e de	Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document1190 Filed05/23/12 Page1 of 2					
1 2	E-filing					
3 4 5						
6 7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
8 9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10 11	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA Plaintiff,					
12 13	v. GOOGLE INC.,					
14 15 16	/					
17	YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.					
18 19 20 21	1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes claim 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, or 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?					
22 23	Yes No (Infringing) (Not Proven)					
24	CLAIM 11:					
25 26	CLAIM 29: CLAIM 39:					
27 28	CLAIM 40:					

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

÷.

2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes claim 1 or 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

2	claim 1 or 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?						
3			Yes	No			
4			(Infringing)	(Not Proven)			
5		CLAIM 1:					
· 6		CLAIM 20:					
7							
8	3. Has Oracle proven by clear and convincing evidence that Google willfully						
9	infringed one or more claims of an asserted patent? (Answer this question only if above you $N/\ell$						
10	answered "Yes" to one or more claims of a given patent.)						
11	2		Yes	No			
12			(Willful)	(Not Proven)			
13		FOR THE '104 PATENT:					
14		FOR THE '520 PATENT:					
15					01		
16				-11			
17	Dated: May	<u>23</u> , 2012.	- Eu	FOREPERSON			
18			(	J			
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25 26							
26 27							
27							
20							

2

1