	Case 3:15-cv-02077-JD Document 1	Filed 05/08/15 Page 1 of 29
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Patrick J. Perotti (Ohio Bar No. 0005481) Frank A. Bartela (Ohio Bar No. 0088128) DWORKEN & BERNSTEIN Co., L.P.A. 60 South Park Place Painesville, Ohio 44077 Telephone: (440) 352-3391 Facsimile: (440) 352-3469 Email: pperotti@dworkenlaw.com John A. Kithas (California Bar No. 64284) Christopher Land (California Bar No. 64284) Christopher Land (California Bar No. 238261) LAW OFFICES OF JOHN A. KITHAS One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-8100 Facsimile: (415) 788-8001 Email: john@kithas.com chris@kithas.com Attorneys for Plaintiff JAMES P. BRICKMAN 	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
16 17 18	JAMES P. BRICKMAN, individually and as a representative of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:15-cv-2077 COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
19	v. FITBIT, INC.,	
20 21	Defendant.	
22	Now comes Plaintiff James P. Brickman, individually and as a representative of all others	
23	similarly situated, and for his Class Action Complaint states:	
24	INTRODUCTION	
25	This is a class action brought by James P. Brickman ("Plaintiff"), individually and as putative	
26	class representative, against Fitbit, Inc. ("Defendant" and/or "Fitbit"). Defendant sells wearable	
27	wireless-enabled devices that purportedly track exercise and other fitness and physical activity to	
28		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:15-cv-02077-JD Document 1 Filed 05/08/15 Page 2 of 29

measure data such as the number of steps walked, calories burned, and other personal metrics. This lawsuit does not challenge any of those functions. Recently however, Defendant has made specific advertisement claims that for an extra charge, the customer can purchase a device which also contains a "sleep-tracking" function which will track "how long you sleep," "the number of times you woke up," and "the quality of your sleep." In fact, the sleep-tracking function does not and cannot do these things. It does not perform as advertised. Consumers who purchase these products and pay the extra amount for this function do not receive the value of this function for which they paid.

9 By advertising this sleep-tracking function without actually providing this function to its 10 customers, Defendant is violating California law. This lawsuit is to stop this unlawful practice, force the Defendant to return and disgorge its inequitable profits, and recover for customers the 12 overcharges which they paid.

PARTIES

Plaintiff James P. Brickman is an individual and resident of the State of Florida, 1. County of Hernando, City of Spring Hill.

2. Defendant Fitbit, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, headquartered in San Francisco, CA, and registered to do business with the Secretary of State of California. Defendant operates its website and online store (www.fitbit.com) from California, through which Defendant sells its consumer fitness devices. Defendant also sells these devices at national brick-and-mortar retailer stores, including Apple stores, AT&T and Verizon stores, Best Buy, Brookstone, Dick's Sporting Goods, RadioShack, REI, Target, and more (see http://www.fitbit.com/where-to-buy).

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

3. Defendant is a citizen of the State of California and Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Florida.

4. This is a putative class action which involves more than 100 class members and more than \$5,000,000.00 in controversy.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

2

seq.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7.

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the present matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 et

6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) because Defendant has its headquarters in this District.

6b. Intradistrict Assignment: Assignment to the San Francisco Division is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred in this county.

FACTS

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein all previous paragraphs of this Complaint.

8. Defendant markets and sells to consumers, directly and through large retail stores throughout the country, distinctly branded personal fitness-tracking devices. These are called the Fitbit Force, Fitbit Flex, Fitbit One, Fitbit Zip, and Fitbit Ultra; as well as Fitbit's second-generation products, the Fitbit Charge, Fitbit Charge HR, and Fitbit Surge.

9. The basic model of the devices, the Fitbit Zip, does not have the 'sleep-tracking function' and the price for this base-model device does not reflect any extra charge for that function.

10. In contrast, the non-Zip Fitbit devices – the Fitbit Force, Fitbit Flex, Fitbit One, Fitbit Ultra, Fitbit Charge, Fitbit Charge HR, and Fitbit Surge (herein "Fitbit devices") – charge at least an additional \$30 for the 'sleep tracker' function which is not available on the Fitbit Zip. The Fitbit Force, Fitbit Flex, Fitbit One, Fitbit Ultra, Fitbit Charge, Fitbit Charge HR, and Fitbit Surge all claim to "track hours slept," track "times woken up", and track the "quality of sleep" of the wearer. These claims are on Fitbit Inc.'s website (www.fitbit.com) as well as on the actual physical packaging of the device itself.

11. On its always-available website, www.fitbit.com, Fitbit Inc. advertises claims that these sleep-tracking devices will "measure your sleep quality. Once the data syncs, graphs on your (device) dashboard will reveal how long you slept and the number of times you woke up, giving you a 'sleep quality score."

12. Even more, the functional displays of information (see below) present even further detail and specific claims. These images claim to identify exactly what time and for how long the

Case 3:15-cv-02077-JD Document 1 Filed 05/08/15 Page 4 of 29

1

2

3

4

Δ

М

wearer was awake over the course of each night's sleep. These images also include specific numbers for "actual sleep time, X hrs X mins," "bed time XX:XX p.m.," "fell asleep in X minutes," "awakened X times," "sleep efficiency X%," "X minutes awake," "X restless minutes," and how many times the user was awake and/or restless over the course of the wearer's night of sleep.

5	Sleep	
7	Your main sleep pattern asleep awake	
8 9	Actual sleep time 6hrs 22min 1am 2am 3am 4am 5am 6am	
10 11 12	Bed time Fell asleep in Awakened In bed time Sleep efficiency 12:02AM 6min 7 times 6hrs 43min 96%	
13		
14	C Sleep LAST NIGHT 6h 39m asleep	
15	You were awake for 0 mins (0x) and restless for 0 mins (0x)	
16		
17		
18		
19	12PM 2 4 6 8 10 12AM 2 4 6 8 10 12PM	
20	7h 40mm	
	SEP 11 SEP 05 / 1 40M asleep MONDAY (10:41 PM 1 mins awake (1x)	
21	SUNDAY MONDAY	
22	FRIDAY SATURD	
23	THURSDAY FRIDAY	
24	12PM 2 4 6 8 10 12AM 2 4 6 8 10 12PM	
25		
26	SLEEP RESTLESS AWAKE	
	13. These are specific numbers presented to the consumer as exact times and percentages.	
27		
28		

14. However, the Fitbit sleep-tracking technology cannot and does not perform these
 functions as represented.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Research Shows Fitbit Sleep-Tracking Devices "Consistently Misidentify" Sleep¹

15. Polysomnography and actigraphy are the two widely accepted sleep-monitoring technologies used by sleep scientists.

16. Polysomnography is the most accurate of sleep-monitoring technologies. In polysomnography, a patient is hooked up to electrodes which report information back to a technician or doctor who, in real time, monitors the scientific equipment. This method accurately monitors many body functions during sleep, including brain function, eye movements, muscle activity, heart rhythm, and more, to diagnose and/or rule out sleep disorders.

17. Actigraphy, on the other hand, is a less invasive sleep-monitoring technology. The standard method involves attaching an actimetry sensor to the patient to measure gross motor activity. While actigraphy is a scientifically accepted technology, it is widely regarded as less accurate than the polysomnography.

18. The Fitbit sleep-monitoring function available to consumers on the Fitbit devices uses a much cheaper, and much less accurate actigraphy-like technology called an accelerometer (a "3axis accelerometer" according to the device specs) to purportedly track a wearer's movement while they are sleeping.

19. At least one study has shown that the accuracy of the accelerometer in the Fitbit sleep-tracking devices falls far below the accuracy level of the actigraphy, and far below an acceptable standard of accuracy to render it useful in any way for scientific purposes.

22

23

¹ The scientific study referred to in this section is available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21971963.

Additionally, a significant amount of anecdotal evidence suggests that there is a pattern of consumer as well as sleep-scientist dissatisfaction with the Fitbit devices' sleep-tracking function. See http://www.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/2014/aug/22/sleeptrackers-to-boost-health-fitness (last visited October 28, 2014); http://www.livescience.com/42710-fitness-trackers-sleep_monitoringaccuracy.html (last visited October 28, 2014); http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/01/22/fitness-trackers-sleep_n_4637328.html (last visited October 28, 2014); http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2013/03/24/sleep-tracking-devices/2007085/ (last visited October 28, 2014); http://techcrunch.com/2012/11/18/fitbit-one-review-alightly-flawed-but-still-a-great-way-to-quantify-yourself/ (last visited October 28, 2014); http://gizmodo.com/fitbit-force-review-a-health-tracker-youd-actually-ke-1454962288 (last visited October 28, 2014); http://gizmodo.com/5954563/fitbit-one-review-a-great-way-to-monitor-your-wretched-laziness (last visited October 28, 2014).

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.