

E-filing

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

## SPECIAL VERDICT FORM



Defendant.  
\_\_\_\_\_

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes claim 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, or 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 11:	_____	_____ ✓
CLAIM 27:	_____	_____ ✓
CLAIM 29:	_____	_____ ✓
CLAIM 39:	_____	_____ ✓
CLAIM 40:	_____	_____ ✓
CLAIM 41:	_____	_____ ✓

2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes claim 1 or 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 1:	_____	_____ 
CLAIM 20:	_____	_____ 

3. Has Oracle proven by clear and convincing evidence that Google willfully infringed one or more claims of an asserted patent? (Answer this question only if above you answered "Yes" to one or more claims of a *given* patent.)

N/A

	Yes (Willful)	No (Not Proven)
FOR THE '104 PATENT:	_____	_____
FOR THE '520 PATENT:	_____	_____

Dated: May 23, 2012.

  
FOREPERSON