		Case 2:21-at-01152 Document 1 Fi	led 12/07/21 Page 1 of 12	
DOWNEY BRAND LLP	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	DOWNEY BRAND LLP CASSANDRA M. FERRANNINI (Bar No. 2042 cferrannini@downeybrand.com SANDRA L. SAVA (Bar No. 117415) ssava@downeybrand.com 621 Capitol Mall, 18 th Floor Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: 916.444.1000 Facsimile: 916.444.2100 Attorneys for Petitioners/Moving Parties, FRESH PAK PRODUCE, INC., SL ONE GLOBAL, INC., SMF GLOBAL, INC., NARI TRADING, INC., UNI FOODS, INC., and SEAN LOLOEE UNITED STATES	277)	
	A	R M Find authenticated court documents wit	hout watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .	

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2 The Wage and Hour Division ("WHD") of the United States Department of Labor is used to 3 riding roughshod over employers. It is much easier when the employer is small and an easy target. 4 But, there comes a time when enough is enough. Even a government agency's abuse must end. 5 Now is that time. The WHD initiated back-to-back investigations of a local supermarket chain after its principal, Sean Loloee, announced his candidacy for public office, began campaigning and won 6 7 general and runoff elections for the Sacramento City Council. The first investigation did not occur 8 through open, diligent and well-intentioned hard work on the part of the WHD. It was prompted by 9 secretive communications between a WHD representative and union organizer who was hell-bent 10 on smearing Loloee and defeating his election. Attacking his businesses was her objective, and she 11 made it WHD's objective too. Not surprisingly, the union organizer knew about the impending 12 investigation before Mr. Loloee.

After the two investigations were completed and reportedly closed, the WHD issued subpoenas to Cathay Bank and Five Star Bank for all banking records of the five supermarkets for a five-year period. To make matters worse, the WHD did not notify the supermarkets of the subpoenas or certify to the banks that it had complied with applicable laws. The reason is simple: the subpoenas are defective, overreaching and unenforceable, and the WHD knows it.

18 The information sought from the banks is not relevant to any legitimate investigation. The 19 WHD simply issued a "canned" subpoena with language that it always uses as a dragnet to obtain 20 as many documents as possible. When all is said and done, the WHD does not really want or need 21 the information sought by the "canned" subpoenas. It already has the financial records because Mr. 22 Loloee previously produced them. No doubt the WHD will submit "canned" declarations, void of 23 any meaningful facts, to justify the subpoenas. If the subpoenas were justifiable, the WHD could 24 and should have provided that justification long before. But, it didn't. It ignored moving parties 25 and their counsel, misrepresented the production date of the Cathay Bank subpoena, and avoided meet and confer efforts. 26

Although Federal Courts, like this one, frequently uphold administrative subpoenas, this case
is an exception and deserves careful consideration. The Right to Financial Privacy Act is at stake

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1

and protects the records of one at least one market. Well-established case law from the United States 1 2 Supreme Court, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and a number of district courts protects the 3 records of all entities from disclosure. At a minimum, this Court should issue a protective order to prevent disclosure of moving parties' banking, financial and business information to third parties. 4

II. BACKGROUND

6 A.

5

The Wage and Hour Investigation

7 Sean Loloee ("Loloee") was born in Iran, immigrated to the Unites States in 1984, and became a citizen in 1985.¹ In an effort to pursue the "American Dream" and become a productive 8 9 member of his community, he began working in the grocery industry and founded a supermarket chain with locations in culturally diverse and underserved areas of Sacramento, Rancho Cordova 10 and Dixon.² The supermarkets do business as Viva Supermarket and are separate legal entities: 11 12 Fresh Pak Produce, LLC ("Fresh Pak"), SL One Global, Inc. ("SL One"), SMF Global, Inc. ("SMF Global"), Nari Trading, Inc. ("Nari"), and Uni Foods, Inc. ("Uni").³ Loloee is the only member of 13 Fresh Pak and the sole shareholder of SL One, SMF Global, Nari and Uni.⁴ 14

15 To further serve his community, Loloee ran for and was elected as a member of the 16 Sacramento City Council on November 3, 2020.⁵ Shortly after the election, on November 12, 2020, Michael H. Ontiveros ("Ontiveros") of the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of 17 18 Labor ("WHD") notified Loloee that it was conducting an investigation into "your compliance with the Families First Coronavirus Response Action" ("FFCRA").⁶ Ontiveros' letter also mentioned 19

20 21 ¹ Declaration of Sean Loloee ("Loloee Decl."), ¶ 2 22 ² Loloee Decl., \P 3 23 ³ Loloee Decl., \P 3 24 ⁴ Loloee Decl., ¶ 3 25 ⁵ Loloee Decl., ¶ 7 26 27 Loloee Decl. 28

⁶ Loloee Decl., ¶ 7; Letter from Michael H. Ontiveros, dated November 12, 2020, Exhibit B to

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:21-at-01152 Document 1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 4 of 12

the FLSA but not prominently or clearly. The letter was addressed to SL One but personally directed
 to Loloee. The investigation is identified as Case No. 1922130.

3 On February 19, 2021, the WHD requested 24 categories of documents, including bank records, for four of the Viva Supermarket locations for the period "November 2, 2017 to Present."⁷ 4 5 Even though the investigation related to SL One, the February 19 letter expressly referred to SL One in the introductory paragraph, and not all locations were part of SL One, Loloee provided responsive 6 information for all locations.⁸ 7 On March 12 and April 2, 2021, Ontiveros confirmed that information had been provided.⁹ 8 At no time did the WHD formally notify Loloee that the 9 investigation had expanded to all entities or to other compliance subjects.¹⁰

The WHD had conducted an earlier investigation of SL One (Case No. 1903788) which 10 covered the period February 2, 2018 to February 12, 2020.¹¹ It "conveniently" commenced after 11 12 Loloee announced his candidacy for the Sacramento City Council and after a local union organizer, 13 who was affiliated with Loloee's opponent, accused him of criminal wrongdoing and attempted to 14 pressure him into unionizing the markets. The union organizer admittedly had been in contact with 15 the WHD a few weeks before the investigation started, spoke with the investigator, later telephoned 16 Loloee and reported to him that "you're getting investigated; you'd better watch out."¹² SL One 17 cooperated in the first investigation, produced requested records, and entered into an agreement in

19

28

18

- 26 ¹¹ Loloee Decl., ¶6; Letter from Veronica Villamor, dated February 11, 2020, Exhibit A to Loloee Decl.
- 27 Loloee Decl., $\P4$

 ²⁰
 ⁷ Loloee Decl., ¶ 8; Letter from Brandon Nuess, dated February 19, 2021, Exhibit C to Loloee Decl.

^{22 &}lt;sup>8</sup> Loloee Decl., $\P 8$

⁹ Loloee Decl., ¶ 8; Letters and email from Michael H. Ontiveros, dated March 12 and April 2,
²⁴

²⁵¹⁰ Loloee Decl., ¶8

DOWNEY BRAND LLP

DOCKET

1	May 2020. ¹³			
2	B. <u>The Subpoenas</u>			
3	Despite Loloee's production of financial information, including bank records, to the WHD			
4	in Case No. 1922130 and previously in Case No. 1903788, the WHD issued subpoenas to Cathay			
5	Bank and Five Star Bank on or about October 18, 2021. ¹⁴ Except for the bank recipient and date of			
6	production, the subpoenas are identical. Compliance was to be made to Brandon Nuess ("Nuess"),			
7	Assistant Director of the WHD in Sacramento. The "Subject Period" is the same: October 23, 2017			
8	to the date of production. All eight definitions are the same. Each defines "Employer" as:			
9 10 11	Fresh Pak Produce, LLC and SMG Global, Inc. (sic), SL One Global, Inc., Uni Foods, Inc., and Nari Trading, Inc., doing business as Viva Supermarket at 4211 Norwood Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95838; 925 North Adams Street, Dixon, CA 95620; 10385 Folsom Blvd., Rancho Cordova, CA 95670; and 3845 Marysville Blvd., Sacramento, CA 95838.			
12	Each contains the same five instructions, and each seeks the same four categories of documents			
13	to be produced:			
14 15 16 17 18 19	 All bank statements and other documents showing transactions for all business accounts of the Employer, to include the following full/partial accounts with account numbers ending in: [account numbers excluded]. In addition to .pdf files of these statements, the statements should be produced electronically in Extensible Markup Language (XML) format. Photocopies of the fronts and backs of all cancelled checks written from or cleared under all business accounts held by the Employer. All signature authorization cards and documents establishing all business accounts held by the employer. All loan or credit applications by the Employer. 			
20	Neither subpoena was served upon Loloee or any other representative of Fresh Pak. No			
21	notice, as required by 12 U.S.C. §3405, was provided to Loloee, Fresh Pak or any of the other			
22	entities. ¹⁵			
23				
24 25	¹³ Loloee Decl., ¶ 6			
26	¹⁴ Loloee Decl., ¶¶ 9-10; Subpoena to Cathay Bank, Exhibit F to Loloee Decl.; Subpoena to Five Star Bank, Exhibit G to Loloee Decl.			
27 28	¹⁵ Loloee Decl., ¶¶9-10			

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.