| | Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 427 F | Filed 11/01/18 Page 1 of 12 | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) | LLP | | | |
| 2 | charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidnælson@quinnemanuel.com | | | | |
| 4 | davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 | | | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 | | | | |
| 6 | Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) | | | | |
| 7 | kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603) victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com | | | | |
| 8 | 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 | | | | |
| 9 | Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 | | | | |
| 10 11 | Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and | | | | |
| 12 | Samsung Research America, Inc. | | | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 14 | NORTHERN DISTRICT | OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 15 | SAN FRANCISCO | O DIVISION | | | |
| 16 | HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., et al., | CASE NO. 16-cv-02787-WHO | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiffs, | SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE | | | |
| 18 | v. | COURT'S SEPT. 25, 2018 DAUBERT ORDER, OR IN THE | | | |
| 19 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al., | ALTERNATIVE, LEAVE TO SERVE A LIMITED SUPPLEMENTAL | | | |
| 20 | Defendants. | EXPERT REPORT | | | |
| 21 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. & | Hearing Date: December 12, 2018 Time: 2:00 p.m. | | | |
| 22 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. | Place: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick | | | |
| 23 | Counterclaim-Plaintiffs, | | | | |
| 24 | V. | | | | |
| 25 | HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC., & HISILICON | | | | |
| 26 | TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. | | | | |
| 27 | Counterclaim-Defendants. | | | | |
| 28 | | . | | | |

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 427 Filed 11/01/18 Page 2 of 12

TO PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANTS HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO.,
 LTD., HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC., AND
 HISILICON TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

4 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 12, 2018 at 2 pm, or as soon thereafter as the 5 matter may be heard, in the courtroom of the Honorable William H. Orrick, located at 450 Golden 6 Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Samsung 7 Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Research America, Inc. 8 (collectively "Samsung") does and will hereby move for clarification of the Court's September 25, 9 2018 Order on Motions for Summary Judgment, *Daubert* Motions, Motions to Strike and Exclude, 10 Dkt. 418. Specifically, Samsung requests that the Court clarify that by granting-in-part Samsung's 11 Motion to Strike Dr. Jacques deLisle's "Rebuttal Report," it thereby authorized Samsung to serve a 12 limited supplemental expert report rebutting Dr. deLisle's remaining, non-excluded opinions. In 13 the alternative, Samsung requests that the Court issue an Order granting Samsung leave to serve that 14 limited supplemental expert report.

This motion is based on this notice of motion and supporting memorandum of points and
authorities, the supporting declaration of Victoria F. Maroulis, the accompanying exhibits, reply
briefing in further support of this motion and supporting declarations and accompanying exhibits,
as well as other written or oral argument that Samsung may present to the Court.

- 19 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25

26

27

28

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

| | Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO D | ocument 427 Filed 11/01/18 Page 3 of 12 | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | DATED: November 1, 2018 | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 2 | | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & | | |
| 3 | | SULLIVAN, LLP | | |
| 4 | | By/s/ Victoria F. Maroulis | | |
| 5 | | Charles K. Verhoeven Kevin P.B. Johnson | | |
| 6 | | Victoria F. Maroulis Thomas D. Pease | | |
| 7 | | David A. Perlson | | |
| 8 | | Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., | | |
| 9 | | Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Research America, Inc. | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> . | | | | |

| | Cas | 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document | 427 Filed 11/01/18 Page 4 of 12 | |
|----------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | | <u>TABLE</u> | <u>OF CONTENTS</u> | age |
| 3 | I. | INTRODUCTION | | 1 |
| 4 | II. | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | A. The Court Should Clarify that | t Its Order Permits Samsung to Serve a Report | |
| 7 8 | | B. Samsung Should Be Granted Expert Report Because Any D | Leave to Serve a Limited Supplemental Delay Is Harmless and/or Substantially | |
| 9 10 | | Provide Plenty of Time | o Harm Because the New Pre-Trial Deadlines be for a Limited Supplemental Report and a | 4 |
| 11 12 | | 2. Samsung's Submission this Time Is Substantia | n of a Limited Supplemental Expert Report at ally Justified. | 6 |
| 13 | IV. | CONCLUSION | | 7 |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | | s without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> . | |

| | Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 427 Filed 11/01/18 Page 5 of 12 | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| 1 | TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | | | |
| 2 | <u>Page</u> <u>Cases</u> | | | |
| 3 | <i>Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 05-CV-0037 YGR, 2014 WL 4809288 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 2014) | | | |
| 5 | <i>Galentine v. Holland America Line,</i> 333 F. Supp. 2d 991 (W.D. Wash. 2004) | | | |
| 6 7 | Matthew Enter., Inc. v. Chrysler Grp. LLC, No. 13-CV-04236-BLF, 2016 WL 4272430 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2016) | | | |
| 8 | Muscatell v. John Deere Const. & Forestry Co., No. C 08-00361 JW, 2009 WL 837502 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 28, 2009)5, 6 | | | |
| 9 10 | <i>Stonefire Grill, Inc. v. FGF Brands, Inc.,</i> No. CV 11-8292-JGB(PJWx), 2013 WL 12126773 (C.D. Cal. June 27, 2013) | | | |
| 11 Rules and Regulations | | | | |
| 12 | Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) | | | |
| 13 | Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c) 1, 4, 5 | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> . | | | | |

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.