## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES**

<b>Date:</b> April 19, 2017	<b>Time:</b> 34 minutes	Judge: WILLIAM H. ORRICK
	3:12 p.m. to 3:46 p.m.	
Case No.: <u>16-cv-02787-WHO</u>	Case Name: Huawei Technologies, Co, Ltd v. Samsung	
	Electronics Co, Ltd.	

**Attorneys for Plaintiffs:** Irene I. Yang, Mike Bettinger, and Nathan A. Greenblatt **Attorneys for Defendants:** Kevin P.B. Johnson, Charles K. Verhoeven, and Iman Lordgooei

**Deputy Clerk:** Jean Davis Court Reporter: JoAnn Bryce

## **PROCEEDINGS**

Counsel appear for hearing as to motion by Samsung to amend its infringement contentions. The Court is inclined to grant the motion as defendant has been at least marginally diligent in identifying relevant prior art and amendment will not prejudice plaintiff. Parties discuss the issue of a relevant document which has been withheld by defense from discovery on the basis of privilege. It is clear to the Court that for plaintiff to effectively defend against the alleged conception date, this document will need to be disclosed at some point in the reasonably near future.

Case management issues addressed. The Court is concerned by the scope of the litigation and is interested in possible strategies to allow for a manageable scope of inquiry in approximately a two-week trial. Counsel heard on preferred litigation management strategies. The Court directs that counsel confer further in an attempt to reach agreements which will limit the scope of trial. In two weeks counsel should submit a joint proposal or competing proposals for the Court's consideration.

Defense requests continuance of claim construction hearing (and possibly the tutorial as well). Counsel should confer and submit a stipulation and order for continuance.

