	Case 1:20-cv-01452-DAD-JLT Document 3	31 Filed 10/21/20 Page 1 of 2
1	Mark D. Selwyn (SBN 244180)	
2	Mark.Selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	
3	HALE AND DORR LLP 2600 El Camino Real,	
4	Suite 400	
5	Palo Alto, California 94306 Telephone: (650) 858-6031	
6	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100	
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FRESNO DIVISION	
11	UNITED FARM WORKERS and UFW FOUNDATION,	Case No. 1:20-CV-01452-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO
13	v.	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE (ECF 30)
14 15	SONNY PERDUE, WILLIAM NORTHEY, and THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Defendents' "Netice" enly undersource the	menoritor as for this Court to order the U.S.
19	Defendants' "Notice" only underscores the importance for this Court to order the U.S.	
20	Department of Agriculture (USDA) to conduct the Farm Labor Survey (FLS), as it has done for more than a century. That the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) has transferred a draft final rule to	
21	the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) in no way assures that an adequate	
22	replacement methodology for calculating the Adverse Effect Wage Rates (AEWRs) will be	
23		
24	promulgated and effective in the short remaining time before DOL must promulgate AEWRs for	
25	2021. The only way to assure that the DOL can promulgate AEWRs for 2021 is to require the	
26	USDA to continue with its practice of conducting the FLS and publishing the Farm Labor Report	
27	(FLR).	
28		
	I	

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## Case 1:20-cv-01452-DAD-JLT Document 31 Filed 10/21/20 Page 2 of 2

DOL's transfer of this draft final rule to OIRA—more than two months after the final rule's promulgation was planned<sup>1</sup>—confirms that the timing of any replacement regulation for the determination of 2021 AEWRs remains uncertain.<sup>2</sup> OIRA has as many as 90 days to review the rule under Executive Order 12866; the OMB director can extend that period another 30 days, and the Secretary of Labor could extend OIRA review indefinitely. *See* Exec. Order No. 12,866, 58 Fed. Reg. 51735 § 6(b)(2) (Oct. 4, 1993).

Nor does DOL's transfer of a draft final rule to OIRA alleviate the irreparable injury that hundreds of thousands of farmworkers will suffer absent a temporary restraining order. Under current law, DOL cannot set the 2021 AEWRs unless USDA timely conducts the FLS and publishes the November FLR. *See* 20 C.F.R. § 655.103. Defendants admitted as much in their brief, *see* ECF 27 at 10-11; in the Declaration of Brian D. Pasternak, *see* ECF 27-1 at ¶ 7; and at oral argument. Therefore, if no replacement regulation has become effective and USDA has neither conducted the FLS nor published the November FLR, hundreds of thousands of farmworkers will lose the AEWRs' legal protection and suffer severe economic hardship.

Dated: October 21, 2020

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Mark D. Selwyn</u> MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400 Palo Alto, California 94306 Telephone: (650) 858-6031 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<sup>1</sup> According to DOL's official rulemaking timetable, the final rule was expected at the beginning of August 2020. *See* Temporary Agricultural Employment of H-2A Nonimmigrants in the United States, regulations.gov (last visited Oct. 21, 2020), https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=ETA-2019-0007.

<sup>2</sup> Even if a final rule were promulgated in time to set 2021 AEWRs, that rule will likely be subject to judicial challenge, as the Government acknowledged at oral argument. Indeed, regulations affecting the H-2A program have a long history of drawing judicial challenges from both farmworkers and employers, so even a hypothetical final rule favorable to farmworkers would not be insulated from further delay. *See, e.g., Shoreham Co-op. Apple Producers v. Donovan*, 764 F.2d 135, 137-138 (2d Cir. 1985); *Virginia Agr. Growers Ass'n, Inc. v. Donovan*, 774 F.2d 89 (4th Cir. 1985); *N. Carolina Growers' Ass'n, Inc. v. Solis*, 644 F. Supp. 2d 664, 667 (M.D.N.C. 2009); *United Farm Workers*

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.