Case 1:20-cv-01690-DAD-JLT Document 37 Filed 12/23/20 Page 1 of 39 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED FARM WORKERS, et al., No. 1:20-cv-01690-DAD-JLT 12 Plaintiffs. 13 v. ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 14 THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, et al., (Doc. No. 5) 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 This matter came before the court on December 14, 2020 for hearing on the motion for a preliminary injunction on behalf of plaintiffs United Farm Workers and UFW Foundation 19 20 (collectively, "plaintiffs"). (Doc. No. 5.) Attorneys Mark Selwyn, Derek Woodman, Nicholas 21 Werle, Bruce Goldstein, Trent Taylor, and Gabriela Hybel appeared by video for plaintiffs, and United States Department of Justice Trial Attorney Michael Gaffney appeared by video for 22 defendants the United States Department of Labor ("DOL") and Eugene Scalia, the Secretary of 23 Labor (collectively, "defendants"). For the reasons explained below, the court will grant 24 plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. 25 **BACKGROUND** 26 In their complaint, plaintiffs allege the following. Of the two to three million 27



28

farmworkers currently in the United States, over 200,000 are H-2A foreign guestworkers. (Doc.

Case 1:20-cv-01690-DAD-JLT Document 37 Filed 12/23/20 Page 2 of 39

No. 1 ("Compl.") at ¶ 24.) The H-2A agricultural guestworker program permits agricultural
employers to hire foreign workers on a temporary basis under certain circumstances. (Id. at ¶ 17.)
The H-2A program is rooted in the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 ("INA"), which
created a broad class of non-immigrant "H" visas for temporary admission of foreign workers to
provide temporary or seasonal labor in sectors of the economy where there are shortages of U.S.
workers. (Id. at \P 32.) The INA was later amended to establish the separate H-2A visa
classification for agricultural labor. (Id.) As amended, the INA prohibits the United States
Department of Homeland Security from issuing an H-2A visa unless the employer seeking to hire
foreign guestworkers has applied for and received a certification from the DOL that: (a) "there
are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, and qualified" and available to perform the
sought for services, and (b) the foreign workers' temporary employment "will not adversely
affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed."
(Id. at \P 32.) This certification requirement furthers the INA's purpose of protecting U.S. workers
from the potential adverse effects of an influx of guestworkers in that certification prohibits
agricultural employers from hiring foreign guestworkers unless they have shown that the U.S.
labor market cannot supply the required workers, and then requires that this supplemental, foreign
labor supply not harm U.S. farmworkers' wages and working conditions. (Id. at \P 33.)

To prevent adverse effects on U.S. workers, the DOL's regulations require that employers utilizing the H-2A program pay a wage that is the highest of either: (1) the Adverse Effect Wage Rate ("AEWR"), (2) the prevailing wage rate, (3) an agreed-upon collective bargaining wage, or (4) the federal or state minimum wage. (*Id.* at ¶ 35.) Under those regulations, the DOL relies primarily on a two-pronged approach based on the AEWR and prevailing wage rate to guard against wage depression that would otherwise result from the hiring of high numbers of foreign agricultural workers. (*Id.*) The prevailing wage rate protects local wages paid, while the AEWR sets a state-wide wage floor to prevent wage disparities over larger geographic areas. (*Id.* at ¶ 36.) The AEWR, however, is the primary wage rate under the H-2A program because it is higher than the other wages in most circumstances. (*Id.*) As a result, the AEWR determines the



Case 1:20-cv-01690-DAD-JLT Document 37 Filed 12/23/20 Page 3 of 39

wages of approximately 92 percent of the farmworkers working for H-2A program employers. (*Id.*)

Prior to December 21, 2020, the DOL's regulations required the DOL to use the United States Department of Agriculture's ("USDA") Agricultural Labor Survey, commonly referred to as the Farm Labor Survey ("FLS"), in order to calculate the AEWR. (*Id.* at ¶ 37.) The USDA has conducted the FLS since 1910. (*Id.* at ¶ 52.) The FLS collects information from farm employers to obtain data on farm employment, hours worked, wages paid, and other statistics. (*Id.*) The National Agricultural Statistics Service ("NASS")—the USDA's statistical branch—publishes FLS data semiannually in May and November in the Farm Labor Report ("FLR"). The May report includes employment and wage estimates based on January and April reference weeks, and the November report includes estimates based on July and October reference weeks. (*Id.* at ¶ 53.) The November report also provides annual data based on quarterly estimates. (*Id.*)

Aside from a brief two-year period starting in 2008, the DOL's regulations required it to use the FLS to calculate the AEWR for the H-2A program since the program's inception in 1986. (*Id.* at ¶ 37.) The DOL had also used FLS data for the H-2A's predecessor program since 1953. (*Id.*) Because of the DOL's longstanding reliance on the FLS, the USDA has conducted the FLS in cooperation with the DOL, and the DOL has funded the FLS since July 2011 pursuant to a memorandum of understanding between the two agencies. (*Id.*) Indeed, in a December 2019 memorandum of understanding between the DOL and the USDA, the DOL agreed to continue funding the FLS through December 31, 2022. (*Id.* at ¶ 56.)

Under the DOL's prior regulations, which were adopted in 2010, the DOL sets an AEWR for each state or multi-state region using "[t]he annual weighted average hourly wage for field and livestock workers (combined) . . . as published annually by the U.S. Department of Agriculture . . . based on its quarterly wage survey," the FLS. (*Id.* at ¶ 38); *see also Temporary Agricultural Employment of H-2A Aliens in the United States*, 75 Fed. Reg. 6,884 (Feb. 12, 2010) ("the 2010 Rule"). That 2010 Rule explained that the AEWR seeks to approximate the market wages that would exist absent an influx of foreign workers, thus "put[ting] incumbent farm workers in the position they would have been in but for the H-2A program." (Compl. at ¶ 39.)



Case 1:20-cv-01690-DAD-JLT Document 37 Filed 12/23/20 Page 4 of 39

The DOL elucidated that the AEWR was premised on the idea that "an increase of workers under the H-2A program" would prevent wages from "increas[ing] by an amount sufficient to attract more [U.S.] workers until supply and demand were met in equilibrium." (*Id.*) In other words, "the AEWR avoids adverse effects on currently employed workers by preventing wages from stagnating at the local prevailing wage rate when they would have otherwise risen to a higher equilibrium level over time." (*Id.*) The DOL has recognized that without the protections afforded by AEWRs set at regional or state-wide market rates, farmworkers "would be adversely affected by lowered wages as a result of an influx of temporary foreign farm workers." (*Id.*)

In adopting the 2010 Rule, the DOL also concluded that the FLS was the best available data source for establishing AEWRs. (*Id.* at ¶ 40.) The DOL explained at that time that "[t]he FLS is the only annually available data source that actually uses information sourced directly from [farm employers]," which "is a strong advantage of the FLS as the AEWR data source compared to all other alternatives." (*Id.*) Additionally, the FLS's "broader geographic scope makes the FLS more consistent with both the nature of agricultural employment and the statutory intent of the H-2A program." (*Id.*) In short, FLS data was best suited to protect against adverse effects because it allowed the DOL to establish AEWRs at regional market rates. (*Id.*)

Conversely, the DOL recognized that using data other than the FLS to calculate AEWRs—in that case, the DOL's Occupational Employment Statistics ("OES") survey data—"entails a significant risk that U.S. workers may in the future experience wage depression as a result of unchecked expansion of the demand for foreign workers." (*Id.*)

On July 26, 2019, the DOL published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") proposing to continue its reliance on FLS data to establish AEWRs under the H-2A program. (*Id.* at ¶ 43); *see also Temporary Agricultural Employment of H-2A Nonimmigrants in the United States*, 84 Fed. Reg. 36,168 (July 26, 2019) ("the NPRM"). Specifically, the NPRM proposed to establish separate AEWRs for distinct agricultural occupations within each state or region relying on FLS data. (*Id.* at ¶ 44.) If the FLS did not report a wage for a specific occupation in a given state or region, the AEWR would instead be based on OES data. (*Id.*) Further, if OES data did not include a statewide annual average hourly wage for a standard occupational classification,



Case 1:20-cv-01690-DAD-JLT Document 37 Filed 12/23/20 Page 5 of 39

then the AEWR would be based on the national wage for that occupational classification as determined by the FLS or OES. (*Id.*)

However, on September 30, 2020, the USDA abruptly announced that it had suspended data collection for the October 2020 FLS and canceled the November 2020 publication of the biannual FLR. (Id. at ¶ 55); see also Notice of Revision to the Agricultural Labor Survey and Farm Labor Reports by Suspending Data Collection for October 2020, 85 Fed. Reg. 61,719 (Sept. 30, 2020) ("FLS Suspension Notice"). On October 13, 2020, plaintiffs sued the USDA seeking a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction preventing the USDA from implementing the FLS Suspension Notice. (Compl. at ¶ 57); see also United Farm Workers v. Perdue, No. 1:20-cv-1452-DAD-JLT, 2020 WL 6318432 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 28, 2020). In that case, plaintiffs argued that the USDA's decision was arbitrary and capricious—largely because it failed to consider the DOL's reliance on the FLS data—and that it failed to comply with the APA's notice-and-comment requirements. (Compl. at ¶ 57.) Plaintiffs also argued that H-2A foreign guestworkers and U.S. farmworkers would be irreparably harmed if FLS data from 2020 and the November 2020 FLR were not available for the DOL to use in establishing the 2021 AEWRs. (*Id.*) On October 28, 2020, this court granted plaintiffs' motion and enjoined the USDA from canceling the October 2020 FLS and ceasing publication of the November 2020 FLR. (Id. at ¶ 58); see also Perdue, 2020 WL 6318432.

On November 5, 2020, the DOL published a final rule in the Federal Register announcing changes to its methodology for setting AEWRs under the H-2A program. (Compl. at ¶ 4); see also Adverse Effect Wage Rate Methodology for the Temporary Employment of H-2A Nonimmigrants in Non-Range Occupations in the United States, 85 Fed. Reg. 70,445 (Nov. 5, 2020) ("the Final Rule"). The Final Rule became effective on December 21, 2020. (Compl. at ¶ 4.) In that Final Rule, the DOL elected to freeze the current 2020 AEWRs for two years. (Id. at ¶ 61.) The 2020 AEWRs are based on FLS data reflecting what farmworkers were paid in 2019. (Id.) The Final Rule maintains these 2019 wage rates as the AEWRs for most agricultural jobs in 2021 and 2022. (Id.) Then, beginning in 2023, the DOL would adjust the AEWRs annually using the Employment Cost Index ("ECI")—an index that measures the change in the cost of



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

