

1 Thomas E. Campagne, #065375  
Kari L. Ley, #142899  
2 Campagne & Campagne  
A Professional Corporation  
3 Airport Office Center  
1685 North Helm Avenue  
4 Fresno, California 93727  
Telephone: (559) 255-1637  
5 Facsimile: (559) 252-9617  
Email: cc@campagnelaw.com

6 Attorneys for Bee Sweet Citrus, Inc.

7  
8 UNITES STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 FRESNO DIVISION

11 RAFAEL MARQUEZ AMARO, JAVIER  
BARRERA, on behalf of themselves and  
12 others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 BEE SWEET CITRUS, INC.; and DOES 1  
through 10, inclusive,

16 Defendants.

17 BEE SWEET CITRUS, INC.,

18 Cross-Complainant,

19 vs.

20 RAFAEL MARQUEZ AMARO, JAVIER  
BARRERA,, on behalf of themselves and  
21 others similarly situated,

22 Cross-Defendants.  
23

) Case No. 1:21-CV-00382-JLT-EPG]  
) [Assigned for All Purposes To Honorable  
) U.S. District Court Judge: JLT.]  
)

) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
) AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF  
) DEFENDANT BEE SWEET'S MOTION  
) FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS  
) PURSUANT TO FRCP 12(c) AND/OR IN  
) THE ALTERNATIVE TOTAL OR  
) PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
) PURSUANT TO FRCP 56(a) FOR  
) FAILURE TO MEET FEDERAL  
) PLEADING STANDARDS

) **Hearing Date: October 7, 2022**  
) **Hearing Time: 9:00 AM<sup>1</sup>**  
) **Hearing Dept: 4**  
)

) **Trial Date: Not Yet Set**  
)

) (Complaint filed 3/11/2021)  
) (Answer filed 8/31/22)  
) (Counterclaim filed 8/31/22)  
)

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Hon. Judge Thurston's 1/25/2022 Standing Order, oral arguments may not actually occur  
26 because the matter is submitted on the briefing pursuant to L.R. 230(g), except in the event the Court  
27 determines a hearing would be helpful, then the Court will hold the hearing on the scheduled hearing  
28 date or reschedule the hearing date in accordance with the Court's availability. This hearing date serves  
only to set the deadlines for opposition and reply briefs. [1/25/2022 Standing Order, Page 1, Lines 22-  
27.]

**Table of Contents**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....1**

**II. LEGAL AUTHORITY. ....3**

A. Alternative Motions and Partial Motions Are Allowed: FRCP 12(c) Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and/or FRCP 56 Motion for Summary Judgment..... 3

B. The Standard Of Review Of A Partial or Total Motion For Judgment on the Pleadings Pursuant to FRCP 12(c).....4

C. The Standard Of Review For A Total Or Partial Summary Judgment Motion Pursuant To FRCP 56(c) . ....5

D. Federal Pleading Standards. ....7

**III. LEGAL ANALYSIS.....8**

A. The First Cause Of Action For Violation of The Migrant And Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act Fails To State A Claim For Relief. .... 8

B. The Second Cause of Action For Alleged Violation Of Industrial Welfare Commission Order No. 14 and Labor Code Sections 510, 860, 1194 and 1199 For Alleged Failure To Pay Wages Fails To State A Claim For Relief. ....9

C. The Third Cause Of Action Claiming Failure to Provide Rest Periods Fails To State A Claim for Relief. .... 12

D. The Fourth Cause of Action Seeking Reimbursement of Business Expenses Fails To State A Claim For Relief..... 13

E. The Fifth Cause of Action For Alleged Wrongful Itemized Statements Fails To State A Claim For Relief. .... 15

F. The Sixth Cause of Action For Waiting Time Penalties Fails To State A Claim For Relief..... 19

G. The Seventh Cause of Action For Business & Professions Code Section 17200 (UCL) Fails To State A Claim. ....20

**IV. CONCLUSION.....22**

**Table of Authorities**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Cases**

*Abromson v. American Pacific Corp.*,  
114 F.3d 898 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir., 1997) ..... 5

*American Nurses Ass’n v. Illinois*,  
(7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986) 783 F.2d 716 ..... 6

*Anderson v. Liberty Lobby Inc.*,  
(1986) 447 U.S. 242..... 7

*Angeles v. U.S. Airways, Inc.*,  
2013 WL 622032 (N.D. cal. Feb. 19, 2013) ..... 18

*Ascon Props., Inc. v. Mobil Oil Co.*,  
866 F.2d 1149 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989) ..... 5

*Ashcroft v. Iqbal*,  
556 U.S. 662 (2009)..... 2, 7

*Avalos v. Amazon.com LLC*,  
2018 WL 3917970 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 2018)..... 10

*Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*,  
550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... 2, 5, 7

*British Airways Board v. Boeing Co.*,  
(9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1978) 585 F.2d 946 ..... 6

*Brown v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,  
2013 WL 1701581 (N.D. Cal. April 18, 2013)..... 18, 19

*Bush*,  
2018 WL 2014807 ..... 21

*Byrd v. Masonite Corp.*,  
2016 WL 756523, (C.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2016) ..... 8

*Calderone v. United States*,  
(6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986) 799 F.2d 254 ..... 6

*Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*  
(1986) 477 U.S. 317..... 5, 6

*Chavez v. RSCR California, Inc.*,  
2019 WL 1367812 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2019)..... 10, 20

*Chavez v. United States*,  
683 F.3d 1102 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012)..... 4

*Christie v. Tuesday Morning, Inc.*,  
2015 WL 12781053 (C.D. Cal. 2015) ..... 10

1	<u>Clark v. Lakewood,</u> 259 F.3d 996 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir., 2001) .....	22
2	<u>Clark v. Sup. Ct.,</u> 50 Cal.4 <sup>th</sup> 605 (2010) .....	21
3		
4	<u>Cornelius v. L.A. Cnty. Etc. Auth.,</u> 49 Cal.App.4 <sup>th</sup> 1761 (1996) .....	22
5	<u>Dawson v. HITCO Carbon Composites, Inc.</u> 2017 WL 7806618 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2017) .....	10, 18, 19
6		
7	<u>Delaterre v. American Red Cross,</u> 2013 WL 5573101 (C.D. Cal., Oct. 9, 2013).....	19
8	<u>Diaz v. Old Dominion Freight Line, Inc.,</u> C.D. Cal., Case No. 18-2262-DSF (SHKx) (August 26, 2019).....	21
9		
10	<u>Ellis v. Costco Wholesale Corp.,</u> 657 F.3d 970 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir., 2001) .....	22
11	<u>Fajardo v. County of L.A.,</u> 179 F.3d 698 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999) .....	4
12		
13	<u>Farm Credit Svcs. v. Am. State Bank,</u> 339 F.3d 764 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003) .....	7
14	<u>Guerrero v. Haliburton Energy Services,</u> 2016 WL 6494296 .....	18, 20, 21
15		
16	<u>Heliotrope Gen., Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.,</u> 189 F.3d 971 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999) .....	4
17	<u>In re Daou Sys., Inc.,</u> 411 F.3d 1006 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005) .....	5
18		
19	<u>Intri-Plex Techs. V. Crest Grp.,</u> 499 F3d 1048 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007) .....	5
20	<u>Jue v. Costco Wholesale Corp.,</u> 2020 WL 889284, (N.D. Cal. March 11, 2010).....	8
21		
22	<u>Kirby v. Immoos Fire Protection, Inc.,</u> 53 Cal.4 <sup>th</sup> at 1244 .....	21
23	<u>Landers v. Quality Communications, Inc.</u> 771 F.3d 638 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2015) .....	9, 13
24		
25	<u>Lonberg v. City of Riverside,</u> 300 F. Supp.2d 942 (C.D. Cal. 2004) .....	4
26	<u>Lopez,</u> 2015 WL 4504691 .....	20
27		
28		

1 Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.,  
 (1986) 475 U.S. 547 ..... 7

2 McMillian v. Overton Sec. Servs., Inc.,  
 2017 WL 4150906 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 19, 2017) ..... 11

3

4 Mendiondo v. Centinela Hosp. Med. Ctr.,  
 521 F.3d 1097 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008). ..... 4

5 Ortega v. Watkins & Shepard Trucking, Inc.,  
 2019 WL 2871161 (C.D. Cal. 2019) ..... 10, 22

6

7 Ortiz v. Sodexho Operations, LLC,  
 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 145170; ..... 8, 11, 13, 14

8 Pac. W. Grp. V. Real Time Sols.,  
 Inc., 321 Fed.App'x 566 (9th Cir. 2008) ..... 4

9

10 Parsittie v. Schneider Logistics,  
 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80193 ..... 16

11 Parson v. Golden State FC, LLC,  
 2016 WL 1734010 (N.D. Cal., May 2, 2016) ..... 22

12

13 Ramirez v. Manpower Inc.,  
 2014 WL 2116531 (N.D. Cal., Jan. 13, 2014) ..... 18

14 Ritenour v. Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC,  
 228 F.Supp.3d 1025 (C.D. Cal., 2017) ..... 20

15

16 Sanchez v. Aero Group Retail Holdings, Inc.,  
 2013 WL 1942166 (N.D. Cal., May 8, 2013) ..... 19

17 Sanders v. Old Dominion Freight Line, Inc.,  
 2018 WL 6321631 (C.D. Cal., Sept. 13, 2018) ..... 21

18

19 Schneider v. TRW, Inc.,  
 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991) 938 F.2d 986 ..... 6

20 Sherman v. Schneider National Carriers, Inc.,  
 2019 WL 3220585 (C.D. Cal. March 6, 2019) ..... 17

21

22 Silva v. U.S. Bancorp,  
 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 152817 ..... 5

23 Soratorio v. Tesoro Refining and Marketing Co. LLC,  
 2017 WL 1520416 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 26, 2017) ..... 9, 18, 20, 21

24

25 Soto v. Motel 6 Operating, L.P.,  
 4 Cal.App.5<sup>th</sup> 385 (2016) ..... 17

26 Suarez v. Bank of America,  
 2018 WL 2431473 (N.D. Cal. May 30, 2018) ..... 18

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.