a manner that enhances the compressibility of the data. The data can then be compressed and stored in this form. This allows a user to reconstruct the red, blue, and/or green image data to obtain the original raw data or a modified version of the original raw data that is visually lossless when demosaiced. Additionally, the data can be processed so at least some of the green image elements are demosaiced first, and then the red, blue, and/or some green elements are reconstructed based on values of the demosaiced green image elements.

Nikon's Products That Practice and/or Embody Those Inventions

- 29. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon makes, uses, imports, offers to sell, and/or sells in the United States, and in this judicial district, cameras under the Nikon brand that infringe each of the asserted patents.
- 30. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringing video cameras (the "accused products"), include, but are not limited to, "Z Series Mirrorless Cameras" such as "Nikon Z 9 with Firmware 2.0."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Nikon Knew About RED's Inventions

- 31. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same marketing and sales channels as RED.
- 32. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon knew about prior disputes involving the asserted patents as well as discussions in the public forum surrounding the patents and RED's assertion of those patents in various actions. For example, given the foregoing and Nikon's significance and history in the camera industry, RED is informed and believed and thereupon alleges that Nikon also knew about RED's prior lawsuits involving one or more of the asserted patents, including at least: Red.com, LLC v. Kinefinity, Inc. (8-21cv-00041 [CDCA]); Red.com, Inc. d/b/a Red Digital Cinema v. Sony Corporation of America et al. (2-16-cv-00937 [EDTX]); Red.com, Inc. d/b/a Red Digital Cinema v. Nokia USA Inc. et al. (8-16-cv-00594 [CDCA]); and Red.com, Inc. v. Sony Corporation of America et al. (3-13-cv-00334 [SDCA]). RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon also knew about the asserted patents due to RED's patent notice on its products, packaging, website (www.red.com/patent). Independent of this prior knowledge, Nikon has known of the asserted patents at least as of the service of this Complaint. Despite this knowledge, Nikon continues to infringe the asserted patents and continues to intend that other using, testing, assembling, distributing, repairing, or otherwise handling the accused products continue to infringe the asserted patents.
- 33. Nikon instructs, teaches, aids, and/or encourages others to use, test, assemble, distribute, repair, or otherwise handle the accused products. For example, it directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center, then teaches them how to record a motion video in the N-RAW Recording Mode. *See generally* Exs. NIKON-INF-1 through NIKON-INF-4; *see also* Exhibit C-5: Exemplary Claim Chart for U.S. Patent No.

9,521,384 (discussing how Nikon markets or aids or instructs users of Z9 video camera through its website and reference manual). Each of these exhibits is incorporated by reference in its entirety as if expressly set forth herein.

COUNT 1: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 Nikon Infringes Claims of the '967 Patent

- 34. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint.
- 35. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '967 patent under § 271.
- 36. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of this patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-1.
- 37. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to induce infringement of the claims of the '967 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '967 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '967 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.

- 38. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '967 patent in violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '967 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '967 patent.
- 39. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '967 patent.
- 40. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '967 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

COUNT 2: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271

Nikon Infringes Claims of the '560 Patent

- 41. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint.
- 42. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '560 patent under § 271.
- 43. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of the '560 patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-2.
- 44. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to induce infringement of the claims of the '560 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '560 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '560 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.
- 45. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '560 patent in violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has

also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '560 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '560 patent.

- 46. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '560 patent.
- 47. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '560 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

COUNT 3: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 Nikon Infringes Claims of the '314 Patent

- 48. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint.
- 49. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '314 patent under § 271.

- 50. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of the '314 patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-3.
- 51. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to induce infringement of the claims of the '314 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '314 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '314 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.
- 52. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '314 patent in violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '314 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '314 patent.

- 53. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '314 patent.
- 54. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '314 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

COUNT 4: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 Nikon Infringes Claims of the '976 Patent

- 55. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint.
- 56. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '976 patent under § 271.
- 57. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of the '976 patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine

of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-4.

- 58. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to induce infringement of the claims of the '976 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '976 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '976 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.
- 59. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '976 patent in violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '976 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '976 patent.
- 60. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '976 patent.

61. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '976 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

COUNT 5: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 Nikon Infringes Claims of the '384 Patent

- 62. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint.
- 63. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '384 patent under § 271.
- 64. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of this patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-5.
- 65. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to induce infringement of the claims of the '384 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a

manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '384 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '384 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.

- 66. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '384 patent in violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '384 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '384 patent.
- 67. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '384 patent.
- 68. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '384 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's

willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

COUNT 6: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 Nikon Infringes Claims of the '866 Patent

- 69. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint.
- 70. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '866 patent under § 271.
- 71. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of this patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-6.
- 72. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '866 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '866 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '866 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.
- 73. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe the claims of the '866 patent in

violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '866 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '866 patent.

- 74. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '866 patent.
- 75. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '866 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

COUNT 7: PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 Nikon Infringes Claims of the '168 Patent

76. RED repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint.

5

77. Nikon directly and/or indirectly infringes claims of the '168 patent under § 271.

- 78. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to infringe literally or under the doctrine of equivalents claims of this patent in violation of § 271(a). Nikon and its authorized agents make or manufacture, use or test or service, offer to sell, or sell within the United States or import into the United States the accused products. For example, RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the accused products practice directly or indirectly and literally or under the doctrine of equivalents at least the claim or claims charted in Exhibit C-7.
- 79. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past and is continuing to contribute to the infringement of the claims of the '168 patent in violation of § 271(b). Nikon has known of the patent and/or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence and has instructed, taught, aided, and/or encouraged users of the accused products to use or operate the products in a manner that directly (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringes the '168 patent. RED is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Nikon intended its end-users to infringe the '168 patent, as shown at least by Nikon encouraging directs users of its Z9 cameras to download Z9-related manuals from Nikon's Download Center and use the cameras in an infringing manner.
- 80. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon has in the past infringed and is continuing to infringe the claims of the '168 patent in violation of § 271(c). Upon information and belief, Nikon has known of the patent or showed willful blindness to the patent's existence. It has also known or shown willful blindness toward the direct (literally or under the doctrine of equivalents) infringement of others. The accused products constitute a material part of the patented inventions of the '168 patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Nikon has known or

shown willful blindness to the accused product having been especially made or adapted for use in infringing claims of the '168 patent.

- 81. Nikon and RED are direct competitors in the video camera market. Nikon sells its accused products in the same channels as RED. Upon information and belief, Nikon's unauthorized, infringing sales are likely to cause irreparable harm to RED, which cannot be adequately compensated by money damages. RED therefore seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Nikon from infringing the claims of the '168 patent.
- 82. RED is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Nikon's infringement of the claims of the '168 patent has injured RED in at least the following areas: lost sales and profits, reduced business, and injury to its general reputation and industry standing. Damages to RED are not yet fully quantified or measured and may not be ascertained without a proper accounting of Nikon's sales and profits arising from its infringement. RED is also entitled to an increase of damages up to three times the amount found or assessed at least due to Nikon's willful and deliberate infringement. RED is also entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees because Nikon's infringement presents an exceptional case.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, RED prays for judgment in its favor and against Nikon, including, but not limited to, granting the following requested relief:

- A. An order adjudging Nikon to have:
 - i directly infringed the '967 patent;
 - ii induced the direct infringement of the '967 patent by others; and
 - iii contributed to the direct infringement of the '967 patent by others.
- B. An order adjudging the '967 patent to be valid and enforceable;
- C. An order adjudging Nikon to have:

I		
1		i directly infringed the '560 patent;
2		ii induced the direct infringement of the '560 patent by others;
3		and
4		iii contributed to the direct infringement of the '560 patent by
5		others.
6	D.	An order adjudging the '560 patent to be valid and enforceable;
7	E.	An order adjudging Nikon to have:
8		i directly infringed the '314 patent;
9		ii induced the direct infringement of the '314 patent by others;
10		and
11		iii contributed to the direct infringement of the '314 patent by
12		others.
13	F.	An order adjudging the '314 patent to be valid and enforceable;
14	G.	An order adjudging Nikon to have:
15		i directly infringed the '976 patent;
16		ii induced the direct infringement of the '976 patent by others;
17		and
18		iii contributed to the direct infringement of the '976 patent by
19		others.
20	H.	An order adjudging the '976 patent to be valid and enforceable;
21	I.	An order adjudging Nikon to have:
22		i directly infringed the '384 patent;
23		ii induced the direct infringement of the '384 patent by others;
24		and
25		iii contributed to the direct infringement of the '384 patent by
26		others.
27	J.	An order adjudging the '384 patent to be valid and enforceable;
28	K.	An order adjudging Nikon to have:

1		i	directly infringed the '866 patent;
2		ii	induced the direct infringement of the '866 patent by others;
3			and
4		iii	contributed to the direct infringement of the '866 patent by
5			others.
6	L.	An or	der adjudging the '866 patent to be valid and enforceable;
7	M.	An or	der adjudging Nikon to have:
8		iv	directly infringed the '168 patent;
9		V	induced the direct infringement of the '168 patent by others;
10			and
11		vi	contributed to the direct infringement of the '168 patent by
12			others.
13	N.	An or	der adjudging the '168 patent to be valid and enforceable;
14	O.	For an	n injunction enjoining Nikon, as well as their officers, agents,
15	servants,		
16	employees,	attorne	eys, and those persons in active concert or participation with
17	Nikon, fron	n infrin	ging the asserted patents;
18	P.	An ac	ecounting of Nikon's gains, profits, and advantages derived
19	from its infringement of the asserted patents, and an order that Nikon pay RE		
20	actual dama	ages in t	the form of lost profits or alternatively other damages adequate
21	to compens	ate REI	O for losses arising from Nikon's infringement, but in no event
22	less than a 1	reasona	ble royalty for Nikon's use of RED's patented inventions.
23	Q.	An or	der adjudging that for each of the asserted patents, Nikon's
24	infringeme	nt has b	een willful and deliberate;
25	R.	An or	der adjudging that this case is exceptional and ordering Nikon
26	to pay to R	ED reas	sonable attorney fees it has incurred in this action.

An order trebling the damages and/or awarding exemplary damages.

An order awarding reasonable costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees.

27

28

S.

T.

1	U. An order awardi	ng pre-judgment and post-judgement interest.
2	V. An order awardi	ng any other relief that the Court may deem just and
3	proper.	
4		Respectfully submitted,
5		WEEKS NELSON
6		
7	Dated: May 25, 2022	By: /s/ Gregory K. Nelson
8		Gregory K. Nelson Attorney for Plaintiff Red.com, LLC
9		Attorney for Framitin Red.com, LLC
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL RED.com LLC hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable. Respectfully submitted, WEEKS NELSON Dated: May 25, 2022 By:/s/Gregory K. Nelson Gregory K. Nelson Attorney for Plaintiff Red.com, LLC

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

	Exhibit	Description
1.	RED-PAT-1	U.S. Patent No. 7,830,967
2.	RED-PAT-2	U.S. Patent No. 8,174,560 C1
3.	RED-PAT-3	U.S. Patent No. 9,245,314
4.	RED-PAT-4	U.S. Patent No. 9,436,976
5.	RED-PAT-5	U.S. Patent No. 9,521,384
6.	RED-PAT-6	U.S. Patent No. 9,716,866
7.	RED-PAT-7	U.S. Patent No. 10,582,168
8.	Ex. C-1	Claim Chart for the '967 Patent
9.	Ex. C-2	Claim Chart for the '560 Patent
10.	Ex. C-3	Claim Chart for the '314 Patent
11.	Ex. C-4	Claim Chart for the '976 Patent
12.	Ex. C-5	Claim Chart for the '384 Patent
13.	Ex. C-6	Claim Chart for the '866 Patent
14.	Ex. C-7	Claim Chart for the '168 Patent
15.	NIKON- INF-1	Z9 User Manual
16.	NIKON- INF-2	Z9 Reference Guide with Firmware Version 2.0
17.	NIKON- INF-3	Z9 Reference Guide
18.	NIKON- INF-4	Z9 Bayer CFA Pattern Compression