

**THE LAW OFFICE OF JACK FITZGERALD, PC**

JACK FITZGERALD (SBN 257370)

*jack@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com*

TREVOR M. FLYNN (SBN 253362)

*trevor@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com*

MELANIE PERSINGER (SBN 275423)

*melanie@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com*

Hillcrest Professional Building

3636 Fourth Avenue, Suite 202

San Diego, California 92103

Phone: (619) 692-3840

Fax: (619) 362-9555

**JACKSON & FOSTER, LLC**

SIDNEY W. JACKSON, III (*pro hac vice*)

*sid@jacksonfosterlaw.com*

75 St. Michael Street

Mobile, Alabama 36602

Phone: (251) 433-6699

Fax: (251) 433-6127

***Class Counsel***

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DEBBIE KROMMENHOCK and STEPHEN  
HADLEY, on behalf of themselves, all others  
similarly situated, and the general public,

Plaintiffs,

v.

POST FOODS, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cv-04958-WHO

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS  
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick  
Hearing Date: Feb. 24, 2021, 2:00 p.m.  
Location: Courtroom 2

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1

2 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... iii

3

4 NOTICE OF MOTION.....1

5 ISSUES TO BE DECIDED .....1

6 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES.....1

7

8 I. INTRODUCTION .....1

9 II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY & SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS .....2

10 III. THE SETTLEMENT .....5

11

12 A. The Settlement Class.....5

13 B. Benefits for the Settlement Class.....5

14 1. Post will Establish a \$15 Million Non-Reversionary Settlement

15 Fund .....5

16 2. Post will Make Substantial Labeling Commitments, Saving the

17 Class Millions .....6

18 C. Class Notice and Claims Administration.....7

19 D. The Settlement’s Release.....7

20 E. Opting Out .....8

21 F. Objecting.....8

22 G. Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, and Service Awards .....8

23 H. Timeline .....9

24

25 V. ARGUMENT .....10

26 A. The Court Should Certify the Settlement Class.....10

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1. The Requirements of Rule 23(a) are Satisfied .....10
  - a. Numerosity.....10
  - b. Commonality.....10
  - c. Typicality .....10
  - d. Adequacy .....11
- 2. The Requirements of Rule 23(b)(3) are Satisfied .....11
  - a. Predominance.....11
  - b. Superiority.....15
- B. The Court Should Approve the Proposed Settlement .....15
  - 1. The Settlement is the Product of Serious, Informed, Non-Collusive Negotiations .....15
  - 2. The Settlement Does Not Grant Preferential Treatment Improperly .....17
  - 3. The Settlement Falls within the Range of Possible Approval .....17
    - a. The *Churchill Village* Factors Favor Preliminary Approval .....18
    - b. The Monetary Relief is Fair in Relation to Potential Damages.....21
    - c. The Injunctive Relief is Appropriate and Meaningful .....22
    - d. The Court will be Empowered to Determine Reasonable Fees, Costs, and Service Awards .....23
  - 4. The Settlement has No Obvious Deficiencies .....24
- C. The Court Should Approve the Class Notice and Notice Plan .....25
- VI. CONCLUSION.....25

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Cases**

1		
2	<b>Cases</b>	
3	<i>Allen v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.</i> ,	
4	2019 WL 5191009 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2019).....	19
5	<i>Alvarez v. Farmers Ins. Exch.</i> ,	
6	2017 WL 2214585 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 18, 2017).....	24
7	<i>Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor</i> ,	
8	521 U.S. 591 (1997).....	11, 12
9	<i>Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert</i> ,	
10	444 U.S. 472 (1980).....	23
11	<i>Bolton v. U.S. Nursing Corp.</i> ,	
12	2013 WL 5700403 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2013).....	24
13	<i>Bradach v. Pharmavite, LLC</i> ,	
14	735 Fed. Appx. 251 (9th Cir. 2018).....	13
15	<i>Briseno v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.</i> ,	
16	844 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 2017).....	25
17	<i>Broomfield v. Craft Brew Alliance, Inc.</i> ,	
18	2020 WL 1972505 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2020).....	13
19	<i>Bruno v. Quten Research Inst., LLC</i> ,	
20	2013 WL 990495 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 13, 2013).....	23
21	<i>Butler v. Porsche Cars N. Am., Inc.</i> ,	
22	2017 WL 1398316 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2017).....	14
23	<i>Campbell v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,	
24	951 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2020).....	15, 16
25	<i>Carter v. XPO Logistics, Inc.</i> ,	
26	2019 WL 5295125 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2019).....	21
27	<i>Castro v. Paragon Indus., Inc.</i> ,	
28	2020 WL 1984240 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 27, 2020).....	12
29	<i>Chambers v. Whirlpool Corp.</i> ,	
30	980 F.3d 645 (9th Cir. 2020).....	23
	<i>Chevron Env't'l. Mgmt. Co. v. BKK Corp.</i> ,	
	2013 WL 5587363 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 10, 2013).....	21

1	<i>Churchill Village v. Gen. Elec.</i> ,	
2	361 F.3d 566 (9th Cir. 2004) .....	18
3	<i>Cortes v. Nat'l Credit Adjusters, L.L.C.</i> ,	
4	2020 WL 3642373 (E.D. Cal. July 6, 2020) .....	12
5	<i>Custom LED, LLC v. eBay, Inc.</i> ,	
6	2014 WL 2916871 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2014) .....	22
7	<i>Daugherty v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> ,	
8	144 Cal. App. 4th 824 (2006) .....	14
9	<i>Dennis v. Kellogg Co.</i> ,	
10	697 F.3d 858 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	6
11	<i>Deposit Guar. Nat'l Bank v. Roper</i> ,	
12	445 U.S. 326 (1980) .....	15
13	<i>Dickey v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.</i> ,	
14	2019 WL 4918366 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2019) .....	25
15	<i>Edwards v. Nat'l Milk Producers Fed'n</i> ,	
16	2017 WL 3623734 (N.D. Cal. June 26, 2017) .....	19, 25
17	<i>Gaudin v. Saxon Mortg. Servs., Inc.</i> ,	
18	2015 WL 4463650 (N.D. Cal. July 21, 2015) .....	21
19	<i>Hadley v. Kellogg Sales Co.</i> ,	
20	324 F. Supp. 1084 (N.D. Cal. 2018) .....	11
21	<i>Hale v. Manna Pro Prod., LLC</i> ,	
22	2020 WL 3642490 (E.D. Cal. July 6, 2020) .....	16
23	<i>Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.</i> ,	
24	150 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 1998) .....	11, 12, 14
25	<i>Haralson v. U.S. Aviation Servs. Corp.</i> ,	
26	383 F. Supp. 3d 959 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	25
27	<i>Harris v. Vector Mktg. Corp.</i> ,	
28	2011 WL 1627973 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2011) .....	16, 17, 18
29	<i>Harvey v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC</i> ,	
30	2019 WL 4462653 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 5, 2019) .....	20
	<i>Harvey v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC</i> ,	
	2020 WL 1031801 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2020) .....	16, 22

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.