

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)  
 2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com  
 David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)  
 3 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com  
 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
 4 San Francisco, California 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
 5 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

6 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)  
 kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com  
 7 Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)  
 victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com  
 8 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Redwood Shores, California 94065  
 9 Telephone: (650) 801-5000  
 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

10 Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,  
 11 Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and  
 Samsung Research America, Inc.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., et al.,  
 16 Plaintiffs,  
 17 v.  
 18 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,  
 19 Defendants.

CASE NO. 16-cv-02787-WHO

**SAMSUNG'S NOTICE OF MOTION  
 AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
 JUDGMENT**

**Hearing Date: August 8, 2018  
 Time: 2:00 p.m.  
 Place: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor  
 Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick**

21 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. &  
 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
 22 Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,  
 23 v.  
 24 HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.,  
 25 HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI  
 TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC., & HISILICON  
 26 TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.,  
 27 Counterclaim-Defendants.



REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1	
2	
3	INTRODUCTION.....1
4	APPLICABLE LAW.....1
5	ARGUMENT .....2
6	I. THE COURT SHOULD GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-
7	INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY OF THE '239 PATENT .....2
8	A. The '239 Patent and Huawei's Allegations.....2
9	B. The Accused Products Do Not Infringe the "Group Number k" Limitations .....2
10	C. The Asserted Claims Are Either Invalid as Indefinite or Not Infringed .....4
11	1. The Lack of a Clear Antecedent for "The Sequences" in the
12	Asserted Claims Renders Them Invalid as Indefinite .....5
13	2. In the Alternative, the Court Should Grant Summary Judgment of
14	Non-Infringement.....7
15	D. The Asserted Claims in the '239 Patent Are Invalid Under § 101 .....9
16	1. The Court Correctly Found the '239 Patent Claims an Abstract Idea .....11
17	2. The Asserted Claims of the '239 Patent Contain No Inventive Step .....12
18	II. THE COURT SHOULD GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-
19	INFRINGEMENT OF THE '613 PATENT .....14
20	A. The '613 Patent and Huawei's Allegations.....14
21	B. The "Evidence" Put Forth by Huawei Fails to Show that the Accused
22	Products Infringe the Claimed Receiving a Service.....16
23	III. SAMSUNG'S '105 PATENT IS NOT INVALID IN VIEW OF MOTOROLA .....18
24	A. Relevant Factual Background .....18
25	B. The Motorola Draft Does Not Qualify As Prior Art to the '105 Patent.....19
26	IV. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF INEQUITABLE CONDUCT WITH RESPECT
27	TO SAMSUNG'S '105 PATENT.....20
28	V. CONCLUSION .....22

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PageCases

1		
2		
3		
4	<i>Ist Media, LLC v. Elec. Arts, Inc.</i> ,	
5	694 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	21
6	<i>Alice Corp. Pty. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> ,	
7	134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014) .....	10, 11, 12
8	<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> ,	
9	477 U.S. 242 (1986) .....	1
10	<i>Biagro Western Sales, Inc. v. Grow More, Inc.</i> ,	
11	423 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	7
12	<i>Celotext Corp. v. Catrett</i> ,	
13	477 U.S. 317 (1986) .....	1, 9
14	<i>Church &amp; Dwight Co. v. Abbott Labs</i> ,	
15	545 F. Supp.2d 447 (D.N.J. 2008) .....	19
16	<i>In re Costello</i> ,	
17	717 F.2d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....	19
18	<i>Elbit Sys. of Am., LLC v. Thales Visionix, Inc.</i> ,	
19	881 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	17
20	<i>Exigent Technology, Inc. v. Atrana Solutions, Inc.</i> ,	
21	442 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	9
22	<i>Fox Group, Inc. v. Cree, Inc.</i> ,	
23	700 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	19
24	<i>Hutchins v. Zoll Med. Corp.</i> ,	
25	492 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	7
26	<i>Icon Health &amp; Fitness, Inc. v. Strava, Inc.</i> ,	
27	849 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	17
28	<i>Jazz Photo Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> ,	
	264 F.3d 1094 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	7
	<i>Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.</i> ,	
	475 U.S. 574 (1986) .....	1
	<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> ,	
	134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014) .....	5, 7
	<i>Nutrinova Nutrition Specialities &amp; Food Ingredients GmbH v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> ,	
	224 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	7

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1 *Parker v. Flook*,  
 437 U.S. 584 (1978) ..... 10, 12

2

3 *Roberts v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*,  
 665 F. Supp. 671 (N.D. Ill. 1987) ..... 19

4 *Scimed Life Sys. v. Johnson & Johnson*,  
 2001 U.S. Dist. Lexis 12862 (D. Del. 2001) ..... 19

5

6 *SRI Int’l, Inc. v. Internet Sec. Sys., Inc.*,  
 511 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2008) ..... 20

7 *Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson and Co.*,  
 649 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011) ..... 20, 21, 22

8

9 Statutes

10 35 U.S.C. § 101 ..... 9, 10, 12, 13

11 35 U.S.C. § 102 ..... 20

12 35 U.S.C. § 102(g) ..... 19, 20

13 35 U.S.C. § 111(b)(5) ..... 19

14 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2 ..... 4, 5

15 Rules

16 Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) ..... 10

17 Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e) ..... 1

18 Fed. R. Evid. 201(b) ..... 19

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.